(B&W+PROTECTED BY MINNESOTA TOBACCO LITIGATION PROTECTIVE ORDER Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand VOLUME 15

VOLUME 15 2476 JEFFERSON CIRCUIT COURT DIVISION NINE BROWN & WILLIAMSON : TOBACCO CORPORATION, : Plaintiff, : :Case No. 95-CI-06560 VS. JEFFREY S. WIGAND : Defendant. : The videotaped deposition of Jeffrey S. Wigand, the Defendant herein, was taken before Special Commissioner Richard A. Revell, and reported by Thomas F. Runfola, a Registered Professional Reporter, pursuant to notice, at the offices of Middleton & Reutlinger, 2500 Brown & Williamson Tower, Louisville, Kentucky, on Wednesday, November 13, 1996, at 9:00 o'clock a.m.

VOLUME 15

CURTIN, SCHNEIDER & LAWREY

B&W PROTECTED BY MINNESOTA TOBACCO LITIGATION PROTECTIVE ORDER Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey V VOLUME 15 Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

APPEARANC		2477	Page
	Middleton & Reutlinger	1	1 M D E X
	401 South Fourth Avenue 2100 Brown & Williamson Tower	- 1	
	Louisville, Reneuexy 40202	- 3	EXAMINATION
	my Mr. James I. Millimen, and Mr. Henry S. Alford,	1.	Page
		-	
	414	'	Further Exam By Mr. Sheffier 2483
	Hind t abelgind	- 6	
	12 Peachtree Street Atlanta, Caprola 10303	1 1	E X H] B 1 T E
	By Mr. William C Handricks, III.	١.	Market
	4nd	'	
	Chadbourne & PafES	'	Expisit No. 43 2483
	30 Rockefeller Plate	10	Exhibit No. 84 3551
	New York, New York 10012 By Mr. David L. Mailace, 404	111	Exhibit No. 85 3589
	Mr Bruce G. Sheffler		
	ang	12	
	•	13	
	Parzer & O'Conneil Suite 1940	14	
	Providian Contet	13	
;	Louisville, Kentucky (0202		
	By Mr. Michael J. O'Connell,	16	
	On penalf of the Plaintiff.	17	
	Shea 4 Geraner	10	
,	1800 Magsachusetts Avebue, W.W	.,	
,	Weshington, DC 20036 By Mr. John D. Algock and	- 1	
	Mg. Laura S. Murchulmez	20	
	On behalf of the Detendant.	21	
		22	
		23	
•		24	
			Paga
	PAG		
L ALSO PAR	STNT:	4 2419	
		1 2510	Weanestay Morning Sassion
	U.S. Department of Justice Criminal Division, fraud Section		Weanerday Morning Session November 13, 1996
,	U.S. Department of Justice Criminal Division, Fraud Section 1400 New York Avehue, N M.	1	,
1	U.S. Department of Justice Criminal Division, fraud Section	1 2	Movember 13, 1996 B:65 p'clock 4.M.
? 3	U.S. Department of Justice Criminal Division, Fraud Section 1400 New York Awdens, N M. Magnington, DC 20035	1 2 3	November 13, 1996 B:45 o'clock 4.M. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back On the
? 1 5	U.S. Department of Justice Criminal Division, Fraud Section 1000 New York Avenue, M M. Marington, DC 2003 By No Jimmye S. Warren and	1 2 3	Movember 13, 1996 B:65 p'clock 4.M.
? 3 4 5	U.S. Department of Justice Criminal Division, Fraud Section 1000 New York Avenue, H M. Manington, DC 2003 By No Jimmye S. Warren	1 2 3	November 13, 1996 Brisb ofcloss a.m. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, the time is approximately 9:03.
7 1 1 5	U.S. Department of Justice Criminal Division, Fraud Section 1000 New York Avenue, H M. Marhington, DC 2003 By Ms Jirmye S. Marren and Srown & Milliamson Tobacco Corporation 7500 Messeer Road	1 2 3 4 5	November 13, 1996 Brab ofclock a.m. THE YIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, the time is approximately 9:03. THE COURT: Go anead, Laves.
7	U.S. Department of Justice Criminal Division, Fraud Section 1400 Mee York Avenue, M M. Machington, DC 20036 By No Jimmye S. Warren and Srown & Milliampon Tobacco Corporation 1800 Wasver Road P G Sec 1056	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	November 13, 1996 Brisb of clock a.m. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sack on the record. The time is approximately 9:03. THE COURT: Go anead, Laura. HS. WERTHEIMER: In the course
7 3 4 5 5 6 7 1	U.S. Department of Justice Criminal Division, Fraud Section 1000 New York Avenue, H M. Marhington, DC 2003 By Ms Jirmye S. Marren and Srown & Milliamson Tobacco Corporation 7500 Messeer Road	1 2 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	November 13, 1996 Brab ofclock a.m. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, the time is approximately 9:03. THE COURT: Go anead, Laura. His. MERTHEIMER: In the course of our monverpations over the light two
7 3 4 5 6 7	U.S. Department of Justice Criminal Division, Fraud Section 1000 New York Avenue, H M. Habington, DC 2003 By Ms Jimmye S. Warren and Srown & Milliamson Tobacco Corporation 1500 Wasver Road F G Box 1036 Macon, Georgia 21198	1 2 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	November 13, 1996 Brisb of clock a.m. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sack on the record. The time is approximately 9:03. THE COURT: Go anead, Laura. HS. WERTHEIMER: In the course
7 3 4 5 6 7	U.S. Department of Justice Criminal Division, Fraud Section 1000 New York Avenue, H M. Habington, DC 2003 By Ms Jimmye S. Warren and Srown & Milliamson Tobacco Corporation 1500 Wasver Road F G Box 1036 Macon, Georgia 21198	3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7	November 13, 1996 Brab ofclock a.m. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, the time is approximately 9:03. THE COURT: Go anead, Laura. His. MERTHEIMER: In the course of our monverpations over the light two days there have been several document.
2 3 4 5 6 7 4	U.S. Department of Justice Criminal Division, Fraud Section 1000 New York Avenue, H M. Habington, DC 2003 By Ms Jimmye S. Warren and Srown & Milliamson Tobacco Corporation 1500 Wasver Road F G Box 1036 Macon, Georgia 21198	1 2 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 5 6 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	November 13, 1996 Brab ofclock a.m. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, the time is approximately 9:03. THE TOURT: Go anead, Lavra. His. WEATHELMER: In the course of our monverpations over the list two days there have been several monument. Lisues that we have, have come up and been
1 KLSO PAE 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 7 8 8	U.S. Department of Justice Criminal Division, Fraud Section 1000 New York Avenue, H M. Habington, DC 2003 By Ms Jimmye S. Warren and Srown & Milliamson Tobacco Corporation 1500 Wasver Road F G Box 1036 Macon, Georgia 21198	1 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	November 13, 1996 Bris orclose a.m. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, the time is approximately 9:03. THE TOURT: Go anead, Lavra. His. WEATHELMER: In the course of our monverpations over the list two days there have been several document tirsues that we have, have come up and been resolved. There were greens that came up
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 2 2	U.S. Department of Justice Criminal Division, Fraud Section 1000 New York Avenue, H M. Habington, DC 2003 By Ms Jimmye S. Warren and Srown & Milliamson Tobacco Corporation 1500 Wasver Road F G Box 1036 Macon, Georgia 21198	1 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	November 13, 1996 Brab ofclock a.m. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, the time is approximately 9:03. THE TOURT: Go anead, Lavra. His. WEATHELMER: In the course of our monverpations over the list two days there have been several monument. Lisues that we have, have come up and been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 2 2	U.S. Department of Justice Criminal Division, Fraud Section 1000 New York Avenue, H M. Habington, DC 2003 By Ms Jimmye S. Warren and Srown & Milliamson Tobacco Corporation 1500 Wasver Road F G Box 1036 Macon, Georgia 21198	1 2 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	November 13, 1996 Bris orclose a.m. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, the time is approximately 9:03. THE TOURT: Go anead, Lavra. His. WEATHELMER: In the course of our monverpations over the list two days there have been several document tirsues that we have, have come up and been resolved. There were greens that came up
7 3 4 5 6 7 4	U.S. Department of Justice Criminal Division, Fraud Section 1000 New York Avenue, H M. Habington, DC 2003 By Ms Jimmye S. Warren and Srown & Milliamson Tobacco Corporation 1500 Wasver Road F G Box 1036 Macon, Georgia 21198	11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1	November 13, 1996 Bis5 o'clock a.m. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, the time is approximately 9:03. THE COURT: Go anead, Leura. MR. WEATHEIMER: In the course of our monverpations over the last two days there have been several document tissues that we have, have come up and been resolved. There were several that came up yesterday that we talked about and one was or. Migand's 1996 daytimer. And it's been
2 2 2 4	U.S. Department of Justice Criminal Division, Fraud Section 1000 New York Avenue, H M. Habington, DC 2003 By Ms Jimmye S. Warren and Srown & Milliamson Tobacco Corporation 1500 Wasver Road F G Box 1036 Macon, Georgia 21198	11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1	November 13, 1996 Bis5 o'clock a.m. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, the time is approximately 9:03. THE COURT: Go anead, Laure. HE. WEATHEIMER: In the course of our monverpations over the last two days there have been several document traves that we have, have come up and been resolved. There were several that came up yesterday that we talked about and one was loc. Migand's 1996 daytimar. And it's been produced without reductions in its
2 1 1 1 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	U.S. Department of Justice Criminal Division, Fraud Section 1000 New York Avenue, H M. Habington, DC 2003 By Ms Jimmye S. Warren and Srown & Milliamson Tobacco Corporation 1500 Wasver Road F G Box 1036 Macon, Georgia 21198	1 2 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	November 13, 1996 Bisb o'clock a.m. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, the time is approximately 9:03. THE COURT: Go anead, Laura. HS. WERTHEIMER: In the course of our monverpations over the last two days there have been several dominant traus that we have, have come up and been resolved. There were several that came up yesterday that we talked about and one was 2x. Nigand's 1996 daytimer. And 10's been produced without reductions in its sectivety. And for your convenience I have
2 2 2 4 3 3 6 6	U.S. Department of Justice Criminal Division, Fraud Section 1000 New York Avenue, H M. Habington, DC 2003 By Ms Jimmye S. Warren and Srown & Milliamson Tobacco Corporation 1500 Wasver Road F G Box 1036 Macon, Georgia 21198	1 2 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	November 13, 1996 Bis5 o'clock a.m. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, the time is approximately 9:03. THE COURT: Go anead, Laure. HE. WEATHEIMER: In the course of our monverpations over the last two days there have been several document traves that we have, have come up and been resolved. There were several that came up yesterday that we talked about and one was loc. Migand's 1996 daytimar. And it's been produced without reductions in its
2 1 1 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	U.S. Department of Justice Criminal Division, Fraud Section 1000 New York Avenue, H M. Habington, DC 2003 By Ms Jimmye S. Warren and Srown & Milliamson Tobacco Corporation 1500 Wasver Road F G Box 1036 Macon, Georgia 21198	1 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 5 5 6 5 6 5 6 5 6 5 6 5 6 6 5 6	November 13, 1996 Bisb o'clock a.m. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, the time is approximately 9:03. THE COURT: Go anead, Laura. HS. WERTHEIMER: In the course of our monverpations over the last two days there have been several dominant traus that we have, have come up and been resolved. There were several that came up yesterday that we talked about and one was 2x. Nigand's 1996 daytimer. And 10's been produced without reductions in its sectivety. And for your convenience I have
2 3 4 5 5 6 7 7 8 9 1 2 2 2 2 4 4 5 5 6 6 6 6 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	U.S. Department of Justice Criminal Division, Fraud Section 1000 New York Avenue, H M. Habington, DC 2003 By Ms Jimmye S. Warren and Srown & Milliamson Tobacco Corporation 1500 Wasver Road F G Box 1036 Macon, Georgia 21198	1 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 5 5 6 5 6 5 6 5 6 5 6 6 6 6 6 6	November 13, 1996 Bisb ofclock a.m. THE VIDEOURAPHER: Back on the record, the time is approximately 9:03. THE COURT: Go anead, Laura. HS. WEATHEIMER: In the course of our monverpations over the last two days there have been several document traces that we have, have come up and been tesolved. There were several that came up yesterday that we talked about and one was 2x. Nigand's 1996 daytimer. And 10's been produced without reductions in its sections, and for your convenience I have a tabbed the pages which antries would appear.
2 3 4 5 5 6 7 7 2 2 2 3 4 6 6 6 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	U.S. Department of Justice Criminal Division, Fraud Section 1000 New York Avenue, H M. Habington, DC 2003 By Ms Jimmye S. Warren and Srown & Milliamson Tobacco Corporation 1500 Wasver Road F G Box 1036 Macon, Georgia 21198	1 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 5 5 6 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	November 13, 1996 Brab o'clock a.m. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record. The time is approximately \$:03. THE COURT: Go anead, Laura. HS. WERTHEIMER: In the course of our monverpations over the list two days there have been several document lissues that we have, have come up and been resolved. There were several that came up yesterday that we talked about 4nd one was Dr. Nigand's 1896 daytimer. And It's been produced without redections in its sentirety. And for your convenience I have tabbed the pages which antries would appear. Tou seked about the tip Express.
2 3 4 5 5 6 7 7 8 9 7 1 2 2 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	U.S. Department of Justice Criminal Division, Fraud Section 1000 New York Avenue, H M. Habington, DC 2003 By Ms Jimmye S. Warren and Srown & Milliamson Tobacco Corporation 1500 Wasver Road F G Box 1036 Macon, Georgia 21198	1 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	November 13, 1996 Brab o'clock a.m. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, the time is approximately \$:03. THE COURT: Go anead, Laura. HS. WERTHEIMER: In the course of our monverpations over the list two days there have been several document traus that we have, have come up and been resolved. There were several that came up yesterday that we talked about and one was 20. Nigand's 1996 daytimer. And it's been produced without redactions in its sectivety. And for your convenience I have taboed the pages which entries would appear. The maked about the tip Express table to which Dr. Nigand had testified.
2 3 4 5 5 6 7 7 8 9 7 1 2 2 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	U.S. Department of Justice Criminal Division, Fraud Section 1000 New York Avenue, H M. Habington, DC 2003 By Ms Jimmye S. Warren and Srown & Milliamson Tobacco Corporation 1500 Wasver Road F G Box 1036 Macon, Georgia 21198	1 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	November 13, 1996 Brab o'clock a.m. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record. The time is approximately \$:03. THE COURT: Go anead, Laura. HS. WERTHEIMER: In the course of our monverpations over the list two days there have been several document lissues that we have, have come up and been resolved. There were several that came up yesterday that we talked about 4nd one was Dr. Nigand's 1896 daytimer. And It's been produced without redections in its sentirety. And for your convenience I have tabbed the pages which antries would appear. Tou seked about the tip Express.
2 3 4 5 5 6 7 7 8 9 1 1 2 2 2 3 4 4 3 5 6 6 7 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	U.S. Department of Justice Criminal Division, Fraud Section 1000 New York Avenue, H M. Habington, DC 2003 By Ms Jimmye S. Warren and Srown & Milliamson Tobacco Corporation 1500 Wasver Road F G Box 1036 Macon, Georgia 21198	1 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 5 5 6 6 6 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	November 13, 1996 Brab o'clock a.m. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record. The time is approximately \$:03. THE COURT: Go anead, Laura. HS. WERTHEIMER: In the course of our monverpations over the list two days there have been several document traus that we have, have come up and been resolved. There were several that came up yesterday that we talked about and one was 20. Nigand's 1996 daytimer. And it's been produced without redactions in its sentrety. And for your convenience I have tappear. Thus maked about the tip Express tappear. I tappear to which Dr. Nigand had testified. I think I indicated to you yesterday there
7 1 1 5 6 7 7 1 1 2 2 2 2 4 3 6 6 7 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	U.S. Department of Justice Criminal Division, Fraud Section 1000 New York Avenue, H M. Habington, DC 2003 By Ms Jimmye S. Warren and Srown & Milliamson Tobacco Corporation 1500 Wasver Road F G Box 1036 Macon, Georgia 21198	1 2 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	November 13, 1996 B-S O'Clock A.M. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record. The time is approximately 6:03. THE COURT: Go anead, Levra. HS. WERTHEIMER: In the course of our monverpations over the light two days there have been several document. Lesues that we have, have come up and been tosolved. There were several that came up yesterday that we talked about and one was 2K. Migand's 1996 daytimer. And 1K's been produced without reductions in its sentirety And for your convenience I have taboed the pages which antries would appear. Tou seked about the tip Express lapet to which Dr. Migand had testified. I think I indicated to you yesterday there was only one such label. There is a copy
2	U.S. Department of Justice Criminal Division, Fraud Section 1000 New York Avenue, H M. Habington, DC 2003 By Ms Jimmye S. Warren and Srown & Milliamson Tobacco Corporation 1500 Wasver Road F G Box 1036 Macon, Georgia 21198	1 2 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	November 13, 1996 Bis o'clock aim. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, the time is approximately 9:03. THE COURT: Go anead, Laura. His. MERTHEIMER: In the course of our monverpations over the light two days there have been several document. Lisues that we have, have come up and been tesolved. There were several that came up yesterday that we talked about and one wes 2x. Nigand's 1996 daytimer. And 12's been produced without reductions in its sentirety and for your convenience I have taboed the pages which antries would appear. Tou seked about the tip Express that to which Dr. Nigand had testified. I think I indicated to you yesterday there was only one such label. There is a copy of it MGD3435
2	U.S. Department of Justice Criminal Division, Fraud Section 1000 New York Avenue, H M. Habington, DC 2003 By Ms Jimmye S. Warren and Srown & Milliamson Tobacco Corporation 1500 Wasver Road F G Box 1036 Macon, Georgia 21198	1 2 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	November 13, 1996 B'AS O'Clock A.M. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, the time is approximately 9:03. THE TOURT: Go anead, Laura. HE, WEATHELMER: In the course of our monverpations over the last two days there have been several monument traus that we have, have come up and been resolved. There were several that came up yesterday that we talked about and one was Dr. Nigand's 1996 daytimar. And it's been produced without reductions in its section was another the pages which antries would appear. Tou maked about the tip Express labels to which Dr. Nigand had testified. I think I indicated to you yesterday there was only one such label. There is a copy of it mg03435

CURTIN, SCHNEIDER & LAWREY

(B&W) PROTECTED BY MINNESOTA TOBACCO LITIGATION PROTECTIVE ORDER Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand VOLUME 15 Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

	Page 248;	Ì		Page 248
:	Inster had been sent to Marie Brenner	ł	MR. SHEFFLER: I think that's	
2	metare or after publication of the	• 2	right now. We will check on those, but I	
ì	efficie. I had reported that we had	3	think we are up-to-date. Thank you very	
4	reviewed Mr. Thompson's files and found AP	4	much.	
5	such latter. Hr Thompson confirms that	5	Your Honor, if we can, first of	
6	there is so such letter	6	all, let's mark the what's labeled as	
1	THE COURT: Did he may that he	7	WG03455.	
	means whose one of the didu. f tetaty a coba	8	****	
9	or	9	Thereupon, Wigand Exhibit	
;	на нелукетиел: не ваум	10		
:	without oping into the substance of the	11	purposes of identification.	
ż	privilege conversations, no letter was	12		
3	written It's not that a letter was	13	EXAMINATION	
•	written and sent and he didn't retain a	14	BY MR. SHEFFLER.	
5	copy A letter was not written.	1	Q. Mr. Wigand, what we have marked	
4	THE COURT: It makes A		for identification as 83 has been produced	
-	aliterance		this morning with WG03455 at the bottom.	
9	MS. WERTHEIMER: The letter was	•	Is that, in fact, sir, the Fed-Ex slip, or	
9	not weitten.		Zip Express slip that was attached to the	
2.2	And last, there was some		box of documents you received sometime	
1	conversation yesterday about Mr. Sulla.	21	after your termination?	
2	And a report or a statement a report	22	A. The third box.	
3	that was given to Mr. Mobiley by Mr. Bull4.	23	Q. The third box. Is it?	
4	MR. SHEFFLER: Go ahead	24	A. I believe so, yes. And it says	
	Page 2483	Τ	-	Page 24
ı	MS WERTREINER: Old 1 misspeak?	1	what was - I don't interpret it but it	
2	MU SMEFFLER: Ma.	2	says from B & W to Wigand at my address	
,	MS MERTHELMER: I think you	3	and it's addressed with a Brown &	
	indicated that you bestewed such request	4	Williamson label from the tower, addressed	
,	world be caught by your discovery taquest	5	to me.	
	I would suggest that that was not taught	6	THE COURT: Does it bear date?	
•	by your giscovery request. But Enowing	, 7	THE WITNESS: No, it doesn't.	
	that those discovery requests are elemys	8	It doesn't bear much.	
	in flux, I tust would refer you to sequest	9	Q Was there any more to this label	
:0	•		when you got the box; was there any	
	OLAST TERMEST.		additional information on the label?	
.:				
.:	•	1	A. No, that's exactly what was	
;; ;;	But possing that. Chere is no	i 2	t A. No, that's exactly what was there.	
.: :2 :3	But passing that, there is no report from Mr. Bulls to Mr. Mobley.	13		
:1 :2 :3	But possing that. There is no report from Mr. Bulla to Mr. Hobley. HA. SHEFFLER: There never was?	; 2 ; 3	there.	
12 12 14 15	But passing that, there is no report from Mr. Bulla to Mr. Hobley. HG. SHEFFLER: There never was? HG. ALGOCK: Written report	12 13 14 15	there. Q. You still have the original	
12 12 14 15	But passing that, there is no report from Mr. Bulla to Mr. Hobley. HR. SHEFFLER: There never was? HR. ALGOCK: Written report HS WERTHEIMER: There was no	; 2 ; 3 ; 14 ; 15 ; 16	there. Q. You still have the original then; you still have the original label?	
;; ;; ;; ;; ;; ;;	But passing that, there is no report from Mr. Bulle to Mr. Hobley. MR. SHEFFLER - There never was? MR. ALDOCK: Written raport MS. MERTHENMER: There was no written report, I'm sorry	; 2 ; 3 ; 14 ; 15 ; 16	there. Q. You still have the original then; you still have the original label? A. I don't have it but it's in the custody of my attorney.	
12 12 14 15 16 17	But passing that, there is no report from Mr. Bulle to Mr. Hobley. MR. SHEFFLER: There never was? MR. ALDOCK: "There was no written report. MS WERTHEIMER: There was no written report, I'm sorry MR. HILLHAM: And there never	; 2 ; 3 ; 14 ; 15 ; 16 ; 17 ; 18	there. Q. You still have the original then; you still have the original label? A. I don't have it but it's in the custody of my attorney. MS. WERTHEIMER: We have the box	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	But possing that, there is no report flow Mr. Bulls to Mr. Hobley. HR. SHEFFLER: There never was? HR. ALDOCK: Written report HS MERTHEIMER: There was no written report, I'm sorry HR. HILLIMAN: And there never	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	there. Q. You still have the original then; you still have the original label? A. I don't have it but it's in the custody of my attorney. MS. WERTHEIMER: We have the box top with the label affixed.	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	But possing that, there is no report from Mr. Bulls to Mr. Mobiey. MR. SHEFFLER: There never was? MR. ALGOCK: Written report MS MERTHEIMER: There was no written report, I'm sorry MR. HILLIMAN: And there never MS MERTHEIMER: There never was	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	there. Q. You still have the original then; you still have the original label? A. I don't have it but it's in the custody of my attorney. MS. WERTHEIMER: We have the box top with the label affixed. Q. Okay. Mr. Wigand, why did you	
12 13 14 15 16 17 19 22	But possing that. There is no report from Mr. Bulls to Mr. Hobley. HR. SHEFFLER: There never was? HR. ALGOCK: Written report. HS MERTHEIMER: There was no written report, I'm socry HR. HILLHAM: And there never was? MS WERTHEIMER: There have was a written report, correct	122 133 144 155 166 177 188 169 200 21	there. Q. You still have the original then; you still have the original label? A. I don't have it but it's in the custody of my attorney. MS. WERTHEIMER: We have the box top with the label affixed. Q. Okay. Mr. Wigand, why did you save the label and box top for the third.	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	But possing that. Chere is no report from Mr. Bulle to Mr. Mobley. MR. SHEFFLER: There never was? MR. ALGOCK: Written report MS MERTHEIMER: There was no Written report, I'm sorry MS MILLIMAN: And there never WAS? MS MERTHEIMER: There hever was A MILLIUM report, correct MR SHEFFLER GRAY	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	there. Q. You still have the original then; you still have the original label? A. I don't have it but it's in the custody of my attorney. MS. WERTHEIMER: We have the box top with the label affixed. Q. Okay. Mr. Wigand, why did you	

CURTIN, SCHNEIDER & LAWREY

BAW: PROTECTED BY MINNESOTA TOBACCO LITIGATION PROTECTIVE ORDER Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand Wolti-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand VOLUME 15

Page 24	485 Page 248
1 A. Because the contents of the	1 Q. So in May or June of 1994, you
2 other boxes during my cleaning up were	.2 threw the first two boxes away?
3 thrown away.	3 A. Um-hum.
4 Q The Contents of the other boxes	4 Q. And whatever documents were in
5 were thrown away?	5 those first two boxes that related to
6 A. My 1989 and '90 medical records	6 Brown & Williamson you did no longer have
7 were thrown away, at least the records	7 at that point in time?
8 that I had that related to claims that I	8 A. No, I had turned them over as I
9 had filed in 1989 and '90, '92.	9 keep on telling you. You are wasting time
0 Q Okay.	10 on this by doing this. I explained it
11 A. So I didn't keep the boxes. 1	11 clearly, I turned over all documents in my
2 threw the boxes away.	12 possession to Brown & Williamson in
3 Q. What I understood you to say	13 December of 1993, and 1994.
4 yesterday was that you had received in	14 Q. All right. So you threw those
5 addition to your medical records in those	15 two boxes and the contents away because
6 first two boxes other documents?	16 there were no Brown & Williamson documents
7 A. Some personal correspondence,	17 in them at that time?
8 yes.	18 A. Yes.
9 Q But there wasn't any Brown &	19 Q. So when you say you threw the
20 Williamson documents in those first two	20 all the contents of the first two boxes
11 boxes?	21 away, you were excluding the Brown &
22 A. I turned them over to the CID.	22 Williamson documents that you had taken
3 and I testified to that yesterday.	23 out of the box?
24 Q. It wasn't just personal stuff	24 A. Yes.
	49.6 Ports 246
Page 2	
that you threw away, there were B & W documents in those boxes?	1 Q: So at the time you threw these 2 boxes away was in May or June of the first
3 A. I did not say I threw Brown &	3 two boxes of your personal materials and 4 then you kept the third box?
4 Williamson documents away. I turned all	
5 Brown & Williamson documents that I found	•
6 in the two boxes to Mr. Etheridge, to Mr.	6 Q. And you have the third box and
7 Patton and Mr. Milliman	7 you have the label?
B Q. I understand.	8 A. Yes.
9 A in December of 1993 and	9 MS. WERTHEIMER: Pardon me, 1
10 '94 and early 1994.	10 don't think he says he has the whole box.
11 Q. I understand that, sir. My	11 I think all he has testified to is he has
12 question was we started off by my	12 the box top and the label which is now in
13 asking you why did you keep this and not	13 our possession.
14 the others, and you said you threw the	14 Q Do you have the entire box?
15 other away	15 A. No, I don't think so, I just
16 A. I threw the other boxes away.	16 think I just have the label, the box top.
17 Q because you threw all the	17 Q. Why did you save the box top and
18 contents of the boxes away. That's what	18 label?
19 you testified. But you didn't throw all	19 A. I just saved it.
20 the contents of the boxes away?	20 Q. Okay. But why?
21 A. This is in May, June of 1994	21 A. I just saved it.
22 when I was cleaning the basement out.	22 Q You threw away the box itself
23 Q Okay.	23 but you saved the top and the label that
24 A I was cleaning up.	24 you threw away the other two boxes and

Page 2485 - Page 2488

CURTTN, SCHNEIDER & LAWREY

Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand VOLUME 15

Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

Page 2	_
labels; is that correct?	MR. SHEFFLER: Could you read
2 A I may have thrown the bottom	2 it back, please?
3 part of the box away at a later date.	3 (The last question was read by
4 I saved the box top.	4 the reporter.)
5 Q. And there was a reason for that?	5 THE WITNESS: Brown &
6 A. Was there a reason for it? No	6 Williamson could have considered it
7 particular reason, I just did it. I don't	7 confidential are or not. They may have
8 have to have a reason.	B considered it confidential. They consider
9 Q. You didn't save the first two	9 a lot of things confidential.
0 box tops?	10 Q. The question to you, sir, is at
! A. I didn't need them.	11 the time that you saved this box top and
2 Q Why did you need this one?	12 threw away the box, or at the time you
3 A This was just interesting.	13 saved this box top, did you not save it
4 Q Why?	14 because you realized that the information
5 A. Because of the contents of the	15 in the box was considered confidential by
6 box.	16 Brown & Williamson?
7 Q. Okay. So you saved it because	17 A. No.
8 of the contents of the box were	18 Q. So what was the reason you saved
9 interesting?	19 it?
0 A. Yeah.	20 A. I have no particular reason of
1 Q. Any other reason why they were	21 saving it.
2 interesting?	22 Q. There is no reason, you just
23 A. No.	23 saved it?
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	24 A. I just saved it.
4 Q. Did they look interesting	
Page 2	
1 because of the color of the paper?	1 Q. Did you save any other receipts
2 A. No.	2 for years; any other Federal Express
3 Q. Well, what was interesting about	3 receipts for years?
4 the materials in them that you saved the	4 A. Sometimes I do, yes.
5 box top?	5 Q. Just because they are Federal
6 A. They were Brown & Williamson	6 Express receipts?
7 documents.	7 A. Yes.
8 Q. So you thought there might be	8 Q. No particular reason?
9 some question but how you got those	9 A. I tend to save things, yes.
to documents at later time, didn't you?	10 Q. You tend to save things?
II A. Could be.	11 A. Um-hum.
12 Q. And you thought there might be	12 Q. Sir, after you were fired, did
3 some question about how you got those	13 you receive any other Brown & Williamson
4 documents because those documents	14 documents in addition to the three boxes
15 contained confidential information;	15 you have testified about?
16 information you realized Brown &	16 A. Not to my recollection, no.
17 Williamson considered confidential, didn't	17 Q. In the three boxes you
18 you?	18 received let's limit our conversation
19 A. I had already been talking to	19 to the Brown & Williamson documents. In
20 the FDA.	20 those three boxes, were there original
21 Q ls that responsive?	2) Brown & Williamson documents?
22 THE COURT: Doesn't answer the	22 A I believe so.
22 TARE THE R. P. LEWIS CONSULT UK.	AL M. I DOMESTO SO.
23 question. Please answer.	23 Q. Were there any copies of Brown &

CURTIN, SCHNEIDER & LAWREY

Page 2489 - Page 2492

RAW PROTECTED BY MINNESOTA TORACCO LITIGATION PROTECTIVE ORDER Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand VOLUME 15

	Page 2493 Page 24
I A. I assume what was in there was	I understand your testimony, corroborated
2 also copies but I cannot tell what was	2 some documents, you gave them some
3 original and what was not original.	3 documents, and gave them a copy of the
4 Q. You can't tell the difference	4 Leaf Blenders manual; is that correct?
5 between a Xerox copy and an original of a	5 A. No, that's not entirely
6 document?	6 correct. Let me just correct it for you.
7 A. No.	7 The FDA had the Leaf Blenders manual.
8 Q. Okay. You could tell that there	8 They had a number of documents. What I
9 were some original documents in there,	9 did is I provided to them, after they
they had enough indicia of originality	10 already had those documents, corroboration
1 that you could tell that they were	11 with the originals that I had, or the
· ·	12 copies I had.
2 original	13 O. So there was no document that
13 A. Why don't you define for me	14 you showed the FDA that they didn't
4 what's the indicia of originality.	15 already have; is that correct?
15 Q. Do you know what the Leaf 16 Blenders manual looks like?	16 A. That's correct.
17 A. Yes.	17 Q. At least that's your testumony. 18 Did you give them a copy of the Leaf
18 Q. And what kind of cover does it	19 Blenders manual?
19 have? Just tell me, does it have a paper	
20 cover or is it a cardboard cover or what	20 A. No, I did not give them a copy.
21 type cover does it have?	21 I gave them my copy and they copied it.
22 A. Paper.	22 Q. Okay. And they gave you your
23 Q. And you know the color of it,	23 copy back?
24 don't you?	24 A. I believe so, yes.
	Page 2494 Page 24
1 A. I think what was blue/green or	1 Q. Did they give you the copy back?
2 blue.	2 A. They gave me my copy back, I
3 Q Do you have a copy of that?	3 believe, that I gave them.
4 A. No.	4 Q Okay. Let's start again. You
5 Q. Do you have the original of it?	5 had a Leaf Blenders manual that had a
6 A. No.	6 blue/green cover on it?
7 Q. Did you ever, since your	7 A. To the best of my recollection,
8 termination, have a copy of it?	8 yes.
9 A. Did I ever have a copy of it?	9 Q. Okay. You went to the FDA in
10 Not to my belief, no.	10 May with that in your possession? May or
11 Q. You had an original of it?	11 June; is that right?
12 A. I think I had Mr. Pritchard's	12 A. Yes.
13 copy, whether what was his original copy	13 Q. You saw Dr. Kessler, right?
14 or not I don't know.	14 A. Yes.
	15 Q. You said Dr. Kessler had a copy
15.0 Well the cover of it, was it	
15 Q. Well, the cover of it, was it	16 of the Leaf Blenders manual in his
ie blue/green or was it a Xerox copy?	
16 blue/green or was it a Xerox copy? 17 A I think what was blue/green.	16 of the Leaf Blenders manual in his
16 blue/green or was it a Xerox copy? 17 A I think what was blue/green. 18 Q. Then do you think, sir, that it	16 of the Leaf Blenders manual in his17 possession at that time?18 A. Yes.
16 blue/green or was it a Xerox copy? 17 A I think what was blue/green. 18 Q. Then do you think, sir, that it 19 may have been the original or do you think	16 of the Leaf Blenders manual in his 17 possession at that time? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. But not from you, he didn't get
16 blue/green or was it a Xerox copy? 17 A. I think what was blue/green. 18 Q. Then do you think, sir, that it 19 may have been the original or do you think 20 what was a copy?	16 of the Leaf Blenders manual in his 17 possession at that time? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. But not from you, he didn't get 20 that copy from you?
16 blue/green or was it a Xerox copy? 17 A I think what was blue/green. 18 Q. Then do you think, sir, that it 19 may have been the original or do you think 20 what was a copy? 21 A. I don't know if what was the	16 of the Leaf Blenders manual in his 17 possession at that time? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. But not from you, he didn't get 20 that copy from you? 21 A. That's correct.
16 blue/green or was it a Xerox copy? 17 A. I think what was blue/green. 18 Q. Then do you think, sir, that it 19 may have been the original or do you think 20 what was a copy?	16 of the Leaf Blenders manual in his 17 possession at that time? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. But not from you, he didn't get 20 that copy from you?

Page 2493 - Page 2496

(B&W) PROTECTED BY MINNESOTA TOBACCO LITIGATION PROTECTIVE ORDER Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand Wilti-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand VOLUME 15

	age 2497	Page 2499
1 blue/green cover?	1 Q. No, of course it wasn't signed	
2 A. I didn't see the cover.	2 by you, it what was Pritchard's copy. I	3ut
3 Q. You didn't see the cover?	3 it was signed by Pritchard, wasn't it?	
A. I didn't see Dr. Kessler's	4 A. No. I don't think so. I think	
s cover, no.	5 somebody else wrote the names on then	ı
6 Q. Did you see Dr the first	6 Q. Okay, sir.	
7 page of the book?	7 A. I don't think Pritchard signed	
E A I saw several pages of the book,	8 for that book.	
9 yes.	9 Q. Okay, fine. In the book that	
0 Q. Well, was it - was the book	10 you have, why did you give it to Kessle	τ
1 in its entirety or was it separated?	11 if Kessler already had one?	
2 A. I didn't go page for page, no.	12 A. Because I corroborated what he	
3 Q. Was it in its entirety? Was it	13 had	
4 a bound book or was it separate pages?	14 Q. Lunderstand that, You said,	
5 A. I can't recall.	15 yeah, that's a Leaf Blenders manual. W	/hv
6 Q. How do you know he had a	16 did you give him the book? Why did h	-
7 complete copy of the book?	17 need a book that he already had?	_
• - • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	18 A. I don't know, ask Dr. Kessler	
8 A. Because I thumbed through it and 9 it was very similar, in fact, to the Leaf	19 that question.	
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
10 Blenders manual.	20 Q. Did you ask him? Why do you	
11 Q. So you thumbed through it?	21 want a copy of this book?	
22 A. Yeah.	22 A. No.	
23 Q. Was it in entirety in its book	23 Q. You knew that it was	
form or was it separated out; did he show	24 confidential. You have testified before	
	age 2498	Page 250
1 you portions of it?	1 that Brown & Williamson viewed it as	
2 A. I think I looked at it and I	2 proprietary.	
3 assumed that was the entire book.	3 A. I don't think it's proprietary.	
4 Q. Okay. Now, whose copy of the	4 I didn't testify what was proprietary.	
5 Leaf Blenders manual did Kessler have?	5 Q. You knew that Brown & William	SOS
6 A. I don't know.	6 regarded it as such?	
7 Q You didn't check to see?	7 A. Regarded it as confidential.	
8 A. No.	8 Q. Yes. So why did you give him a	
9 Q. They are all numbered, correct?	9 book that he already had?	
10 A. That's correct.	10 A. To corroborate what he had.	
11 Q. How many numbered copies were	11 Q. You corroborated it by saying	
12 there?	12 here it is, yeah, that's it. Why did you	
13 A. I don't know.	13 give it to him?	
14 Q. And they were all signed for,	14 A. I gave it to him. He asked for	
15 weren't they?	15 a copy and I gave him a copy.	
16 A. That's correct.	16 Q. Sir, why did he tell you he	
17 Q And they were all signed on the	17 needed had a copy of the Leaf Blenders	;
18 book, weren't they?	18 manual?	
19 A. No. I don't believe they were	19 A. I didn't ask Dr. Kessler that	
17 A. HOLLDON LOUNCEC MAY WATE	20 question.	
20 surned for on the book		
20 signed for on the book.	21 O You didn't ask why?	
21 Q. Well, the copy you have was	21 Q. You didn't ask why?	
•	21 Q. You didn't ask why? 22 A. No. 23 Q. He said leffrey, just give me a	

Page 2497 - Page 2500

Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand

Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

Page 2501	1 Page 250
just give me a copy back; is that what you	1 the three of them, were they originals?
said?	2 A. I can't tell whether they were
A. Yeah. I provided a copy to him.	3 originals or copies.
Q. Even though he had one sitting	4 Q. You couldn't tell whether the
5 there?	5 Leaf Blenders manual was original, right?
6 A. Yeah.	6 A. No.
Q. Did he ask you for the copy	7 Q. I see.
before he showed you he had the same damn	8 A. The copy I received anonymously
9 thing?	9 was Mr. Pritchard's copy.
A. No.	10 Q. Did these all come from your
Q. Did you give him any other	11 files, these documents, to the best of
2 documents to copy and return to you?	12 your recollection?
3 A. Yes.	13 A. No.
Q. Have we got a list of all those	14 Q. The documents that were sent to
5 documents?	15 you in these boxes?
6 A. I believe so.	16 A. Come from my files?
7 Q. Okay. The Marlboro report?	17 Q. Yes.
B A. Yes.	18 A. No.
9 Q. The document produced in this	19 Q. Were there documents in these
o case? Was the Marlboro report a document	20 boxes you had never seen before?
1 you produced in this case?	21 A. Were there documents in this
2 A. 1 think so, ves.	22 box? I probably had seen all of them at
3 Q. What other documents did you	23 one time or another during my tenure at
4 give him?	24 Brown & Williamson. There were copies
Page 250)2 Page 25
1 A. Nicotine document.) that I received that were not copies from
2 Q. Can you be more explicit as to	2 my files.
3 what that document is?	3 Q. There were copies that you
4 A. It's the document which Brown &	4 received that weren't from your files?
5 Williamson was evaluating going into the	5 A. Yes.
6 nicotine patch business as an alternative	6 Q. Could you tell whose files they
7 form of delivering nicotine to its users	7 were from?
8 when they couldn't smoke cigarettes. Not	8 A. No.
9 for cessation as the nicotine patch	9 Q. Were they from the R & D
o originally had intended. It was a	10 department?
	11 A. I don't know where they were
11 possible acquisition of Stow - or the	12 from. I don't know who had copies of it.
12 Stoic Patch Technology Company, Inc.	
13 Q Stoic Patch Technology?	13 Q. But you had seen them before?
14 A Yes.	
15 Q Any other documents you gave	
16 him?	
17 A That's what comes to my memory	17 Q. Okay. All right. Now, the 18 did you ever copy any other documents from
18 right now.	19 the documents that were supplied to you
19 Q Just so there is three	_
20 documents you gave him? There is three	20 in, shortly after your termination, in
21 documents you gave him?	21 those three boxes? Did you ever copy them
22 A. To the best of my recollection	22 before 12-95 except the three that you
23 at this moment, yes.	23 told us with the FDA7
24 Q Were they original copies? Were	24 A. What do you mean copy?

(BAW) PROTECTED BY MINNESOTA TORACCO LITICATION PROTECTIVE ORDER Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeiney Wigand VOLUME 15

Page 25	505 Page 250
Q Do you know what copy means?	I Q. And you don't have any knowledge
A Um-hum.	2 of anybody else copying them?
3 Q. What does it mean?	3 A. That I know of, that's right.
A. Did I copy personally or was it	4 Q. And now, did you show them
copied from me? Was a copy made from what	5 those documents to anyone other than the
6 1 provided to others?	6 people you have told us, Patton,
7 Q. Well, as I understand it, sir,	7 Etheridge, Kessler -
8 you testified yesterday you didn't provide	8 A. Wait a minute. Which documents
9 these documents to anybody except the FDA	9 are you
before December, '95; that was your	10 Q before December of '95?
testimony, right?	11 A. You are mixing on me. Which
2 A. Yes.	12 documents are you talking about?
3 Q. And I understand your testimony	13 O. I will be very clear.
•	14 A. Yeah, please be.
4 also to be that you only gave the FDA	15 Q We are talking about the B & W
5 three documents; right?	
6 A. I believe that's the best of my	16 documents that you received shortly after
7 recollection, yes.	17 your termination in three Xerox size
8 Q. So putting those three documents	18 boxes, there were some in the first and
9 that you gave the FDA aside, were any of	19 second boxes but the bulk of them were in
the other documents copied from the time	20 the third box; that's your testimony,
1 you received them, shortly after your	21 right?
termination, until December of '95 when	22 A. That's correct.
3 you gave them all to Scruggs?	23 Q. Now, my question to you, sir, is
4 A. I don't believe I copied	24 is that prior to December of 1995, have
Page 2	.506 Page 250
1 anything, no.	1 you shown any of those Brown & Williamson
2 Q. Did anybody copy anything?	2 documents to anyone other than the FDA and
3 A. I don't know what anybody did.	3 Kessler, Patton, and Etheridge?
4 Q. Okay, sir. To the best of your	4 A. Well, Mr. Kessler Dr. Kessler
5 knowledge, those documents were in your	5 never saw the documents that were in the
6 possession, custody, control and nobody	6 first two boxes that were turned over to
7 else had possession of those documents	7 Mr. Patton and Mr. Milliman.
8 between the time you received them shortly	8 Q. No, you testified he saw three
9 after May and the time that they were	9 documents?
0 given to Scruggs, with the exception	10 A. No, those were turned over.
1 and I don't want to trick you here, sir.	11 Dr. Kessler never saw the others
2 I understand you gave certain	12 Q. Let me make the question clear
3 documents to Patton and Etheridge and	13 for you, okay, because obviously you don't
4 Patton had them for a while until they	14 understand what I am saying.
5 were requested back by your attorneys.	15 I am asking you if you ever have
6 Put those documents aside. All of the	16 shown any single, multiple or all, Brown &
17 other documents that you had in your	17 Williamson documents that you received
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	18 after you were fired, okay, and before
8 possession, did you copy them or have	19 December of '95. Now, I want to know who
is anyone else copy them or to your knowledge	20 has seen any or all of those documents or
20 were they copied by anyone else?	1
21 A. I have no knowledge of anybody	21 any one of those documents?
22 else copying them. I can't tell you what	I know Kessler has seen three of
23 happened at the FDA. I could tell you I	23 them. I know Patton and Etheridge have
24 did not copy them.	24 seen a few of them.

Page 2505 - Page 2508



(B&W) PROTECTED BY MINNESOTA TOBACCO LITIGATION PROTECTIVE ORDER Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand Wolliamson vs. Wigand

	Page 2509		P	age 251
I A And Milliman. Milliman was		1	shown them to? Showing them means, here	
2 there.	ļ.	. 2	look at this. And while you have	
3 Q. Milliman.	ĺ	3	possession, come here and look at these.	
4 MR. MILLIMAN: I can honestly	1	4	While you have possession - you didn't	
5 state Jim Milliman never received any		5	give them, you didn't copy them for them,	
6 documents from Jeffrey Wigand, period,		6	but you have shown they meant do you	
7 paragraph.			understand what shown means as I am using	
8 MS, WERTHEIMER: The question	i	8	it now?	
9 was did he show the documents.	l	9	A. Dr. Kessler, Jack Mitchell,	
0 MR SHEFFLER: It's not a	İ	10	Mitch Zeller, those are all the people	
II matter of	i		present at that meeting.	
THE COURT: Whos, folks, Mr.			O. That's FDA. Patton,	
3 Milliman, you said that yesterday, and of			Etheridge	
14 course you are not under oath and you are	1		A. That is a very different set of	
15 not a witness and you can't be a witness			documents.	
16 in a contested matter under the ethics			Q. Than what you showed Patton and	
17 rules and you are going to have to think	ŀ		Etheridge?	
18 through that what to do.			A. Yes, that's correct.	
19 MR. MILLIMAN: I withdraw the			Q. Now, let me ask you this, did	
20 statement. But I resent sitting here			any of the documents you showed Patton and	
•			Etheridge come from the third box?	
21 listening to this stuff.	1		A. No. I think	
22 THE COURT: Well, these things	1			
23 happen in lawsuits. You may become a			Q. Did any of the documents you	
24 witness if you contest what Dr. Wigand is		24	showed Kessler come from the first two	
	Page 2510		P	age 251
1 saying. That's his testimony, that's his		1	boxes?	
2 version. You may disagree with it but I	ĺ	2	A. No.	
3 don't want		3	Q. Fine. Now, let's just deal with	
4 MR. MILLIMAN: I withdraw the		4	the first two boxes. Other than Parton	
5 statement, your Honor. We will prove it a	İ	5	and Etheridge, have you shown B & W	
6 different way then.		6	documents from those first two boxes to	
7 MS. WERTHEIMER: It's a		7	anyone?	
8 different question anyway.		8	A. No. Well	
9 MR, SHEFFLER: It may be. But		9	Q. Prior to December of 1995?	
10 what I am asking him is who he has shown		10	A. No.	
11 them to and he answered. So far I have	j	П	Q. No one. You are sure of that?	
12 got four people, Kessler, Etheridge			A. Yes.	
1) THE WITNESS: FDA. Etheridge,		13	o. Prior to December of 1995, did	
14 Patton and then later on Scruggs.		14	you show the documents in the third box to	
15 BY MR SHEFFLER:	i		anyone outside of the FDA?	
16 O. FDA?			A. No.	
17 A. FDA.			Q. Okay. You have never shown	
18 Q. Meaning more than Kessler?	!		those documents to any attorneys prior to	
19 A I gave them to Dr. Kessler. I'm			December of 1995?	
20 sure		-	A. I assume that includes the	
			Department of Justice?	
21 Q No, no, I want to know who you	ļ			
		17	O You are at liberty to tell me it	
22 showed them to. I'm not asking you who 23 you gave them to, we have been through			it's the Department of Justice, but you	

CURTIN, SCHNEIDER & LAWREY

Page 2509 - Page 2512

(B&W+PROTECTED BY MINNESOTA TOBACCO LITIGATION PROTECTIVE ORDER Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand Wolfflow 15 Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

		Page 2513			Page 251
I A.	No.		1	the Butler case?	
Q.	You have not shown those		.2	A. I don't believe so.	
3 do	cuments to anybody?		3	Q. Okay. You didn't understand	
€ A .	No.		4	that when you gave testimony in	
5 Q.	Any attorney or otherwise before		5	Mississippi on November 29th, 1995, that	
6 De	cember of 1995?		6	it was in both a Butler case and a Moore	
7 A.	No.		7	case?	
В	MS. WERTHEIMER: Could I have		8	THE COURT: Can we clear for	
9 on	e clarification? Are you choosing not		9	our record what the Butler case is? We	
	in your no answer, are you including	'	10	have referred to the other case, the Moore	
	I just I'm unclear whether when		11	case as the Mississippi case,	
	says no, do you take that no to mean	ı	12	MR SHEFFLER: The Butler case.	
	cluding the Department of Justice, or			your Honor, was a case also filed in	
	cluding the Department of Justice?			Mississippi, also being, the plaintiffs	
5 GA	MR. SHEFFLER: He can decline			are being represented by Motley and his	
	e answer to question, but I don't think			crew. And it was basically filed sometime	
	can answer untruthful.	:	1	before the Moore case.	
, i.e. 8	MS. WERTHEIMER: I'm trying to		118	But Mr. Wigand was subportated	
-	t his clarification. When he says no -			and testified in both cases at the same	
, ≌∈ 0	MR. SHEFFLER: You might tell		l	time.	
	what no means. No means		21	THE COURT: I don't mean to put	
 2	MS. WERTHEIMER: I don't think		1	words in the witness's mouth but we have	
				been talking about the deposition taken, I	
	at's fair. Because in the past		ı	believe, in November as the deposition for	
4 00	position the Department of Justice was		7*	betieve, in November as the deposition for	
		Page 2514			Page 25
i co	impletely off the table.		-	the Moore case. Is it conceivable is	
2	MR. SHEFFLER: 1 m not playing		i i	it possible that the same deposition was	
_	ines. I asked him "anyone" and he knows		, -	also used in the Butler case without his	
	om our discussions yesterday he is not		4	knowledge?	
5 co	impelled to answer if he gave documents		5	MR SHEFFLER: That's what we	
6 to	the Department of Justice.		1	are trying to find out. I asked him if he	
7	THE COURT: And he declined on		7	has ever been subpoensed and that's what I	
8 00	ecasion to answer.			am asking for. The question on the table	
9	MS. WERTHEIMER: Are you		9	is did he realize that the deposition he	
0 an	swering no or declining to answer?		10	gave in the Moore case was also being	
1	THE WITNESS: No to anybody else		11	noticed to be used in the Butler case.	
2 ar	id I decline to answer on the Department		12	THE COURT: Do you know the	
3 of	Justice,		13	answer to that?	
4 Q.	That's before December of '95,		14	THE WITNESS. I don't know the	
5 ol	kay. Now, sir, did there not come a time		:5	answer to that, sir.	
	hen you received a subpoens to testify in		16	Q. Did you ever hear about the	
	e Moore case, in re: Moore?			Butler case before today?	
18 A.				A. Before today?	
9 0				Q. Yes.	
-	hen you got a subpoena to testify in the			A. I have heard of the Butler case	
	utler case?		1	for the last year, I believe.	
22 A.			1	Q When was the first time you	
	1 OOM HIME I 100-1704 a		[4 /	
-	ibpoena in the Butler case.		22	heard about it?	

CURTIN, SCHNEIDER & LAWREY

Page 2513 - Page 2516

18&W1PROTECTED BY MINNESOTA TOBACCO LITIGATION PROTECTIVE ORDER Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand VOLUME 15

	Page 2517				Page 251
1 mid-1996.		1	that y	ou did testify in that case, at	
2 Q. Early to mid-1996. So you		2	least	partially?	
3 hadn't heard about it by the time -		3	A .	That's correct.	
4 A. I had not heard about it before	ļ	4	Q.	Your deposition is not	
5 the deposition in Mississippi.	ľ	5	concl	luded, is it?	
6 Q. Did you hear about it at the	ļ	6	A.	That's correct.	
7 deposition in Mississippi?		7	Q.	Have you had any discussions	
B A I'm not sure; I don't recall,		8	abou	t the resumption of that deposition?	
9 Q. Did anybody ever talk to you			Α.	Yas.	
0 about the Butler case at all?		ιO	Q.	When were those discussions?	
I A. No.		i	Ā.	At the issuance of Judge Penn's	
2 Q. Do you know what the issues are	i		orde		
3 involved in the Butler case?			0.	Is that the first time you had a	
4 A. No.		1	-	ssion about that?	
15 Q. Do you know who the defendants			A.	In terms of continuation of it.	
6 are in the Butler case?	:	1	yes.		
7 A. No.			yus. Q.	Did you have any discussions	
8 Q. Do you know if Brown &			-	te then about the resumption, resuming	
9 Williamson is a defendant in the Butler		l		ntinuing, dates for deposition	
to case?				re Judge Penn's order?	
io case: i A. I believe they are.		i .	A.	Not that I can recall.	
•	'		Q.	Do you recall when Judge Penn's	
22 Q. Okay. So what do you know in	ı	J	•	was entered?	
23 addition to the fact that it's in	!	1	A.	Sometime in December of 1995.	
24 Mississippi and Brown & Williamson is a		24	Α.	Sometime in December of 1993.	
	Page 2518				Page 252
i defendant? Do you know anything else		l	Q.	Well, okay. Let me ask the	
2 about the Butler case?			•	tion this way, sir. When do you	
3 A. I think it may involve		ı		l having discussions, the first	
4 environmental tobacco smoke.		1		ission about the resumption of the	
5 Q Okay. Do you know anything else		5	depo	sition in the Moore case?	
6 about it?		6		THE COURT: Are you asking him	
7 A. That's all I can recall.		7	abou	t discussions with Mr. Motley or with	
8 Q. And who did you get this		8	anyb	ody?	
n information from?		1 .			
9 information from?		9	•	MR, SHEFFLER; With anyone.	
		9	Beca	MR, SHEFFLER: With anyone. suse I don't know he claims Motley	
10 A. Newspapers.		9 10			
10 A. Newspapers. 11. Q. Okay. Nobody Mr. Motley		9 10 11	has :	use I don't know he claims Motley	
10 A. Newspapers. 11. Q. Okay. Nobody Mr. Motley 12 never said a word to you about the Butler		9 10 11	has :	use I don't know he claims Motley never talked to him, so I don't know	
10 A. Newspapers. 11. Q. Okay. Nobody Mr. Motley 12 never said a word to you about the Butler 13 case?		9 10 11 12 13	has r	nuse I don't know he claims Motley never talked to him, so I don't know in any event.	
10 A. Newspapers. 11. Q. Okay. Nobody Mr. Motley 12 never said a word to you about the Butler 13 case? 14 A. Not that I know of, no.		9 10 11 12 13	has r how	nuse I don't know he claims Motley never talked to him, so I don't know in any event. MS. WERTHEIMER: That's not a	
10 A. Newspapers. 11. Q. Okay. Nobody Mr. Motley 12 never said a word to you about the Butler 13 case? 14 A. Not that I know of, no. 15 Q. Never even mentioned the name of		9 10 11 12 13	has r how	nuse I don't know he claims Motley never talked to him, so I don't know in any event. MS. WERTHEIMER: That's not a characterization. He said Motley	
10 A. Newspapers. 11. Q. Okay. Nobody Mr. Motley 12 never said a word to you about the Butler 13 case? 14 A. Not that I know of, no, 15 Q. Never even mentioned the name of 16 it?		9 10 11 12 13 14 15	has r how fair o didn	nuse I don't know he claims Motley never talked to him, so I don't know in any event. MS. WERTHEIMER: That's not a characterization. He said Motley 't talk to him about the Butler case.	
10 A. Newspapers. 11 Q. Okay. Nobody Mr. Motley 12 never said a word to you about the Butler 13 case? 14 A. Not that I know of, no. 15 Q. Never even mentioned the name of 16 it? 17 A. I can't testify to that, that he		9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	has r how fair o didn	nuse I don't know he claims Motley never talked to him, so I don't know in any event. MS. WERTHEIMER: That's not a characterization. He said Motley 't talk to him about the Butler case. MR. SHEFFLER: Yesterday he testified Motley didn't talk to him	
10 A. Newspapers. 11. Q. Okay. Nobody Mr. Motley 12 never said a word to you about the Butler 13 case? 14 A. Not that I know of, no. 15 Q. Never even mentioned the name of 16 it? 17 A. I can't testify to that, that he 18 never mentioned the name.		9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	has r how fair o didn said abou	nuse I don't know he claims Motley never talked to him, so I don't know in any event. MS. WERTHEIMER: That's not a characterization. He said Motley 't talk to him about the Butler case. MR. SHEFFLER: Yesterday he testified Motley didn't talk to him at any of the sailing and boating	
10 A. Newspapers. 11 Q. Okay. Nobody Mr. Motley 12 never said a word to you about the Butler 13 case? 14 A. Not that I know of, no. 15 Q. Never even mentioned the name of 16 it? 17 A. I can't testify to that, that he 18 never mentioned the name. 19 Q. Okay. But you don't recall him		9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	has r how fair o didn	use I don't know he claims Motley never talked to him, so I don't know in any event. MS. WERTHEIMER: That's not a characterization. He said Motley 't talk to him about the Butler case. MR. SHEFFLER: Yesterday he testified Motley didn't talk to him at any of the sailing and boating er.	
10 A. Newspapers. 11 Q. Okay. Nobody Mr. Motley 12 never said a word to you about the Butler 13 case? 14 A. Not that I know of, no. 15 Q. Never even mentioned the name of 16 it? 17 A. I can't testify to that, that he 18 never mentioned the name. 19 Q. Okay. But you don't recall him 20 ever telling you anything		9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	has r how fair (didn said about eithe	nuse I don't know he claims Motley never talked to him, so I don't know in any event. MS. WERTHEIMER: That's not a characterization. He said Motley 't talk to him about the Butler case. MR. SHEFFLER: Yesterday he testified Motley didn't talk to him at any of the sailing and boating tr. MS. WERTHEIMER: Before the	
10 A. Newspapers. 11 Q. Okay. Nobody Mr. Motley 12 never said a word to you about the Butler 13 case? 14 A. Not that I know of, no. 15 Q. Never even mentioned the name of 16 it? 17 A. I can't testify to that, that he 18 never mentioned the name. 19 Q. Okay. But you don't recall him 20 ever telling you anything 21 A. I never sat down with Mr. Motley		9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	has r how fair of didn said about either	nuse I don't know he claims Motley never talked to him, so I don't know in any event. MS. WERTHEIMER: That's not a characterization. He said Motley 't talk to him about the Butler case. MR. SHEFFLER: Yesterday he testified Motley didn't talk to him at any of the sailing and boating it. MS. WERTHEIMER: Before the sitton.	
10 A. Newspapers. 11 Q. Okay. Nobody Mr. Motley 12 never said a word to you about the Butler 13 case? 14 A. Not that I know of, no. 15 Q. Never even mentioned the name of 16 it? 17 A. I can't testify to that, that he 18 never mentioned the name. 19 Q. Okay. But you don't recall him 20 ever telling you anything 21 A. I never sat down with Mr. Motley 22 and discussed the Butler case, no.		9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	has r how fair o didn said about either depo	nuse I don't know he claims Motley never talked to him, so I don't know in any event. MS. WERTHEIMER: That's not a characterization. He said Motley 't talk to him about the Butler case. MR. SHEFFLER: Yesterday he testified Motley didn't talk to him at any of the sailing and boating etc. MS. WERTHEIMER: Before the sition. Did Motley ever talk to you	
10 A. Newspapers. 11 Q. Okay. Nobody Mr. Motley 12 never said a word to you about the Butler 13 case? 14 A. Not that I know of, no. 15 Q. Never even mentioned the name of 16 it? 17 A. I can't testify to that, that he 18 never mentioned the name. 19 Q. Okay. But you don't recall him 20 ever telling you anything 21 A. I never sat down with Mr. Motley		9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	has r how fair o didn said about either depo	nuse I don't know he claims Motley never talked to him, so I don't know in any event. MS. WERTHEIMER: That's not a characterization. He said Motley 't talk to him about the Butler case. MR. SHEFFLER: Yesterday he testified Motley didn't talk to him at any of the sailing and boating it. MS. WERTHEIMER: Before the sitton.	

CURTIN, SCHNEIDER & LAWREY

Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand VOLUME 15

Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

Page 2:	521	Page 252
1 Q. After the deposition, let's put	I matters; as a consultant, in tobacco	_
2 it that way. Did Motley ever talk to you	2 matters; and also you had discussions with	
about the Moore case after the deposition?	3 him as your attorney, right?	
4 A. Briefly.	4 A. Yes.	
5 Q. When?	5 Q. When you had discussions with	
6 A. In passing; I can't recall it	6 him with respect to the Moore case, in	
7 specifically.	7 what relationship were you with Mr.	
8 Q In passing when you were passing	8 Motley? Was it just talking as friends,	
9 each other down in South Carolina when he	9 was it talking as a consultant, fact	
0 flew you down there?	10 witness, or was it talking to him as your	
I A Yeah, could have been then, yes.	11 attorney? Which of those in your mind?	
2 Q. What did he say?	12 A. My attorney.	
3 A. Nothing in specific. We tend	13 Q. Okay. So you are saying that he	
4 not to talk about tobacco or at least the	14 is your attorney with respect to	
5 issues on the table.	15 discussions involving the Moore case?	
6 Q. You tend not to talk about	16 A. No, he is my personal attorney.	
7 tobacco?	17 O. I'm asking you, sit	
8 A. Yes.	18 A. And I'm involved in lots of	
	19 litigation.	
9 Q. He flew you down for three days, to two days and two days, and in those	20 Q with respect to the	
episodes, those seven days, you didn't	21 conversations about Moore that you have	
22 talk about tobacco?	22 had with Mr. Motley, with respect to the	
	23 conversations, the in-passing	
	24 conversations you had with Moore, okay?	
24 all the time. I talked in general terms	_	
Page 2	77	Page 252
1 of tobacco, yes.	· · ·	
2 Q. Did he fly you down there	2 conversations. That includes just	
3 because you are his personal friend and	3 mentioning the case, you try to move it	
4 you wanted to spend the weekend together?	4 into substantive conversations.	
5 A. 1 think that's part of it, yes.	5 Q. Okay. Did you do anything more	
6 Q. So you are a personal friend of	6 than	
7 Motley's?	7 A. I have had no let me	
8 A. I think I have developed a	8 clarify. I have had no substantive	
9 relationship with Mr. Motley, yes.	9 conversations with Mr. Motley relative to	
10 Q. When did you develop this	10 Butier and/or Moore.	
11 relationship?	11 Q. Have you talked to Mr. Moore	
12 A. During 1996.	12 about the Moore case, Mike Moore?	
13 Q Okay. Now, you told us three	13 A Not since November of 1994.	
14 occasions when you met with Motley. When	14 MS. WERTHEDMER: '947	
15 did you develop the personal relationship	15 THE WITNESS: '95, I'm sorry.	
16 so that he would fly you down as his	16 Q. So you talked to Mr. Moore in	
17 fnend?	17 1995, November? That was at the time of	
18 A. I didn't say as his friend only.	18 the deposition; around the time of the	
19 I said as I became associated with Mr.	19 deposition?	
20 Motley and as my personal attorney we	20 A. Around the time of the	
21 developed a friendship.	21 deposition.	
22 Q Okay, sir. So Mr. Motley now	22 Q Okay. You were down there on the	
23 you have had discussions with him as a	23 28th and 29th; correct?	

Page 2521 - Page 2524

CURTIN, SCHNEIDER & LAWREY

(B&W) PROTECTED BY MINNESOTA TOBACCO LITIGATION PROTECTIVE ORDER Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand WOLUME 15 Williamson vs. Wigand Williamson vs. Wigand

	Page 2525 Page 252
1 Q I'm asking you. Were you down	1 of the deposition?
2 there?	2 A. Have I seen any portions? Other
3 A. I can't recall specifically,	3 than what's been on the Internet?
4 Q You were down there on the 28th,	4 Q. Well, I don't know. Have you
5 we know that, don't we? You were down	5 seen it on the Internet?
6 there on the 29th, were you not?	6 A. I have seen parts of it on the
7 A. I may have been, I can't recall.	7 Internet, yes. Everybody has seen it.
8 Q You testified on the 29th in	B Q. I'm asking about you.
9 Pascagoula, Mississippi.	9 A. I think I have seen it on the
0 A. I assume. I was probably there	10 Imernet.
1 but that's what I assume.	11 Q. You have? Did you read it?
2 Q. Did you leave immediately after	12 A. Partiy, yes.
your testimony?	13 Q. Partly?
4 A. Yes.	14 A. Um-hum.
5 Q. So did you when did you	15 Q. This was your testimony, you
6 speak with Moore then? Was it before the	16 weren't interested in reading it?
7 deposition?	17 A. No. Because it was going to be
B A. In passing. No substantive	18 resumed.
9 conversation.	19 Q. Well, I understand it was going
0 Q. And did you talk to Don	20 to be resumed but weren't you curious as
i Barrett? Have you ever met Don Barrett?	21 to what the deposition looked like?
2 A. I wouldn't know Don Barrett if I	22 A. No, not really.
3 fell over him. I'm not sure I know him.	23 Q. Okay. I see. Did you ever get
4 Q. How about an attorney by the	24 a copy of any portions of the deposition
Q. How doods an actoring by the	
	Page 2526 Page 25:
1 name of Leger, Walter Leger?	Lother than from perhaps the Internet?
2 A. No.	2 A. No.
3 Q. Never talked to him?	3 Q. Did you ever see any of the
4 A. No.	4 video of the deposition?
5 Q Now, sir, who was it that talked	5 A. No.
6 to you about resuming the deposition then?	6 Q. And you have never talked to any
7 A. I think Mr. Scruggs may have	7 of your attorneys about the deposition
8 talked to me about it. But I just	8 testimony itself?
•	8 testimony itself? 9 A. No.
9 there has been no substantive conversation	8 testimony itself? 9 A. No. 10 MR. ALDOCK: Excluding the
9 there has been no substantive conversation 0 on resuming the deposition.	8 testimony itself? 9 A. No. 10 MR. ALDOCK: Excluding the 11 attorneys he has now?
9 there has been no substantive conversation 10 on resuming the deposition.	8 testimony itself? 9 A. No. 10 MR. ALDOCK: Excluding the 11 attorneys he has now? 12 Q. Excluding the attorneys that
9 there has been no substantive conversation 10 on resuming the deposition. 11 Q. No one ever asked you what your	8 testimony itself? 9 A. No. 10 MR. ALDOCK: Excluding the 11 attorneys he has now?
9 there has been no substantive conversation 10 on resuming the deposition. 11 Q. No one ever asked you what your 12 availability at the end of May to further 13 testify in the case?	8 testimony itself? 9 A. No. 10 MR. ALDOCK: Excluding the 11 attorneys he has now? 12 Q. Excluding the attorneys that
9 there has been no substantive conversation 0 on resuming the deposition. 11 Q. No one ever asked you what your 2 availability at the end of May to further 13 testify in the case? 14 A. No.	8 testimony itself? 9 A. No. 10 MR. ALDOCK: Excluding the 11 attorneys he has now? 12 Q. Excluding the attorneys that 13 represent you in this action, Shea &
9 there has been no substantive conversation 10 on resuming the deposition. 11 Q. No one ever asked you what your 12 availability at the end of May to further 13 testify in the case? 14 A. No. 15 Q. No one talked to you about	8 testimony itself? 9 A. No. 10 MR. ALDOCK: Excluding the 11 attorneys he has now? 12 Q. Excluding the attorneys that 13 represent you in this action, Shea & 14 Gardener?
9 there has been no substantive conversation 0 on resuming the deposition. 1 Q. No one ever asked you what your 2 availability at the end of May to further 3 testify in the case? 4 A. No. 15 Q. No one talked to you about 6 resuming the deposition in February of	8 testimony itself? 9 A. No. 10 MR. ALDOCK: Excluding the 11 attorneys he has now? 12 Q. Excluding the attorneys that 13 represent you in this action, Shea & 14 Gardener? 15 A. No.
9 there has been no substantive conversation 0 on resuming the deposition. 11 Q. No one ever asked you what your 2 availability at the end of May to further 13 testify in the case? 14 A. No. 15 Q. No one talked to you about 16 resuming the deposition in February of 17 1996?	8 testimony itself? 9 A. No. 10 MR. ALDOCK: Excluding the 11 attorneys he has now? 12 Q. Excluding the attorneys that 13 represent you in this action, Shea & 14 Gardener? 15 A. No. 16 Q. Excluding Shea & Gardener?
9 there has been no substantive conversation 10 on resuming the deposition. 11 Q. No one ever asked you what your 12 availability at the end of May to further 13 testify in the case? 14 A. No. 15 Q. No one talked to you about 16 resuming the deposition in February of 17 1996? 18 A. No. It's been indefinitely	8 testimony itself? 9 A. No. 10 MR. ALDOCK: Excluding the 11 attorneys he has now? 12 Q. Excluding the attorneys that 13 represent you in this action, Shea & 14 Gardener? 15 A. No. 16 Q. Excluding Shea & Gardener? 17 A. I talked to -1 talked to I
9 there has been no substantive conversation 10 on resuming the deposition. 11 Q. No one ever asked you what your 12 availability at the end of May to further 13 testify in the case? 14 A. No. 15 Q. No one talked to you about 16 resuming the deposition in February of 17 1996? 18 A. No. It's been indefinitely 19 postponed.	8 testimony itself? 9 A. No. 10 MR. ALDOCK: Excluding the 11 attorneys he has now? 12 Q. Excluding the attorneys that 13 represent you in this action, Shea & 14 Gardener? 15 A. No. 16 Q. Excluding Shea & Gardener? 17 A. I talked to -1 talked to I 18 guess Doheny and Thompson, I think. Is
9 there has been no substantive conversation 0 on resuming the deposition. 11 Q. No one ever asked you what your 2 availability at the end of May to further 13 testify in the case? 14 A. No. 15 Q. No one talked to you about 16 resuming the deposition in February of 17 1996? 18 A. No. It's been indefinitely 19 postponed. 10 Q. No one has ever talked to you	8 testimony itself? 9 A. No. 10 MR. ALDOCK: Excluding the 11 attorneys he has now? 12 Q. Excluding the attorneys that 13 represent you in this action, Shea & 14 Gardener? 15 A. No. 16 Q. Excluding Shea & Gardener? 17 A. I talked to -1 talked to I 18 guess Doheny and Thompson, I think. Is 19 that considered part of it? 20 Q. You talked to them about the
9 there has been no substantive conversation 10 on resuming the deposition. 11 Q. No one ever asked you what your 12 availability at the end of May to further 13 testify in the case? 14 A. No. 15 Q. No one talked to you about 16 resuming the deposition in February of 17 1996? 18 A. No. It's been indefinitely 19 postponed. 20 Q. No one has ever talked to you 21 about any times that you have available to	8 testimony itself? 9 A. No. 10 MR. ALDOCK: Excluding the 11 attorneys he has now? 12 Q. Excluding the attorneys that 13 represent you in this action, Shea & 14 Gardener? 15 A. No. 16 Q. Excluding Shea & Gardener? 17 A. I talked to -1 talked to I 18 guess Doheny and Thompson, I think. Is 19 that considered part of it? 20 Q. You talked to them about the 21 deposition
9 there has been no substantive conversation 10 on resuming the deposition. 11 Q. No one ever asked you what your 12 availability at the end of May to further 13 testify in the case? 14 A. No. 15 Q. No one talked to you about 16 resuming the deposition in February of 17 1996? 18 A. No. It's been indefinitely 19 postponed. 20 Q. No one has ever talked to you 21 about any times that you have available to 22 resume the deposition?	8 testimony itself? 9 A. No. 10 MR. ALDOCK: Excluding the 11 attorneys he has now? 12 Q. Excluding the attorneys that 13 represent you in this action, Shea & 14 Gardener? 15 A. No. 16 Q. Excluding Shea & Gardener? 17 A. I talked to -1 talked to I 18 guess Doheny and Thompson, I think. Is 19 that considered part of it? 20 Q. You talked to them about the 21 deposition 22 A. Afterwards.
9 there has been no substantive conversation 10 on resuming the deposition. 11 Q. No one ever asked you what your 12 availability at the end of May to further 13 testify in the case? 14 A. No. 15 Q. No one talked to you about 16 resuming the deposition in February of 17 1996? 18 A. No. It's been indefinitely 19 postponed. 20 Q. No one has ever talked to you	8 testimony itself? 9 A. No. 10 MR. ALDOCK: Excluding the 11 attorneys he has now? 12 Q. Excluding the attorneys that 13 represent you in this action, Shea & 14 Gardener? 15 A. No. 16 Q. Excluding Shea & Gardener? 17 A. I talked to -1 talked to I 18 guess Doheny and Thompson, I think. Is 19 that considered part of it? 20 Q. You talked to them about the 21 deposition

CURTIN, SCHNEIDER & LAWREY

Page 2525 - Page 2528

Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand VOLUME 15

Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

Page	e 2529 Page 253
Q. I'm unclear. Did you or didn't	1 A. As well as Mr. Scruggs.
you?	2 Q. He was the attorney of record in
3 MR. ALDOCK: Are you	3 this case; correct?
4 saying about the deposition that includes	4 A. And Mr. Scruggs was also my
5 the fact of it.	5 attorney.
6 Q. Did you talk to them about	6 Q. Let me just ask you this: Did
7 testimony?	7 you do think you had an obligation to talk
8 A. Be more specific with your	8 to Mr. Doheny or not about the TRO and its
9 question.	9 effect on deposition subpoema?
0 Q Did Frank Doheny know that you	10 A. No.
1 were going down to testify in that	11 O. So when was the first time you
12 deposition before you went?	12 talked to Mr. Doheny about the deposition
3 A. I don't know. You have to ask	13 occurring in Moore?
4 Mr. Doheny.	14 A. Probably afterwards.
15 Q. You didn't notify him that you	15 Q. How long afterwards?
6 were going down to Mississippi to testify	16 A. I can't recall.
17 in the Moore case; did you?	17 O. Okay. Have you been listed to
8 A. I don't think I had.	18 be a witness in any other case to your
19 Q. He didn't know that you were	19 knowledge than the ones we have talked
20 going down	20 about; Butler, Moore and this case? Have
2) A. I don't think so.	21 you been listed as a witness in any other
22 Q on the 28th of November to	22 case, concerning tobacco, I'm not talking
23 testify in the Moore case, did he?	23 about any domestic matters, I'm just
24 A. I don't know what he knows, no.	24 talking about tobacco.
	ge 2530 Page 253
	2 Q. Have you ever heard of the case
2 A. I didn't tell him.	3 called Carter?
3 Q Okay. Did you think that you	'
4 had an obligation to tell your attorney	
5 about your testimony in Moore, the	6 attorney called Woody Wilner?
6 attorney representing you in this action?	↓
7 Did you think you had an obligation to do	
8 that?	8 Q. Has he ever contacted you?
9 A. I may have, but I didn't.	9 A. No.
10 Q. Why not?	10 Q Have you ever written or
11 A. I just didn't.	11 communicated to him in any way?
12 Q. Did you think that you had an	12 A. No.
13 obligation to discuss with your attorney	13 Q. Have you ever given permission
14 in this action, since there was a pending	14 to him or anyone in his office to list you
15 order of the court requiring you to do	15 as a witness?
16 certain things when you were subpoensed	16 A. No.
17 for deposition? Did you think you had an	17 Q. Did you tell Mr. Scruggs about
18 obligation to talk to your attorney about	18 the existence of the TRO in this case?
19 that?	19 A. I don't recall.
20 A. Mr. Scruggs is also my attorney	20 Q. Did you know that the TRO in
2) at that time.	21 this case — strike that.
22 Q. Mr. Doheny was your attorney in	22 When did you first learn that
	I a second to the second to th
23 this case, correct, at the time of the	23 there was a TRO or temporary restraining 24 order; do you know what I am talking about

CURTIN, SCHNEIDER & LAWREY

562630672

Page 2529 - Page 2532

B&W | PROTECTED BY MINNESOTA TOBACCO LITIGATION PROTECTIVE ORDER Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

Pag	re 2533 Page 253
when I say a TRO?	1 THE COURT: If your objection
2 A. Um-hum.	2 was to violation rather than to
Q. When was the first time that you	3 conversation then that's
were aware of the TRO?	4 MR. SHEFFLER: I'm going to
S.A. I can't recall.	5 rephrase the question.
6 O. It was before the Moore	6 BY MR. SHEFFLER:
deposition; was it not?	7 Q. Have you discussed with your
8 A. I believe it was it probably	8 attorneys what a violation of a TRO would
9 was before the Moore deposition but I	9 be?
can't recall specifically.	10 THE COURT: Can we change
Q. Did you ever get a copy of the	11 "violation" to what the TRO required of
2 TRO?	12 him? Is that what you are really after?
3 A. I'm sure I have gotten a copy,	13 What is the temporary restraining order
	14 MR SHEFFLER: Yes, your Honor.
4 yes.	15 THE COURT: That would require
5 Q. Was it served upon you, Sir,	16 you to do or prevent you from doing?
6 with a copy of the complaint in this	17 THE WITNESS: I think I
7 action?	18 understood what the TRO prevented me from
8 A. Was it served on me?	19 doing.
9 Q. Do you know what served means?	
0 A. Yeah, I think I do.	20 THE COURT: What was your 21 understanding is what he is asking you.
Q. Okay. Was it served on you with	
2 a copy of the complaint in this action?	22 THE WITNESS: Of releasing any 23 information relative to Brown &
3 A. I believe so.	
4 Q. Did you discuss the TRO with	24 Williamson. I was under a federal
Pa	ge 2534 Page 253
1 your attorneys?	1 subpoena, to give testimony.
2 A Yes.	2 THE COURT: He is not asking
3 Q. Did your attorneys strike	3 you that. He is asking you about the
4 that.	4 temporary restraining order in the
5 Did you discuss the violation of	5 Kentucky case. What did it prevent you
6 this TRO with Mr. Scruggs?	6 from doing?
7 MR. ALDOCK: Objection.	7 THE WITNESS: Discussing Brown
8 MR. SHEFFLER: I will withdraw	8 & Williamson material.
9 the question, your Honor, rephrase it.	9 Q. Okay, Did it
10 THE COURT: There is some -	10 A. In the broadest sense of making
11 that's not an improper question, though.	11 disparaging remarks I think was what it
12 MR. ALDOCK: He is assuming the	12 was written in.
13 legal conclusion, that it's been violated.	13 Q. Okay. Did it also require you,
14 THE COURT: Well, there is a	14 if you had a subpoena, to give notice to
15 motion on the table, as I understand it,	15 Brown & Williamson and give Brown &
16 for contempt. And of course, as we know,	16 Williamson an opportunity to talk with you
	17 and to cooperate with them?
17 advice of counsel is potential defense as	18 A. Yes.
18 long as a reason be given. And I think	19 O. You understood that too?
19 they should be permitted they have got	a district and a second ded to
20 to know going in what defenses he is	20 A. And that notice was provided to
21 claiming.	
22 MR. ALDOCK: That's fair. He	22 to take avail of it.
23 can't just the word "violation". He can	23 Q. Let me ask you this, sir: When
24 ask the question.	24 was the first time you knew you were going

Page 2533 - Page 2536

Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand

Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

	Page 2537				Page 2539
to Mississippi to testify?		1	in M	ississippi, would be a violation of	
2 A. I can't recall. But notice was		•2	the T	RO?	
3 provided to Brown & Williamson.		3	A.	I didn't believe I was violating	
4 Q. You have to answer my questions.	į.	4	the T		
5 A. Okay.	i	5	Q.	I know you didn't believe it.	
6 Q When was the first time and I	İ	6	But I	'm trying to find out why. Did you	
7 know if it's not specific give me a		7	discu	uss it with Scruggs?	
8 general range. Was it the day before you		8	A.	Because I had a subporns from	
9 went?		9	the U	nited States Government, I was	
0 A. Could have been, yeah.	1	10	respo	ending to. And I	
1 Q. Could it have been the week		I 1	subsc	equently while I was in	
2 before you went?	1	12	Miss	issippi, I had a court order from a	
3 A. Ne.		13	Miss	iss ippi judge .	
4 Q. Did you not when was the		14	Q.	Sir, did you discuss it with Mr.	
5 first time you were served with process, a	<u> </u>	15	Scru	ggs or not?	
6 subpoens in the case of Moore or Butler?		16	A.	I can't remember.	
7 A. I can't recall but I have to		17	Q.	Did you discuss it with Mr.	
8 refresh my memory by looking at the	ļ:	18	Dohe	my or anyone in Mr. Doheny's office?	
9 papers.		19	A.	When?	
20 Q. Okay. Would it be correct to	:	20	Q.	Prior to the deposition.	
21 say, sir, that when you were served with a	į:	21	A.	Not to my recollection.	
22 subpoena, you realized that you were going	:	22	Q.	Okay. In fact, you didn't	
23 to be asked to testify in Moore?	1:	23	discu	iss the fact of the deposition prior	
24 A I believe that's correct.	:	24	to its	occurrence with Mr. Doheny, did	
	Page 2538				Page 254
1 Q. Okay. Would it be correct, sir,	•	1	you?		• -
2 that when you were first asked to be flown			A.	No.	
3 down to Mississippi you knew you were		3	Q.	Okay. Was there any lawyer who	
4 going to be served with subpoena?		4	you (discussed the temporary restraining	
5 A. I didn't know that. I think I		5	orde	and its effect on your participation	
6 testified to that already.	ļ	6	in th	Moore deposition? Was there any	
7 Q. All right. Now, did you discuss				ney that you talked about that with?	
8 with Mr. Scruggs whether your trip to			Α.	Before?	
9 Mississippi and testimony in Moore was	Į.	9	Q.	Before the Moore deposition.	
10 going to be a violation of the TRO: did	Ì		Ā.	Not that I can recall.	
11 you discuss it with him?	1		0.	I'm just going to throw these	
12 A. I was responding to a federal			-	es out just to eliminate them.	
13 CD.				golin?	
14 MS. WERTHEIMER: Answer his	;		A.	I can't remember a specific	
15 question.	ļ	-		ersation.	
	1		0.	So it's your testimony today	
•			-	no attorney advised you with respect	
16 THE WITNESS: I don't recall a	1	17			
THE WITNESS: I don't recall a discussion with Mr. Scruggs on that	i			e temporary restraining order's	
THE WITNESS: I don't recall a discussion with Mr. Scruggs on that matter.		18	to the	e temporary restraining order's	
THE WITNESS: I don't recall a to discussion with Mr. Scruggs on that matter. Q You don't recall ever discussing		18 19	to the	t on your going down to Mississippi	
THE WITNESS: I don't recall a discussion with Mr. Scruggs on that matter. You don't recall ever discussing ti with him before the Moore deposition —		18 19 20	to the effect to tes	t on your going down to Mississippi stify in Moore prior to the	
THE WITNESS: I don't recall a 17 discussion with Mr. Scruggs on that 18 matter. 19 Q You don't recall ever discussing 20 it with him before the Moore deposition — 21 A. No.		18 19 20 21	to the effect to test depos	t on your going down to Mississippi stify in Moore prior to the sition of Moore?	
THE WITNESS: I don't recall a discussion with Mr. Scruggs on that matter. You don't recall ever discussing it with him before the Moore deposition— A. No. Q — at all? You never recall		18 19 20 21 22	to the effect to test depos	on your going down to Mississippi stify in Moore prior to the sition of Moore? I can't recall a specific	
THE WITNESS: I don't recall a The witness: I don't recall a recall a The witness: I don't		18 19 20 21 22 23	to the effect to test depos	t on your going down to Mississippi stify in Moore prior to the sition of Moore?	

CURTIN, SCHNEIDER & LAWREY

Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand VOLUME 15

Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

Page 2541	ĺ	Page 254
conversation?	MR. SHEFFLER: Prior to going.	
THE COURT: To clear up our	2 MR. ALDOCK. Hold on one second.	
record, when you mention Frank Doheny, are	3 (Discussion reld off the	
4 you including Todd Thompson?	4 record.)	
5 MR. SHEFFLER: Thank you, your	5 MR. ALDOCK: I will object to	
6 Honor. When I said Frank Doheny, Todd	6 the form of that, your Honor. It seems to	
7 Thompson, anybody in his office. I	7 me they can ask him facts but they can't	
8 thought Todd Thompson was in his office.	8 ask him the legal conclusion of whether he	
9 THE COURT: He is and Marvin	9 is relying on, because he doesn't know	
0 Heard was at that time too.	10 what he is relying on. They can ask him	
1 MR SHEFFLER: Okay, Any of	It factual questions but they can't ask him a	
2 those attorneys.	12 legal question.	
3 THE COURT: You have mentioned	13 THE COURT: Except have we not	
4 several in that office.	14 established - has not Mr. Sheffler	
5 THE WITNESS: Mike Hirn.	15 established that if I understand the	
6 THE COURT: Mike Hirn, I'm	16 witness correct, he is saying he didn't	
7 sorry.	17 discuss it with any lawyer.	
8 BY MR. SHEFFLER:	18 MR, ALDOCK: I quite agree. And	
9 Q. Any of those attorneys; have you	19 he can take that for what it's worth and	
talked to any of them?	20 run with it, and he will. But I don't	
A. I can't recall.	21 think he can ask the ultimate legal	
2 Q You said specific, I just want	22 question to the layman. He can get his	
3 to make sure we have this. Can you recall	23 conclusion in the ways that your Honor has	
4 generally talking to them about the TRO	24 said maybe he has already got it. But he	
		Page 254
Page 2542	1 can't ask him the legal question.	Lage 13
1 and its effect on the Moore deposition	2 MR. SHEFFLER: 1'm not sure	
2 that you were going to down to testify in?		
3 A. Not that I can recall.	3 this is necessarily legal. I'm asking him	
4 Q Not that you recall. You	4 if at the time he was relying upon advice	
5 recognize that there is a charge of	5 of counsel	
	a mere constant rabials into a	
6 contempt in this case for violating a	6 THE COURT: I think it's a	
7 temporary restraining order issued by the	7 proper question.	
7 temporary restraining order issued by the 8 court in Kentucky?	7 proper question. 8 MR. SHEFFLER: - he said he	
7 temporary restraining order issued by the 8 court in Kentucky? 9 A. I also understand I had	7 proper question. 8 MR. SHEFFLER: - he said he 9 knew thank you.	
7 temporary restraining order issued by the 8 court in Kentucky?	7 proper question. 8 MR. SHEFFLER: - he said he 9 knew thank you. 10 (Discussion held off the	
7 temporary restraining order issued by the 8 court in Kentucky? 9 A. I also understand I had	7 proper question. 8 MR. SHEFFLER: - he said he 9 knew thank you. 10 (Discussion held off the 11 record.)	
7 temporary restraining order issued by the 8 court in Kentucky? 9 A. I also understand I had 10 conflicting orders from different judges 11 and different courts. 12 Q. Are you relying upon the advice	7 proper question. 8 MR. SHEFFLER: - he said he 9 knew thank you. 10 (Discussion held off the 11 record.) 12 BY MR. SHEFFLER:	
7 temporary restraining order issued by the 8 court in Kentucky? 9 A. I also understand I had 10 conflicting orders from different judges 11 and different courts.	7 proper question. 8 MR. SHEFFLER: - he said he 9 knew thank you. 10 (Discussion held off the 11 record.)	
7 temporary restraining order issued by the 8 court in Kentucky? 9 A. I also understand I had 10 conflicting orders from different judges 11 and different courts. 12 Q. Are you relying upon the advice	7 proper question. 8 MR. SHEFFLER: - he said he 9 knew thank you. 10 (Discussion held off the 11 record.) 12 BY MR. SHEFFLER: 13 Q. Anytime you are ready to answer 14 the question go ahead.	
7 temporary restraining order issued by the 8 court in Kentucky? 9 A. I also understand I had 10 conflicting orders from different judges 11 and different courts. 12 Q. Are you relying upon the advice 13 of your counsel as a defense to that	7 proper question. 8 MR. SHEFFLER: - he said he 9 knew thank you. 10 (Discussion held off the 11 record.) 12 BY MR. SHEFFLER: 13 Q. Anytime you are ready to answer	
7 temporary restraining order issued by the 8 court in Kentucky? 9 A. I also understand I had 10 conflicting orders from different judges 11 and different courts. 12 Q. Are you relying upon the advice 13 of your counsel as a defense to that 14 contempt charge?	7 proper question. 8 MR. SHEFFLER: - he said he 9 knew thank you. 10 (Discussion held off the 11 record.) 12 BY MR. SHEFFLER: 13 Q. Anytime you are ready to answer 14 the question go ahead. 15 A. Why don't you restate the 16 question.	
7 temporary restraining order issued by the 8 court in Kentucky? 9 A. I also understand I had 10 conflicting orders from different judges 11 and different courts. 12 Q. Are you relying upon the advice 13 of your counsel as a defense to that 14 contempt charge? 15 A. I'm relying —	7 proper question. 8 MR. SHEFFLER: — he said he 9 knew — thank you. 10 (Discussion held off the 11 record.) 12 BY MR. SHEFFLER: 13 Q. Anytime you are ready to answer 14 the question go ahead. 15 A. Why don't you restate the 16 question. 17 MR. SHEFFLER: Would you read	
7 temporary restraining order issued by the 8 court in Kentucky? 9 A. I also understand I had 10 conflicting orders from different judges 11 and different courts. 12 Q. Are you relying upon the advice 13 of your counsel as a defense to that 14 contempt charge? 15 A. I'm relying — 16 Q. Let me make the question clear.	7 proper question. 8 MR. SHEFFLER: - he said he 9 knew thank you. 10 (Discussion held off the 11 record.) 12 BY MR. SHEFFLER: 13 Q. Anytime you are ready to answer 14 the question go ahead. 15 A. Why don't you restate the 16 question.	
7 temporary restraining order issued by the 8 court in Kentucky? 9 A. I also understand I had 10 conflicting orders from different judges 11 and different courts. 12 Q. Are you relying upon the advice 13 of your counsel as a defense to that 14 contempt charge? 15 A. I'm relying — 16 Q. Let me make the question clear. 17 Are you relying as a defense to that	7 proper question. 8 MR. SHEFFLER: — he said he 9 knew — thank you. 10 (Discussion held off the 11 record.) 12 BY MR. SHEFFLER: 13 Q. Anytime you are ready to answer 14 the question go ahead. 15 A. Why don't you restate the 16 question. 17 MR. SHEFFLER: Would you read	
7 temporary restraining order issued by the 8 court in Kentucky? 9 A. I also understand I had 10 conflicting orders from different judges 11 and different courts. 12 Q. Are you relying upon the advice 13 of your counsel as a defense to that 14 contempt charge? 15 A. I'm relying — 16 Q. Let me make the question clear. 17 Are you relying as a defense to that 18 charge that you were advised by counsel	7 proper question. 8 MR. SHEFFLER: - he said he 9 knew thank you. 10 (Discussion held off the 11 record.) 12 BY MR. SHEFFLER: 13 Q. Anytume you are ready to answer 14 the question go ahead. 15 A. Why don't you restate the 16 question. 17 MR. SHEFFLER: Would you read 18 the question back? 19 A. There was a lot of sidebar. 20 Q. All right. Sir, are you relying	
reimporary restraining order issued by the 8 court in Kentucky? 9 A. I also understand I had 10 conflicting orders from different judges 11 and different courts. 12 Q. Are you relying upon the advice 13 of your counsel as a defense to that 14 contempt charge? 15 A. I'm relying 16 Q. Let me make the question clear. 17 Are you relying as a defense to that 18 charge that you were advised by counsel 19 with respect to your actions in going down	7 proper question. 8 MR. SHEFFLER: - he said he 9 knew thank you. 10 (Discussion held off the 11 record.) 12 BY MR. SHEFFLER: 13 Q. Anytume you are ready to answer 14 the question go ahead. 15 A. Why don't you restate the 16 question. 17 MR. SHEFFLER: Would you read 18 the question back? 19 A. There was a lot of sidebar.	
remporary restraining order issued by the 8 court in Kentucky? 9 A. I also understand I had 10 conflicting orders from different judges 11 and different courts. 12 Q. Are you relying upon the advice 13 of your counsel as a defense to that 14 contempt charge? 15 A. I'm relying 16 Q. Let me make the question clear. 17 Are you relying as a defense to that 18 charge that you were advised by counsel 19 with respect to your actions in going down 20 to Mississippi and testifying in the Moore 21 case?	7 proper question. 8 MR. SHEFFLER: - he said he 9 knew thank you. 10 (Discussion held off the 11 record.) 12 BY MR. SHEFFLER: 13 Q. Anytume you are ready to answer 14 the question go ahead. 15 A. Why don't you restate the 16 question. 17 MR. SHEFFLER: Would you read 18 the question back? 19 A. There was a lot of sidebar. 20 Q. All right. Sir, are you relying	
remporary restraining order issued by the 8 court in Kentucky? 9 A. I also understand I had 10 conflicting orders from different judges 11 and different courts. 12 Q. Are you relying upon the advice 13 of your counsel as a defense to that 14 contempt charge? 15 A. I'm relying 16 Q. Let me make the question clear. 17 Are you relying as a defense to that 18 charge that you were advised by counsel 19 with respect to your actions in going down 20 to Mississippi and testifying in the Moore 21 case?	7 proper question. 8 MR. SHEFFLER: - he said he 9 knew thank you. 10 (Discussion held off the 11 record.) 12 BY MR. SHEFFLER: 13 Q. Anytume you are ready to answer 14 the question go ahead. 15 A. Why don't you restate the 16 question. 17 MR. SHEFFLER: Would you read 18 the question back? 19 A. There was a lot of sidebar. 20 Q. All right. Sir, are you relying 21 upon any discussions or advice of counsel	

562630675

CURTIN, SCHNEIDER & LAWREY

Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand VOLUME 15

Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

F	Page 2545			Page 254
the charge of contempt?	ļ	1	(Mr. Wigand confers with counsel	
2 A. Yes.	<u> </u> -	2 of	f the record.)	
Q And whose advice are you relying	1 :	3	I'm not exactly sure when the	
upon?	-	4 ad	vice came.	
A. Mr. Scruggs.	:	5 Q.	Okay. Now, when you say when	
Q. When did Mr. Scruggs give you		6 th	e advice came you are talking about the	
7 advice with respect to the TRO and the	.	7 ać	vice with respect to the Moore case or	
8 deposition of Moore?		a th	e advice with respect to the CID?	
9 A. When I was in Mississippi.	1	9 A.	In regards to the TRO.	
O Q. Okay, sir. When in Mississippi?	10	0 Q	Okay. Now, did you get advice	
Because you have been in Mississippi a	i,	ı fr	om Scruggs with regards to the TRO and	
2 number of times, was it the time you went			e CID testimony or the TRO and the Moore	
3 down on Moore case?			stimony or both?	
4 A. It was day of giving the CID		4 A.		
5 deposition to the Department of Justice.	-	5 Q.		
6 Q. That was November 29th, 1995.	I		at advice came on the day of the Moore	
7 A. I believe that's the date we	i		position and the CID depositions or	
8 were using.			efore?	
9 Q Okay, Now, when you gave the		9 A.		
	_		sed of the Department of Justice was	
deposition in the CID, that was the			etty clear that I was to appear.	
morning before the deposition in Moore; is	:	11 P1 12 Q	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
2 that right?	1	-		
3 A. Um-hum.			ally do want to try to wrap up as	
4 Q. Was Mr. Scruggs advising you		za gi	nickly possible. That's not responsive.	
!	Page 2546			Page 25
1 prior to that CID deposition or after		1 I'	m asking you about when?	
2 about the Moore case and the violation of		2 A	I don't know, I cannot recall	
3 the TRO?		3 C2	cactly when.	
4 A. After.	ļ	4 Q	It's a very important thing so	
5 Q Did Mr. Scruggs give you any		5 I'	m am going to try to press you on this	
6 advice with respect to the testimony you	Ì	6 if	I can.	
7 were giving in the CED?		7 A	Press all you want.	
8 A. He was in the CID.		8 Q	When did you first meet Scruggs	
9 Q. Lunderstand that. Did he give		9 as	ter the issuance of the TRO?	
0 you any advice with respect to whether	1	10 A	The day I flew down to	
I testifying at the CID was a violation of	ı	n M	lississippi.	
2 the TRO?	ı	12 Q	That was the first time you met	
3 A. I believe what I was my	1	13 hi	m to talk about anything about after the	
4 understanding was that the federal	1		suance of the TRO?	
5 subpoena took precedence over any other	1,	15 A		
6 order. And I responded to the federal	1.	••	im. You asked me when I first met	
7 CID.			cruggs; after the issuance of the TRO	
8 Q I understand that's one of your		18 Q	**	
9 defenses, sir. My question is a different	I	-	second? I thought you looked a little	
			azzled. Maybe you better confer with him	
20 question. My question is, did he give you	I		you would like to.	
any advice with respect to the violation			(Mr. Wigand confers with counsel	
22 or potential violation of the TRO and your		22	_	
23 testimony at the CID?	17		ff the record.)	
24 A I believe that	12	24	THE COURT Before we break	

Page 2545 - Page 2548

Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand VOLUME 15

Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

OLUME 15	Page 2549 Page 255
1 let's clear up one thing. I think there	1 just plain factual from records. If I
2 is some confusion over whether you are	2 understand correctly, the Kentucky TRO,
asking him when did he first meet Mr.	3 the temporary restraining order issued in
	4 this action, Brown & Williamson versus Dr.
Scruggs, blanket period, or when did you	5 Wigand, was issued and served on
5 first meet Mr. Scruggs after the temporary	6 Dr. Wigand on November 21, 1995.
6 restraining order was issued.	
7 MR. SHEFFLER: That's exactly	
8 I want to know when he first met Scruggs	8 the Mississippi Moore case, was November
9 after the TRO.	9 29, 1995.
0 MS. WERTHEIMER: When you mean	10 So we are working within those
i met, do you mean physically meet him?	11 perimeters there of about eight days
2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I am still on	12 roughly. Is that essentially correct?
3 the record on this.	13 MR. ALDOCK: Yes.
4 THE COURT: Yes, we are still on	14 THE COURT: 11-29 is the depo,
5 the record.	15 as I understand it and served with the
6 MR. ALDOCK. Let's go off the	16 restraining order on November 21.
17 record.	17 THE WITNESS: 11-21 was the
8 THE COURT: I think this ought	18 Mississippi subpoena.
19 to stay on. Stay on a minute.	19 THE COURT: I don't think we
20 MS WERTHEIMER: When I heard	20 have established the date of the subpoena
21 your question, I thought you meant	21 yet.
22 physically meet fact to face. Do you mean	22 MS. WERTHEIMER: I think they
23 that or do you mean	23 have previously, your Honor.
24 MR. SHEFFLER: The first	24 THE COURT: It may have been in
	Page 2550 Page 25
t question was meet. The second question	t the July depo, but I don't think there
2 will be communicate.	2 morning we have talked about the date of
	3 the issuance of the subpoena. Let's go
MS WERTHEIMER: Okay, But I 4 just want to make sure we are on the first	4 from there now.
•	5 MR. SHEFFLER: The question
5 question and it's physically meet.	6 that was pending when we broke that Mr.
6 MR. SHEFFLER: Right. 7 MS. WERTHEIMER: The TRO issued	7 Wigand conferred with counsel about was
	8 the first time that he met with Scruggs,
8 on the 21st of November.	9 after the issuance of the TRO and before
9 THE COURT: And served on	10 the testimony in Moore.
10 MR. SHEFFLER: 1 think it was	The second secon
it the 21st.	
12 MS. WERTHEIMER: The 21st.	
13 THE COURT: Issued the 21st,	13 Mr. Scruggs the evening of the 28th.
14 served the 21st.	14 THE COURT: The evening before
15 MR. SHEFFLER: Okay.	15 your deposition was taken?
16 (Recess taken.)	16 THE WITNESS: Yeah.
17 THE COURT: Are we ready?	17 THE COURT: All right.
18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on	18 BY MR. SHEFFLER:
19 the record, the time is approximately	19 Q. Okay. Now, prior to November
20 10:12.	20 28th, and after the TRO had been served
21 THE COURT: Let's see what we	21 upon you, had you had any discussions with
22 have established here. If I understand	22 Mr. Scruggs about the TRO?
23 correctly and these are things that	23 A. I believe I did.
124 should not be in dispute. I think they are	24 Q. When?

Page 2549 - Page 2552

Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand VOLIME 15

Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

Page	e 2553 Page 255
A. I believe I had telephone	1 A. Not to my recollection, no.
conversations with him on and off between	2 Q. Did Scruggs tell you that he
the 21st and the 29th the 28th.	3 sent - that he got a copy of the TRO
Q In those conversations, did you	4 from Doheny?
discuss the effect of the TRO on any	5 A. Not to my recollection.
testimony that you would be asked to give	6 Q. Did you give Scruggs a copy of
in the Moore case?	7 the TRO?
	8 A. Not to my recollection.
A. No.	9 Q. Did Scruggs tell you whether he
Q Did Mr. Scruggs have a copy of	10 had a copy of the TRO?
the TRO?	16 A. I can't recall.
A. I believe he may have. I'm not	
for sure.	12 Q. Do you know if Scruggs ever had
Q. When do you believe he first had	13 a copy of the TRO?
the copy of the TRO?	14 A. I assume he had a copy. And
A I'm not sure.	15 there was communication between Doheny and
Q In your discussions of the TRO.	16 Todd Thompson and Scruggs routinely, I
did you discuss whether or not it would be	17 didn't participate in those conversations.
a violation of the TRO to testify in the	18 Q. So you don't know if Frank
Moore case?	19 Doheny ever mentioned a TRO or talked to
A. No.	20 Scruggs about the TRO?
Q Did you ever have that	21 A. I don't know. You will have to
discussion with Mr. Scruggs?	22 ask Mr. Doheny and Mr. Scruggs that.
A. Yes.	23 Q You don't know whether anybody
	24 in Doheny's office talked to Scruggs about
	D 25
-	gc 2004;
1 A. Between the CID and the Moore	1 that? 2 A. I don't know what their
2 deposition.	1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
3 Q That was on the November 28th or	3 conversations were.
4 29th?	4 Q. Did you have a discussion with
5 A. 29th.	5 Margolin and Scruggs about the TRO between
6 Q. Was Frank Doheny a participant	6 the time of its issuance and the time of
7 in any of these discussions you had with	7 your testimony on November 29, 1995?
8 Seruggs?	8 A. I had discussions also with
9 A. Not to my recollection.	9 Margolin between the CID deposition and
0 Q. Was anybody in his office,	10 the Moore testimony.
1 Doheny's office -	11 Q. On the 29th?
2 A. I don't know.	12 A. On the 29th.
3 Q - involved in the discussions	13 Q. Okay. Sir, when was the first
you had with Mr. Scruggs on the TRO?	14 time you realized that you were going down
15 A. I don't know if they had any	15 to Mississippi to testify on the 29th in
I A I DE LE LE LE LE LE LE LE LE LE LE LE LE LE	16 the Moore case?
•	17 A. Sometime around the 26th or 27th
6 discussions.	• • • •
6 discussions. P Q. Well, your discussions with you	is of November
6 discussions. 17 Q. Well, your discussions with you 18 and Scruggs; was anybody else in Doheny's	is of November.
6 discussions. 17 Q. Well, your discussions with you 18 and Scruggs; was anybody else in Doheny's 19 office involved in those discussions?	19 Q. And how did you come to that
16 discussions. 17 Q. Well, your discussions with you 18 and Scruggs; was anybody else in Doheny's 19 office involved in those discussions? 20 Were they on the phone, were they	19 Q. And how did you come to that 20 realization?
16 discussions. 17 Q. Well, your discussions with you 18 and Scruggs; was anybody else in Doheny's 19 office involved in those discussions? 20 Were they on the phone, were they 21 conferenced in?	19 Q. And how did you come to that 20 realization? 21 A. Communication with my attorneys.
16 discussions. 17 Q. Well, your discussions with you 18 and Scruggs; was anybody else in Doheny's 19 office involved in those discussions? 20 Were they on the phone, were they 21 conferenced in? 22 A. Not to my recollection.	 19 Q. And how did you come to that 20 realization? 21 A. Communication with my attorneys. 22 Q. Who?
discussions. Well, your discussions with you sand Scruggs; was anybody else in Doheny's office involved in those discussions? Were they on the phone, were they onferenced in?	19 Q. And how did you come to that 20 realization? 21 A. Communication with my attorneys.

CURTIN, SCHNEIDER & LAWREY

Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand VOI 11MF 15

Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

F	Page 2557 Page	2559
that you were going to testify in the	1 Q Did you know at the time you	
2 Moore case with respect to issues	2 were giving testimony in the CID, that you	
3 involving tobacco?	3 were going to give testimony in the Moore	
4 A. No, they told me I would be	4 case in the afternoon?	
5 testifying in a CID.	5 A. No.	
6 Q. Did they tell you about the	6 Q. So the first time Jeffrey Wigand	
7 Moore case?	7 knew he was going to testify in the Moore	
8 A. The primary focus, I believe,	8 case on the November 29th, 1995, was	
9 was the CID.	9 November 29th, 1995?	
0 Q. Did they tell you about the	10 A. Sometime between the CID and the	
1 Moore case?	11 testimony, yes.	
2 A. Not to my recollection.	12 Q. And that was November 29th,	
3 Q. So when is the first time you	13 1995?	
4 knew you were going to testify in the	i4 A. I believe so.	
5 Moore case on November 29th, 1995?	15 Q. So Mr. Doheny had no idea that	
16 A. Probably between the CID and the	16 you were going to testify in the Moore	
7 testimony in the Moore case.	17 case in November 29th, 1995?	
8 O So that was if I understand	18 A. I don't know what Mr. Doheny	
9 your testimony, sir, you did not know	19 knew or didn't know.	
20 until the conclusion of the CID, which was	20 O. You didn't tell him?	
November 29th, 1995 in the morning, right?	21 A. I don't believe I told him.	
22 A. That I was going to be ordered	22 O. You didn't tell anybody in his	
3 to testify in the Moore case.	23 office?	
24 Q Let me ask the question	24 A. I don't believe I told him.	
	Page 2668	256
i different. The testimony in the CID that	Page 2558 Page 1 Q. As I understand your testimony	. 250
•	2 Mr. Margolin and Mr. Scruggs advised you	
2 we are talking about occurred in the	3 between the CID and the Moore afternoon	
a morning of the 29th of November?	4 testimony about the testimony in Moore?	
4 A. I believe my attorneys gave	_ `	
5 notice to Brown & Williamson the night	5 A. They advised me there was a 6 court order from a Mississippi judge. I	
6 before at 5:00 p.m.		
7 Q The testimony in the Moore	7 was in Mississippi. And I was so ordered	
8 in the CID was on the morning of November	8 to provide testimony.	
9 29th, 1995; is that right?	9 Q. But they advised you that for	
10 A. That is correct.	10 the first time after the CID testimony?	
11 Q. And at that time, at the time	11 A. I believe that's the time, yes.	
12 you were testifying in the CID, you did	12 Q. And just to make absolutely	
13 not know that you were going to be	13 sure, you did not know prior to that	
14 testifying that afternoon in the Moore	14 time - you had no reason to know prior to	
15 case?	15 that time that you were going to be asked	
16 A. I believe there was a court	16 to testify on November 29th in Moore?	
17 order at that time generated in which	17 MR ALDOCK: I don't think that	
18 Brown & Williamson participated that the	18 was his testimony.	
19 judge ordered me to testify.	19 Q. Well, I'm asking the question	
20 MR, SHEFFLER: 1 move to strike	20 then. Did you know or did you have any	
21 the testimony, your Honor.	21 reason to know that you were going to be	
22 THE COURT: Sustained, it's not	22 asked to testify in Moore before November	
23 responsive. That's not what he is asking.	23 29, 1995?	
24 Listen to his question, please.	24 A. There was a possibility because	

Page 2557 - Page 2560

Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand VOLUME 15

Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

Page 2561			Page 256
1 I was served a subpoena sometime in early	1	I A. I see that.	
2 November, I think on or about the 14th of		2 Q. Now, so then you knew from this	
3 November.	3	subpoens that you were required to	
Q. Okay. That subpoena required	4	testify, according to subpoena, on	
5 you to do what?	5	5 November 29th at 9:00 a.m. in the Moore	
6 A. To testify in the Moore	6	6 case, correct?	
7 litigation in Pascagoula, Mississippi.	7	7 A. From this piece of paper that's	
8 Q On what date?	8	8 what this suggests.	
9 A. I'm not quite sure.		9 Q. I'm asking, sir, did you in fact	
THE COURT: I'm not sure we are	10	o receive this?	
1 clear. Are you saying you received a	11	1 A. Yes, I did.	
2 subpoena in the Moore case on November the		2 Q. Did you read it?	
3 14th?		3 A. Yes.	
4 THE WITNESS: That's right.		4 Q. Did you understand it?	
THE COURT: You received that		5 A. Yes.	
6 here in Kentucky?		6 Q. Did you know that you were going	
7 THE WITNESS: I received it in		7 to testify in the Moore case November 29th	
8 Mississippi. There was an existing		8 pursuant to this subpocua?	
9 subpoena before the TRO.	19		
o MR SHEFFLER: Let's mark that,	20		
		withdrew the objection as I understand it.	
: please.	22		
2 Thomas William & Building		3 this piece of paper? Yes.	
Thereupon, Wigand Exhibit No. 84 was marked for		4 Q. Do you understand that it	
	4-	- Q. Do you mice stand date it	
Page 2562		. <u>.</u> .	Page 25
purposes of identification.		requested your appearance to testify in	
2	Į.	2 the Moore case November 29th?	
3 Q. Let me show you what we have	3	3 A. Yes.	
4 marked as Wigand Exhibit 84. is that a	l	4 Q. Did you discuss this subpoens	
5 copy of a subpoena duces tecum for your	5	5 with Mr. Scruggs?	
6 deposition?	6	6 A. Yes.	
7 A. It appears, yes.		7 Q. When is the first time you	
8 Q And it says that it was served	8	8 discussed this subpoena with Mr. Scruggs?	
8 Q And it says that it was served 9 on November 16th.		9 A. l can't recall.	
•	9 10	9 A. I can't recall. 10 Q How did it come that you were	
9 on November 16th. 10 A. Um-hum.	9 10	9 A. l can't recall.	
9 on November 16th. 10 A. Um-hum.	9 10 11	9 A. I can't recall. 10 Q How did it come that you were	
9 on November 16th. 10 A. Um-hum. 11 Q. Is that correct?	10 11 12	9 A. I can't recall. 10 Q How did it come that you were 11 served the subpoena in Mississippi?	
9 on November 16th. 10 A. Um-hum. 11 Q. Is that correct? 12 A. That's correct.	10 11 12 13	9 A. I can't recall. 10 Q How did it come that you were 11 served the subpoena in Mississippi? 12 A. I think I testified to that last	
9 on November 16th. 10 A. Um-hum. 11 Q. Is that correct? 12 A. That's correct. 13 Q. It was served upon you? 14 A. That's correct.	10 11 12 13	9 A. I can't recall. 10 Q How did it come that you were 11 served the subpoena in Mississippi? 12 A. I think I testified to that last 13 time.	
9 on November 16th. 10 A. Um-hum. 11 Q. Is that correct? 12 A. That's correct. 13 Q. It was served upon you? 14 A. That's correct. 15 Q. And this copy of the subpocna	10 11 12 13 14	9 A. I can't recall. 10 Q. How did it come that you were 11 served the subpoena in Mississippi? 12 A. I think I testified to that last 13 time. 14 Q. Okay. When you were served with	
9 on November 16th. 10 A. Um-hum. 11 Q. Is that correct? 12 A. That's correct. 13 Q. It was served upon you? 14 A. That's correct. 15 Q. And this copy of the subpocna 16 asks and requests that you appear for	10 11 12 13 14 15	9 A. I can't recall. 10 Q. How did it come that you were served the subpoena in Mississippi? 12 A. I think I testified to that last time. 14 Q. Okay. When you were served with the subpoena in Mississippi did you see	
9 on November 16th. 10 A. Um-hum. 11 Q. Is that correct? 12 A. That's correct. 13 Q. It was served upon you? 14 A. That's correct. 15 Q. And this copy of the subpoena 16 asks and requests that you appear for 17 deposition on November 29th; does it not?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	9 A. I can't recall. 10 Q. How did it come that you were served the subpoena in Mississippi? 12 A. I think I testified to that last time. 14 Q. Okay. When you were served with the subpoena in Mississippi did you see Mr. Scruggs?	
9 on November 16th. 10 A. Um-hum. 11 Q. Is that correct? 12 A. That's correct. 13 Q. It was served upon you? 14 A. That's correct. 15 Q. And this copy of the subpoena 16 asks and requests that you appear for 17 deposition on November 29th; does it not? 18 A. That's correct.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	9 A. I can't recall. 10 Q. How did it come that you were served the subpoena in Mississippi? 12 A. I think I testified to that last time. 13 time. 14 Q. Okay. When you were served with 15 the subpoena in Mississippi did you see 16 Mr. Scruggs? 17 A. Mr. Scruggs was there, yes.	
9 on November 16th. 10 A. Um-hum. 11 Q. Is that correct? 12 A. That's correct. 13 Q. It was served upon you? 14 A. That's correct. 15 Q. And this copy of the subpoena 16 asks and requests that you appear for 17 deposition on November 29th; does it not? 18 A. That's correct. 19 Q. And it also attaches an Exhibit	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	9 A I can't recall. 10 Q How did it come that you were served the subpoena in Mississippi? 12 A. I think I testified to that last time. 13 time. 14 Q. Okay. When you were served with the subpoena in Mississippi did you see Mr. Scruggs? 16 Mr. Scruggs? 17 A. Mr. Scruggs was there, yes. 18 Q. Okay. And did you talk to him	
9 on November 16th. 10 A. Um-hum. 11 Q. Is that correct? 12 A. That's correct. 13 Q. It was served upon you? 14 A. That's correct. 15 Q. And this copy of the subpoena 16 asks and requests that you appear for 17 deposition on November 29th; does it not? 18 A. That's correct. 19 Q. And it also attaches an Exhibit 20 A to it; do you see that?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	9 A. I can't recall. 10 Q. How did it come that you were served the subpoena in Mississippi? 12 A. I think I testified to that last time. 13 time. 14 Q. Okay. When you were served with the subpoena in Mississippi did you see Mr. Scruggs? 17 A. Mr. Scruggs was there, yes. 18 Q. Okay. And did you talk to him about the subpoena? 19 A. Briefly.	
9 on November 16th. 10 A. Um-hum. 11 Q. Is that correct? 12 A. That's correct. 13 Q. It was served upon you? 14 A. That's correct. 15 Q. And this copy of the subpoena 16 asks and requests that you appear for 17 deposition on November 29th; does it not? 18 A. That's correct. 19 Q. And it also attaches an Exhibit 20 A to it; do you see that? 21 A. I see that, yes.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	9 A. I can't recall. 10 Q. How did it come that you were served the subpoena in Mississippi? 12 A. I think I testified to that last time. 14 Q. Okay. When you were served with the subpoena in Mississippi did you see Mr. Scruggs? 17 A. Mr. Scruggs was there, yes. 18 Q. Okay. And did you talk to him about the subpoena? 19 about the subpoena? 20 A. Briefly. 21 Q. Did you talk to him about the	
9 on November 16th. 10 A. Um-hum. 11 Q. Is that correct? 12 A. That's correct. 13 Q. It was served upon you? 14 A. That's correct. 15 Q. And this copy of the subpoena 16 asks and requests that you appear for 17 deposition on November 29th; does it not? 18 A. That's correct. 19 Q. And it also attaches an Exhibit 20 A to it; do you see that?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	9 A. I can't recall. 10 Q. How did it come that you were served the subpoena in Mississippi? 12 A. I think I testified to that last time. 13 time. 14 Q. Okay. When you were served with the subpoena in Mississippi did you see Mr. Scruggs? 17 A. Mr. Scruggs was there, yes. 18 Q. Okay. And did you talk to him about the subpoena? 19 A. Briefly.	

CURTIN, SCHNEIDER & LAWREY

Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand

Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

Page	e 2565 Page 256
request attached to it with him?	1 November 29th, 1995?
A. Briefly.	2 A. I may have discussed it in
Q Now, sir, did you discuss this	3 general terms with both Mr. Scruggs and
subpoena with Frank Doheny?	4 Mr. Margolin.
A. I did not discuss it with Frank	5 Q. When did you have the discussion
Doheny, no.	6 with Mr. Margolin?
Q Did you give a copy of it to	7 A. From time to time between the
Frank Doheny or anybody in his office?	8 issuance of the Mississippi subpoena and
A. I did not do it.	9 the 28th of November.
Q Did you give it to anybody	10 Q. When did you have discussions
did you discuss it with anybody in his	11 with Mr. Scruggs about the issuance of the
office prior to November 29th, 1995?	12 subpocua?
	13 A. When did I have discussion
A. I don't recall discussing it specifically with Mr. Doheny or any of Mr.	14 with - I had discussion from time to time
•	15 between the 14th and the 28th.
Doheny's colleagues.	16 Q. Okay. This was served on you on
Q Did you alert him to the	17 the 16th. Did you have discussions about
issuance of the subpoena at all? Him or	_
his colleagues.	18 the subpoena on the 14th? 19 A. I'm sorry, the 16th. I wrote
A. I am sure Mr. Scruggs notified	
him.	20 down the 14th when the judge gave it to
Q How are you sure of that?	21 me. I will change it to the 16th.
A. I would imagine that a competent	22 Q. You had no discussions with
attorney - since Mr. Doheny was working	23 Scruggs, Margolin or any of your lawyers
with Mr. Scruggs, I would assume they	24 prior to the issuance of the subpoena
Pag	ge 2566 Page 25
communicated.	1 before flying down to be served the
Q. Okay. So you assumed, but did	2 subpoena?
you have any factual knowledge that such a	3 A. Not to my recollection.
communication took place?	4 Q. Now, is it your testimony that
A. No, not that I know of. But I	5 any of these discussions that you had with
s would expect that attorneys were	6 Margolin or Scruggs after the issuance of
communicating.	7 the subpoena on November 16th, did any of
8 O. lunderstand, I don't want to	8 those occur after the TRO was served upon
know your expectations. I want to really	9 you?
know what you knew happened because of	10 A. I can't recall.
factual evidence or factual events that	11 O. Well, sir, the subpoena was
2 occurred that you witnessed or were	12 served on the 16th. You flew down to
participating in. Was there any factual	13 Mississippi and flew back. The TRO was
4 reason for you to conclude that Mr. Doheny	14 served upon you on the 21st.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	15 A. Um-hum.
5 knew of this subpoena? 6 A I can't regail.	16 Q. Did you, knowing that you had
•	17 the subpoens testify in Moore, go to any
7 Q Okay. You never discussed it	18 of your attorneys, any of the attorneys in
8 with him or his colleagues or the document	19 Doheny's office, Margolin or Scruggs, did
9 request attached it to?	20 you go to them, did you contact them in
0 A. I don't think I specifically	
i remember discussing it with Mr. Doheny or	21 any way, to discuss the TRO and this
2 his colleagues.	22 subpoens?
3 Q Okay. Did you discuss it with	23 A. Not to my recollection specific,
t4 any of your other attorneys prior to	24 no.

Page 2565 - Page 2568

Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand VOLUME 15

Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

		Page 2569				Page 257
IQ You did	n't discuss it at all	; 	ı	Q.	Who?	
2 A In speci	fic.	1	2	A.	Mr. Margolin.	
3 Q until a	after the CID on	1	3	Q.	Anyone else?	
4 November 29	th. Yes of no?		4	A,	Mr. Margolin, and then with Mr.	
5 A. I can't r	ecall specifically.	Į.	5	Sen	1 <u>77</u> 8.	
	may have discussed it	j	6	Q.	And with Mr. Scruggs?	
7 with Scruggs?	•		7	A.	After he was retained in	
8 A. Um-hur			8	Octo	bber.	
9 Q. You ma	y have discussed the		9		Okay. So Mr. Scruggs was aware	
	e subpoens and the request	1	0	of th	nis civil investigative demand. Okay.	
	ember 29th and the TRO.				you discuss it with Mr. Doheny?	
•	ved on you on November 21st;	L	12		I'm not sure.	
	discussed those two things		13		Okay, Now, what I would like to	
•	ith Scruggs after November			•	sir, is try to focus on the time	
5 21st?		i i			od after the TRO and on the civil	
6 A. I may h	ave ves	I .		•	stigative demand. Pursuant to the	
•	t can't recall?				l investigative demand, sir, did you	
•	n't recall.				te any contacts with B & W or did you	
•	ou genting back to the				your autorneys make any contacts with	
		I .			W?	
	e originally given the CID	[-		D ox	At the time the I believe	
	August 23rd of 1995; is that	17				
2 right?	a de como	I .			CID was generated, I had Mr. Margolin	
	ot given the CID on	r			ny only attorney.	
August the 23	ra, 1995.		24	Q.	Okay. Did you have any	
		Page 2570				Page 25
IQ. When d	id you receive a copy of	ļ	ì	disc	ussions with Mr. Margolin with respect	
2 the civil inves	stigative demand?		2	to n	otifying Brown & Williamson about the	
3 A. My atto	rney received it.	ļ	3	issu	ance of the CID?	
4 Q. When d	lid he receive it?	:	4	A.	I don't recall.	
5 A. On or a	bout that date, I'm not	·	5	Q.	Do you know if Brown &	
6 вште,			6	Wil	liamson was given any notice about the	
7 Q. And it	was originally scheduled,		7	issu	ance of the CID?	
8 the CID, to tal		į	8	A.	Yes.	
9 A I'm not	•		9	Q.	Okay. And how was that notice	
io Q Well, it	's Exhibit 64. If you	,	10	give	en?	
	you can show it to the	II.	u	-	That notice was given to them, I	
	be refresh his recollection.	1			eve, in Mississippi.	
	ere, sir, that the civil	I	13		Okay. Prior to that was any	
•	demand was requiring you to			-	ce given to them?	
~	23rd of August, 1995, in	1		A	I don't know.	
16 Louisville; is	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		16		Did you request any notice be	
•	ays the 29th.			give		
	gust 29th. I'm sorry, it			A.	Not that I recall.	
	•	i i	-	O.	Did you discuss the - whether	
	n the 23rd, you are right. Do			_	ot notice should be given to Brown &	
20 you see that?					<u>-</u>	
					liamson with any of your attorneys? I don't recall.	
22 Q. Now, d	id you discuss this with	i ¯	22			
	-	2	23	Q.	Did you discuss whether any ce should be given in August?	

Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand VOLUME 15

Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

Page 2573	Page 25
1 A I don't recall.	1 Q. With you, with anyone. To your
2 Q Okay. Now, the CID was	2 knowledge, were there any discussions
scheduled to commence on August 29th. Did	3 about the resumption of the CID that you
↓ it?	4 were to testify in?
5 A No.	5 A. I'm not sure there were
6 Q. When were you told that it was	6 discussions relative to when it would be
7 not going to commence on August 29th?	7 rescheduled.
8 A. lam not sure. I had	8 Q. Oksy.
9 conversation on and off with both John	9 A It was revolving about my
Reed and with Reggie Tom relative to this	10 ability to retain an attorney.
! CID.	11 Q. Well, you had an attorney, I
2 Q Okay. Who canceled the CID for	12 thought.
3 August 29th?	13 A. I had a criminal attorney that
4 A I'm not sure.	14 was representing me pro bono, yes.
5 Q. Did you request that it be	15 Q. Did Margolin ever represent you
6 canceled?	16 on the contract, the contract that you
	17 entered into between Brown & Williamson
•	
8 record, so I guess	18 and yourself?
9 Q. You told us Margolin was your	19 A. No.
o attorney.	20 Q. Never?
A. Margolin was my attorney but he	21 A. No.
2 is a criminal attorney.	22 Q. Okay. Let me ask you, sir, you
3 Q I understand that. But did you	23 have referred a couple of times now that
4 have anybody request that it be adjourned?	24 Mr. Margolin is a criminal attorney.
Page 2574	Page 25
1 A. Not that I can recall.	1 Yesterday you restried that Mr. Margolin
2 Q. Okay. Did you have any	2 was referred to you by Mr. Bergman. Do
3 discussions with Margolin about the	3 you remember that?
4 adjournment?	4 A. I don't think he said he
5 A. Probably did.	5 referred. He provided me his name. I
6 Q. What were those conversations	6 don't know whether that's a referral or
7 about?	7 not.
8 A. I can't recall.	8 Q. He provided you with Mr.
	9 Margolin's name?
•	10 A. I called Mr. Margolin, as 1
o reason that you don't know?	1) said, and I deemed it
1 A. No.	
2 Q ls that your testimony?	12 Q. What did you retain Mr. Margolin
3 A. It was canceled for some reason.	13 for at that time?
	14 A. At that time? To represent me
5 Q. Was there any correspondence	15 relative to CBS and to help me secure
15 Q. Was there any correspondence 16 with respect to the cancellation of the	16 counsel.
15 Q. Was there any correspondence 16 with respect to the cancellation of the	16 counsel. 17 Q. You retained Mr. Margolin to
5 Q. Was there any correspondence 6 with respect to the cancellation of the 7 CID? 8 A. Not that I know of or do I have	16 counsel. 17 Q. You retained Mr. Margolin to 18 represent you relative to CBS to do what?
15 Q. Was there any correspondence 16 with respect to the cancellation of the 17 CID? 18 A. Not that I know of or do I have	16 counsel. 17 Q. You retained Mr. Margolin to 18 represent you relative to CBS to do what? 19 A. My indemnification agreement.
15 Q. Was there any correspondence 16 with respect to the cancellation of the 17 CID? 18 A. Not that I know of or do I have 19 in my possession or had in my possession.	16 counsel. 17 Q. You retained Mr. Margolin to 18 represent you relative to CBS to do what?
15 Q. Was there any correspondence 16 with respect to the cancellation of the 17 CID? 18 A. Not that I know of or do I have 19 in my possession or had in my possession. 20 Q. Okay. Now, when it was	16 counsel. 17 Q. You retained Mr. Margolin to 18 represent you relative to CBS to do what? 19 A. My indemnification agreement. 20 Q. To negotiate an indemnification
15 Q. Was there any correspondence 16 with respect to the cancellation of the 17 CID? 18 A. Not that I know of or do I have 19 in my possession or had in my possession. 20 Q. Okay. Now, when it was 21 canceled, was there any discussions about	16 counsel. 17 Q. You retained Mr. Margolin to 18 represent you relative to CBS to do what? 19 A. My indemnification agreement.
16 with respect to the cancellation of the 17 CID? 18 A Not that I know of or do I have 19 in my possession or had in my possession.	16 counsel. 17 Q. You retained Mr. Margolin to 18 represent you relative to CBS to do what? 19 A. My indemnification agreement. 20 Q. To negotiate an indemnification 21 agreement?

Page 2573 - Page 2576

(B&W) PROTECTED BY MINNESOTA TOBACCO LITIGATION PROTECTIVE ORDER Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand Wulti-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand VOLUME 15

Pag	2577	Page 251
; counsel.	1 now?	
2 Q. National counsel to do what?	2 A. Not to my reco	lection, no.
3 A. Testify in I was sued by	3 Q. Was this corres	pondence between
Brown & Williamson.	4 you and Margolin?	
5 Q Now, let me ask you about the	5 A. Correspondence	e, are you talking
reissuance of the CID or the rescheduling	6 about telephone conve	ersations, are you are
7 of the CID deposition. When was that	7 you talking about wri	•
8 rescheduled, to your knowledge? When was	8 O. Let's deal with	
9 the first time you knew that there was	9 correspondence. Wh	en vou said
going to be a rescheduling of the CID?	10 correspondence I pres	•
A. Of this CID?	11 written. Were there of	
2 O. Yes.	12 A. Most of my 1	
3 A. When did I first become - I'm	13 communication with	-
4 not exactly sure.	14 vis-a-vis the phone.	
	_	s that weren't
5 Q. Well, were there any discussions 6 you had with Margolin or Reed or Reggie	16 the ones that weren't,	
•	17 of those?	do you have copies
7 Tom or anyone?		m haan provided
8 A. I believe there was some		ve been provided
9 correspondence in early November, and I'm	19 if they have been not	
not exactly sure, I wasn't party to all of	20 privilege log. I don's	
l it.	21 MR. SHEFFLER:	
2 Q Well, the party the part that	22 counsel. Have you m	-
3 you were party to.	23 Margolin's correspon	idence with respect to
4 A 1 understood that the deposition	24 this issue?	
Pag	2578	Page 25
t was going to be rescheduled and Mr. Tom	i MS. WERTHEIM	ER: No.
2 was no longer going to be conducting the	2 MR SHEFFLER:	Okay.
3 deposition. I think he was passing the	3 MR. ALDOCK: 3	What document
4 baton to another U.S. Attorney by the name	4 production request ca	ills for it?
5 of John Reed. And I am sorry, the other	5 MR. SHEFFLER:	
6 attorney's name slips my mind at this	6 whether you did or w	
7 time.	7 will deal with that la	-
	8 impuguing anything	
8 Q. Did you have any discussions 9 with them about the dates of the		ER: Feel free to
	10 impugn. We are not	
o resumption of the deposition?	it diligence we have do	
A. I just had no. Not	12 Margolin did not rep	
2 specifically, no.	12 Margonn did not rep. 13 connection with Ken	
3 Q. Well, generally.		
4 A. I didn't have specific or	14 with this litigation, is	
s general. I had that it was going to be	15 touch on this litigation	
6 rescheduled.		It may touch on
17 Q Have you produced all that	17 the contempt litigation	
8 correspondence to us in this case?	· · ·	ER: It may touch on
19 A. Everything that I had relative	19 the contempt litigation	
20 to this has been produced.	20 revisit that. But we l	
2) Q Was there any correspondence	21 reason we did not see	
22 that you had relative to this that was	22 files, unlike others, is	because we made
23 somehow or another destroyed or misplaced	23 that determination.	

Page 2577 - Page 2580

(R&W) PROTECTED BY MINNESOTA TOBACCO LITIGATION PROTECTIVE ORDER Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

Pag	ze 2581 Page 258:
We'll resolve that. Will deal with	1 Q. Do you know when the CID was
that	2 scheduled for the November 28th? When was
MS WERTHEIMER: As a result	3 the first time that you became aware that
we can resolve that but as a result we	4 the CID was scheduled to be on the 28th of
5 have not produced any correspondence.	5 November?
6 Have never seen any.	6 MR. ALDOCK: 28th or 29th?
7 BY MR. SHEFFLER:	7 Q. 29th of November, 1995, when was
8 Q When did you give Mr. Margolin a	8 the first time
9 copy of the TRO?	9 A. I'm not sure. Sometime very
0 A. I don't think I gave Mr.	10 shortly before that.
Margolin a copy of the TRO.	11 THE COURT: Can we establish
2 Q When did you notify him about	12 were you re-served with a new CID or was
3 the TRO?	13 it a carryover, were you just informed
4 A. I'm not sure.	14 orally to appear?
5 Q. Okay. Did Mr. Margolin advise	15 THE WITNESS. I believe my
6 you with respect to the rescheduling of	16 attorney was served with new CID's.
7 the CID and the effect of the TRO on that	17 THE COURT: You believe?
8 rescheduled CID?	18 THE WITNESS: I believe, yes.
9 A. Yes.	19 THE COURT: And your attorney
0 Q. And did he tell you that the	20 was who?
effect of the TRO with respect to giving	21 THE WITNESS: Mr. Margolin.
2 notice to Brown & Williamson?	22 BY MR. SHEFFLER:
3 A. I believe Brown & Williamson was	23 Q Okay. Do you have a copy of
4 given notice relative to both the CID and	24 that?
_	ge 2582 Page 258
the Mississippi deposition.	A. I don't have a copy of it, no.
2 Q I'm talking about communications	2 THE COURT: I don't mean to take
3 Mr. Margolin may have had with you. Did	3 over the questioning. How did you learn
4 Mr. Margolin communicate with you with	4 to be in Mississippi on the 29th?
5 respect to the reissuance of the CID, the	5 THE WITNESS: I was told that
6 rescheduling of the CID, after the TRO was	6 the CID had been rescheduled.
7 served upon you?	7 THE COURT: Told by whom?
8 A. I believe we had some	8 THE WITNESS: I believe Mr.
9 conversations the morning of the CiD. I	9 Margolin, and then subsequently by Mr.
0 can't recall other than that.	10 Scruggs, that the CID had been
Q. Okay, sir. Is it your testimony	11 rescheduled, and that I was by federal
2 here today that the only conversations you	12 statute and I don't remember the exact
3 had with Mr. Margolin with respect to	13 language but I had to be there or I
4 giving notice to Brown & Williamson about	14 would be held in contempt.
15 the CID was on the morning of the 29th of	15 Q So you did have discussion with
16 November, 1995?	16 Mr. Margolin or Mr. Scruggs before
17 A. Or the evening of the 28th.	17 November 28th, 1995 about the rescheduling
18 Those dates are kind of cloudy right now.	18 of the CID?
19 Q. No other discussions with Mr.	19 A. General conversation, yes.
20 Margolin on that matter?	20 Q. When did this occur?
21 A Other than I had a federal CID	21 A. Very shortly before the 28th.
22 that I had to respond to and it was	22 Q. Well, sometime between the 21st
-	23 and the 28th?
23 scheduled for Pascagoula, Mississippi at	

CURTIN, SCHNEIDER & LAWREY

Page 2581 - Page 2584

Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand VOLUME 15

Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

Page 25		Page 258
28th, that short. One or two days	1 Q. Were you served with it in	
beforehand.	Z Kentucky?	
Q. Did you at that time know that	3 A. No.	
you had a TRO?	4 Q. So when you flew down to	
A. I believe so, yes.	5 Mississippi, did you know you were flying	
5 Q Did you tell Mr. Margolin and	6 down for a CID deposition?	
Mr. Scruggs at that time that you had a	7 A. I had the understanding that I	
3 TRO served upon you?	8 was flying down for a CID deposition, yes.	
A. I believe they knew I had a TRO	9 Q. But you had not been served with	
at that time.	10 any papers to that effect?	
THE COURT: That's not the	11 A. I had been told that the papers	
question. Did you say anything	12 had been served on my attorney.	
THE WITNESS: I think it was	13 Q. And when were those papers	
clear that I had a TRO.	14 served on your attorney?	
5 Q. Did you tell them, sir? That's	15 A. I don't know exactly when they	
5 the question.	16 were served on my attorney.	
7 A. I can't recall a specific	17 Q. So which attorney was it?	
s conversation on going through the TRO, no.	18 A. I believe it was Mr. Margolin.	
9 Q You can't recall discussing the	19 Q. Now, did he give you a copy of	
0 TRO with Mr. Scruggs or Mr. Margolin at	20 those papers for the first time on the	
that time?	21 28th when you were in Mississippi?	
2 A. I'm sure I discussed it. I'm	22 A. I don't think he has ever given	
3 not exactly sure of the conversation.	23 me a copy, I think he just showed me a	
4 Q. Well, then you did discuss the	24 copy.	
		Page 25
Page 25		Lage 23
1 TRO with Mr. Scruggs or Mr. Margolin at	2 time on the 28th of November?	
2 that time?		
3 A. I may have, yes.		
4 Q Well, let me just pursue this	4 when I was in Mississippi, yes.	
5 one step further. Now, from the time	5 Q Did Mr. Scruggs talk to you	
6 period before you went to Mississippi and	6 about the rescheduling of the deposition	
? after you were served with the TRO, did	7 in the CID?	
8 you discuss the effect of the TRO on the	8 A. I think he may have.	
9 resumption of the CID with your attorneys,	9 Q. Okay. When did he have that	
0 Scruggs or Margolin?	10 discussion with you?	
t A. I may have. I don't recall	11 A. Shortly before going to	
2 specifically.	12 Mississippi.	
3 Q. Did you discuss it with any	13 Q. Who was the first to notify you	
4 other attorney? The effect of the TRO and	14 about the rescheduling of the deposition,	
15 the resumption of the CID?	15 Margolin or Scruggs?	
6 A. No.	16 A. I can't discern the two of them.	
7 Q. Do you recall now, sir, when you	17 Q. You can't discern the two?	
18 may have been served with the resumption	18 A. No, I can't discern who told me	
19 notice of the CID?	19 first. I think it was Mr. Margolin, but	
20 A. I believe it was the morning of	20 I'm not sure.	
21 the 29th.	21 Q. Okay.	
22 Q That was the first time you were	22 MR. SHEFFLER: Let's mark this	
23 served with the resumption	23 as the next exhibit.	
as delice with the restricted of the	1 — — — — ···	

Page 2585 - Page 2588

Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand VOLUME 15

Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

	Page 2589 Page 2591
1 Thereupon, Wigand Exhibit	1 You were in Kentucky when you had the
No. 85 was marked for	2 discussion about the —
purposes of identification.	3 A. I was in Kentucky.
4	4 Q. The first time you had the
5 BY MR. SHEFFLER:	5 discussion with Mr. Scruggs about the
6 Q This is a letter dated November	6 resumption of the CID you were in
7 22nd, 1995. Do you see that, sir?	7 Kentucky; were you not?
8 A. Um-hum.	8 A. I believe so.
9 Q. It's to Scruggs and it's from	9 O. You believe so?
10 John Reed, do you see that?	10 A. I believe so, yeah.
II A. Um-hum.	11 Q. Well
12 O. Have you ever seen a copy of	12 A. Was I living in Kentucky or was
13 this letter before?	13 I physically in Kentucky?
·	14 Q. Were you physically in Kentucky
••	15 on the phone with Mr. Scruggs?
15 think I have seen it when I was in	1 22 3
16 Mississippi, yes.	
17 Q The first time you saw it was on	17 Q. Well, sir, that suggests that it 18 may not be. Do you recall packing your
18 November 28th, 1995?	
19 A. Roughly about that time, yes.	19 bags to go to Mississippi? Do you recall 20 that in November of 1995?
20 Q. Roughly is not	
21 A. Approximately, I don't know	21 A. Which time?
22 exactly when I have seen it.	22 Q. On the 28th on the 27th or
23 Q. Did you see it before you went	23 28th; do you recall that?
24 to Mississippi on November 28th?	24 A. I can recall leaving on the
	Page 2590 Page 259
1 A. I'm not sure I saw it before I	1 evening of the 28th, yes.
2 went to Mississippi, no.	2 Q. 27th.
3 Q. You could have but you are not	3 MS. WERTHEIMER: No.
4 sure?	4 MR. ALDOCK: 1 don't think so.
5 A. I'm not sure. But I don't	5 Q. You left on the evening of the
6 think this was addressed to Mr.	6 28th?
7 Scruggs, not to me.	7 A. I believe so, yeah, around 2:30,
8 Q. Okay. Did Mr. Scruggs now	a 3:00 e'clock in the afternoon.
9 that you see it was addressed to Mr.	9 Q. All right. In any event, sir,
10 Scruggs on November 22, did Mr. Scruggs	10 do you recall, when you packed your bags
11 talk to you about the reissuance of a CID	11 to leave on the 28th, whether you knew you
12 or the date for the resumption of your CID	12 were going to be testifying in
13 testimony?	13 Mississippi?
i4 A. He may have.	14 A. What does that mean testifying
15 Q Around November 22nd?	15 in Mississippi? Relative to what the
l	16 action?
16 A. I'm not sure it was around 17 November 22nd at all. I'm sure it was	17 Q. Relative to any action.
	18 A. There was a distinct possibility
18 closer to November 29th.	19 I would be testifying, yes.
19 Q But it was this discussion	
20 you had was with you in Kentucky; was it	21 case there was a distinct possibility that
21 not?	
22 A I'm sorry?	22 you would testify in?
	23 A. There was a possibility, I

Page 2589 - Page 2592

Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand VOLUME 15

Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

	Page 2593		Page 259
also a possibility of the Moore case.		1	MR. ALDOCK: I think it would be
2 Q So at the time you left Kentucky		.2 1	relevant.
you knew that there was a possibility, a		3 (Q. If that's responsive then go
4 distinct possibility that you would be		4 (ahead, sir.
s testifying in two actions; is that	1	5 ,	A. I was ordered by a Mississippi
6 correct?	ļ	6	judge to testify, simple and
7 A. I didn't say distinct. I said a		7 :	straightforward, in which Brown &
8 possibility.		В 1	Williamson appeared. The judge overruled
Q. A possibility, okay. Did you	l I	9	Brown & Williamson's objections. And when
have any discussions with Scruggs between	1	10	I was in Mississippi I was ordered by a
the 16th of November and the 28th of		11 (court judge in Mississippi to go and
2 November with respect to rescheduling the		12 1	testify in the Moore case and if I didn't
3 Moore deposition you were served with?		13	he would hold me in contempt. Simple and
4 A. Not that I recall.		14	straightforward.
S Q Did you have any reason to think		15	Q. Is that responsive to my
6 that you were not going down to testify in		16	question, how is it that he thought it was
7 the Moore case?	}		only a possibility that he would testify
8 A. The principal reason I was going			in Moore in the morning of the 28th?
9 down was to testify in the CID		19	MR. ALDOCK: Sure it is, because
0 O. Okay. So was there more than a		20	what he is saying is that he knew Brown &
1 possibility that you would testify in the	i		Williamson was going to contest it in
2 CID when you left Kentucky on the 28th of		22	court and he didn't know who was going to
3 November?		l .	win.
4 A I think it was clear when I went	,	24	Q. You knew Brown & Williamson was
	Page 2594		Page 25
a down that I was going to testify in the	rage 2374	١,	going to contest this action in court,
1 down that I was going to testify in the 2 CID.			sir?
· ·			A. It thought there was a
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		1	possibility.
4 possibility that you would testify in the			Q. Why did you think it was a
5 Moore as well; is that correct, in your			possibility that Brown & Williamson would
6 mind?			contest it in court?
7 A. Possibility.			
8 Q. Now, sir, why was it only a		_	
9 possibility when you had a paper served on		1	Q Did you give notice of this action to Brown & Williamson?
o you dated November 16th that required you		1	
1 to give testimony in Moore on November		11	
12 29th?		12	• •
3 A. I think there was some things			action to Brown & Williamson before you
4 that happened on those days. One, first		1	left Kentucky for Mississippi?
5 of all, I think Brown & Williamson was		15	· .
6 notified that day.		1	notice
17 Q. No, sir, no, no. I'm talking		: 17 : : -	
18 about your testimony that it was a		1	your attorney at that time, on the morning
19 possibility.			of the 28th that you were going to fly to
		20	Mississippi for a deposition?
20 MR. ALDOCK: He is trying to		121	A. No.
		1**	
20 MR. ALDOCK: He is trying to 21 answer that question by saying what it is 22 that influenced him. He gets to these		22	•
answer that question by saying what it is		22 23	Q. Did you know that Mr. Doheny, who was representing in this action up here in Kentucky, that you were going to

CURTIN, SCHNEIDER & LAWREY

Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand VOLUME 15

Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

Page 2597	
1 appear on the 29th of November to	1 judge in which Brown & Williamson was
2 cooperate with Brown & Williamson?	2 represented in court, I believe it was
3 A. I don't know what Mr. Doheny was	3 either the 27th or the 28th, I'm not sure
4 committing when I wasn't here.	4 of the date that the judge ordered
5 Q. Appear in Louisville?	5 me and I believe Brown & Williamson had
6 A. He didn't communicate that to	6 an opportunity during that hearing to
7 me.	7 object and which the judge said he will
8 Q. Was there any reason for Mr.	8 testify, he is in Mississippi, he is so
9 Doheny to believe that you were not going	9 ordered to testify.
0 to appear in Kentucky to cooperate with	10 Q. Okry, sir. Let me ask you a
1 Brown & Williamson on November 29th, 1995?	in question. When did you learn that that
2 A. I don't know what Mr. Doheny was	12 occurrence the one you just relayed,
3 thinking	13 this court appearance where you were
4 Q Did you know that there was an	14 ordered to testify when did you learn
5 order by Judge Knopf that Brown &	15 that happened?
6 Williamson had gotten to have you appear	16 A. Sometime between the CID and the
7 on November 29th in Louisville, Kentucky?	17 initiation of the Moore deposition.
18 A. No. I wasn't aware of it.	18 Q. So I'm not talking about that
9 Q. No one made you aware of that	19 day. I'm not talking about the 29th now.
20 order?	20 I'm talking about when you were still in
2) A. No.	21 Kentucky, and you were preparing to leave
22 Q. Mr. Doheny did not make you	22 to go to Mississippi. Okay?
3 aware of that order?	23 A. Um-hum.
24 A. Not to my recollection.	24 Q. Why did you think there was a
<u> </u>	
Page 259	
Q. Wait a minute, sir.) possibility that you would testify in
2 A. I don't remember it. No.	2 Moore, and only a possibility? You had a
3 Q Did Mr. Doheny communicate to	3 subpoena served upon you.
4 you that Judge Knopf's instruction that	4 A My primary intention of going to
5 the parties, including Jeffrey Wigand,	5 Mississippi was in response of the CID.
6 meet and confer on November 29th?	6 Q. But, sir, you had a subpoena
7 A. No.	7 served upon you to testify on the 29th.
8 THE COURT: Once again, your	8 Did you not know that you were going to
9 question of Frank Doheny includes anyone	9 testify on the 29th in Moore at that time?
10 in the office?	10 A. I didn't know for sure, no.
11 MR. SHEFFLER: Yes.	11 Q. Well, why was it that you were
12 Q. Did anyone in Mr. Doheny's	12 in doubt?
13 office tell you that you were instructed	13 A. Because why? Because I
14 by the court in this case, Judge Knopf, to	14 wasn't ordered to specifically.
15 meet and confer with Brown & Williamson?	15 Q. Because you weren't ordered to?
16 A. No.	16 A It was a voluntary testimony. I
17 Q. Sir, were you going down on	17 was ordered by a Mississippi judge when I
18 November 28th, to testify in the Moore	18 was in Mississippi to testify. He ordered
19 case because you believed you would be	19 me. Simple and straightforward.
20 held in contempt in the Moore case if you	20 Q. So before you were sold that you
21 did not do so?	21 were ordered by a Mississippi judge to
22 A I didn't know whether I was	22 testify, you thought that you had no
23 going to be held in contempt or not in the	23 obligation to go to Mississippi to
73 POINT IN NO DELC IN CONTEMPS OF DOT IN THE	

562630689

CURTIN, SCHNEIDER & LAWREY

Page 2597 - Page 2600

(B&W) PROTECTED BY MINNESOTA TOBACCO LITIGATION PROTECTIVE ORDER Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand VOLUME 15

		Page 2601	:		Page 260
l.	A 1 had an obligation to go to the		1	your Honor, and it's a tension for us in	
2	CID, and that's where		,2	terms of, and that's exactly how he words	
3	Q No obligation to testify in	!	3	it. I think we will let him answer that	
4	Moore; is that your understanding?		4	one. We will see where it goes.	
5	A I wasn't ordered to testify in		5	THE COURT: All right.	
6	Moore. I could have elected not to		6	MR. ALDOCK: The tension, of	
7	testify in Moore.		7	course, your Honor, is clear to all of us.	
В				We are all playing with it. If we end up	
	obligation to testify in the Moore case		ı	using, which we have not decided, an	
	prior to leaving for Mississippi on the		ı	advice of counsel defense, if they move	
	28th?		ľ	renewal of the contempt.	
-	A. Nobody.		12	If they do that we have to	
3				decide what our defenses are. We haven't	
	excuse me. Did you discuss the subpoena			decided. If we decide to use an advice of	
	with Scruggs? Did you discuss the		(-	counsel defense, then they are entitled to	
	subpoena in Moore with Scruggs?			some leeway, as your Honor has ruled, with	
	A. In general terms, yes,			regard to that. And so each question	
	Q. Did you discuss the subpoens		1	raises this tension and we are all	
	with Scruggs with respect to your		7	struggling with it, I think all of us	
				collectively.	
	obligations?		21	Even though whatever decision	
	A. Which obligations?			they have made on the contempt we haven't	
	Q To testify.		1	•	
	A. Briefly, yes.			made a decision on the defense.	
24	Q Did you tell Scruggs that you		24	BY MR. SHEFFLER:	
		Page 2602			Page 26
1	didn't want to testify in Moore?		1	Q. Answer the question.	
2	A. I said I had a reluctance given		2	A. Answer the question again? I	
3	the TRO in Kentucky, yes.		3	mean this has all transpired.	
4	Q What did Scruggs say?		4	Q. Did you have a discussion with	
5	A He said		5	Scruggs about your obligations to appear	
6	THE COURT. Wait a minute.		6	and testify in Moore in respect to the TRO	
7	Whoa		7	that had been issued on the 21st?	
8	Q What did Scruggs say with		8	A. Any conversations? At any time?	
	respect to the TRO and your testimony in		9	Q. Well, you said you were	
	Moore?		10	reluctant to testify.	
11	THE COURT: Any objection? I		1	A. Yes, I had a conversation.	
	don't mind. Again, the question is		1	O. You were reluctant. Did you	
	about			talk to Scruggs about your reluctance?	
14	MR. ALDOCK: Let's see exactly		1	A. Yes, I had some concern.	
	how it was worded.		1	O. Okay, Did Scruggs advise you	
				with respect to the TRO and its effect on	
14	into actual advice. That goes beyond what		ſ	the subpoena in Moore?	
16					
17			110		
17 18	I ruled a while ago.		18		
17 18 19	I ruled a while ago. MR. SHEFFLER: If there is an		19	answer it. If they don't object that	
17 18 19 20	I ruled a while ago. MR. SHEFFLER: If there is an objection, your Honor, I would like to		19	answer it. If they don't object that means you answer. You can answer the	
17 18 19 20 21	I ruled a while ago. MR. SHEFFLER: If there is an objection, your Honor, I would like to respond.		19 20 21	answer it. If they don't object that means you answer. You can answer the question, sir, unless they object.	
17 18 19 20 21 22	I ruled a while ago. MR. SHEFFLER: If there is an objection, your Honor, I would like to respond.		19	nanswer it. If they don't object that means you answer. You can answer the question, sir, unless they object. (Laughter)	

Page 2601 - Page 2604

Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand VOLUME 15

Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

		age 2605			Page 260
1	MR SHEFFLER: Well, he keeps	í	1	100.	
	looking at you like he is waiting for you	ļ	2	Q. Now, is it your testimony that	
3 (to help him out here.			you did not have a reluctance and did not	
4	THE COURT: Are you thinking		4	express a reluctance to Mr. Scruggs to	
5 1	through your answer?	!	5	testify in Moore because of the TRO prior	
6	THE WITNESS: I was thinking		6	to November 29th; is that your testimony,	
7 1	through my answer. I'm not sure it's an		7	sir?	
8 3	appropriate question.		В	A. I expressed the reluctance once	
9	MR. SHEFFLER: Okay. It's		9	I was in Mississippi.	
0	appropriate enough to get in the line we		10	Q. But you had no reluctance to go	
.1	are talking here.		11	to Mississippi to testify in the Moore	
2	THE COURT. Well, you can't		12	case prior to	
3	make up the objection.		13	MR. ALDOCK: That's not what he	
4	THE WITNESS: I realize that		14	said. The pointing of the finger is	
	Q. So answer it, please.			inappropriate.	
6	MS. WERTHEIMER: We are not		16	·	
-	interposing an objection to the question.			question because we have had the record	
	A. Yes, Scruggs provided me advice.			is unclear at this point. He has	
	Q. Okay, sir. Was it that advice		1	testified he had a conversation about the	
	that led you to believe that there was		20	TRO where he expressed reluctance to	
	only a possibility that you would testify?			Scruggs prior to November 28th.	
	A. The advice was the advice		22	 -	
_	that Mr. Scruggs gave me was that I had a		1	because of his answers and because you	
	Mississippi judge order, and that when I		1	keep asking the questions in different	
		2606	! 		Page 264
	was in Mississippi I had to respond to	Page 2606	1	ways, both.	ENRC YOU
	that order or I would be held in contempt		l .	Q. Mr. Wigand, let me see if I	
	met order or a world be tierd in concernity		٠ ۴	_	
	i_ Missississi		ĺα	can - I'm unclear and I mishinderstand	
	in Mississippi.		1 .	can - I'm unclear and I misunderstand	
	Q. We are talking - so this		4	what's going on. So I'm going to try to	
5	Q. We are talking so this advice came to you prior to you leaving		4 5	what's going on. So I'm going to try to start over and see if I can understand.	
5 6	Q. We are talking — so this advice came to you prior to you leaving for Mississippi?		5 6	what's going on. So I'm going to try to start over and see if I can understand. You got a subpoena to testify in	
5 6 7	Q. We are talking — so this advice came to you prior to you leaving for Mississippi? A. No, that advice claim to me —		5 6 7	what's going on. So I'm going to try to start over and see if I can understand. You got a subpoena to testify in Moore on the 16th. To your knowledge that	
5 6 7 8	Q. We are talking — so this advice came to you prior to you leaving for Mississippi? A. No, that advice claim to me — Q. Dr. Wigand, I'm sorry. 1 am		4 5 6 7 8	what's going on. So I'm going to try to start over and see if I can understand. You got a subpoena to testify in Moore on the 16th. To your knowledge that subpoena was never revoked, was it?	
5 6 7 8 9	Q. We are talking — so this advice came to you prior to you leaving for Mississippi? A. No, that advice claim to me — Q. Dr. Wigand, I'm sorry. I am talking to you about the time period		4 5 6 7 8 9	what's going on. So I'm going to try to start over and see if I can understand. You got a subpoena to testify in Moore on the 16th. To your knowledge that subpoena was never revoked, was it? A. To my knowledge it was never	
5 6 7 8 9	Q. We are talking — so this advice came to you prior to you leaving for Mississippi? A. No, that advice claim to me — Q. Dr. Wigand, I'm sorry. I am talking to you about the time period before November 29th. The time period		4 5 6 7 8 9	what's going on. So I'm going to try to start over and see if I can understand. You got a subpoena to testify in Moore on the 16th. To your knowledge that subpoena was never revoked, was it? A. To my knowledge it was never revoked.	
5 6 7 8 9	Q. We are talking — so this advice came to you prior to you leaving for Mississippi? A. No, that advice claim to me — Q. Dr. Wigand, I'm sorry. I am talking to you about the time period before November 29th. The time period when you were in Kentucky. You told us		4 5 6 7 8 9	what's going on. So I'm going to try to start over and see if I can understand. You got a subpoena to testify in Moore on the 16th. To your knowledge that subpoena was never revoked, was it? A. To my knowledge it was never revoked. Q. To your knowledge, that you	
5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. We are talking — so this advice came to you prior to you leaving for Mississippi? A. No, that advice claim to me — Q. Dr. Wigand, I'm sorry. I am talking to you about the time period before November 29th. The time period when you were in Kentucky. You told us you had a discussion with Scruggs about		4 5 6 7 8 9 10	what's going on. So I'm going to try to start over and see if I can understand. You got a subpoena to testify in Moore on the 16th. To your knowledge that subpoena was never revoked, was it? A. To my knowledge it was never revoked. Q. To your knowledge, that you were requested to be in Mississippi on the	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. We are talking — so this advice came to you prior to you leaving for Mississippi? A. No, that advice claim to me — Q. Dr. Wigand, I'm sorry. I am talking to you about the time period before November 29th. The time period when you were in Kentucky. You told us you had a discussion with Scruggs about the subpoena and the TRO and therefore		4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	what's going on. So I'm going to try to start over and see if I can understand. You got a subpoena to testify in Moore on the 16th. To your knowledge that subpoena was never revoked, was it? A. To my knowledge it was never revoked. Q. To your knowledge, that you were requested to be in Mississippi on the 29th for Moore?	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. We are talking — so this advice came to you prior to you leaving for Mississippi? A. No, that advice claim to me — Q. Dr. Wigand, I'm sorry. I am talking to you about the time period before November 29th. The time period when you were in Kentucky. You told us you had a discussion with Scruggs about the subpoena and the TRO and therefore believed you told him that you had a		4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	what's going on. So I'm going to try to start over and see if I can understand. You got a subpoena to testify in Moore on the 16th. To your knowledge that subpoena was never revoked, was it? A. To my knowledge it was never revoked. Q. To your knowledge, that you were requested to be in Mississippi on the 29th for Moore? A. I was also informed I was to be	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. We are talking — so this advice came to you prior to you leaving for Mississippi? A. No, that advice claim to me — Q. Dr. Wigand, I'm sorry. I am talking to you about the time period before November 29th. The time period when you were in Kennucky. You told us you had a discussion with Scruggs about the subpoena and the TRO and therefore believed you told him that you had a reluctance to testify?		4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	what's going on. So I'm going to try to start over and see if I can understand. You got a subpoena to testify in Moore on the 16th. To your knowledge that subpoena was never revoked, was it? A. To my knowledge it was never revoked. Q. To your knowledge, that you were requested to be in Mississippi on the 29th for Moore? A. I was also informed I was to be in Mississippi on the 29th for a civil	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. We are talking — so this advice came to you prior to you leaving for Mississippi? A. No, that advice claim to me — Q. Dr. Wigand, I'm sorry. I am talking to you about the time period before November 29th. The time period when you were in Kentucky. You told us you had a discussion with Scruggs about the subpoena and the TRO and therefore believed you told him that you had a reluctance to testify? A. I think what I am saying is I		4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	what's going on. So I'm going to try to start over and see if I can understand. You got a subpoena to testify in Moore on the 16th. To your knowledge that subpoena was never revoked, was it? A. To my knowledge it was never revoked. Q. To your knowledge, that you were requested to be in Mississippi on the 29th for Moore? A. I was also informed I was to be in Mississippi on the 29th for a civil investigative demand issued by the	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. We are talking — so this advice came to you prior to you leaving for Mississippi? A. No, that advice claim to me — Q. Dr. Wigand, I'm sorry. I am talking to you about the time period before November 29th. The time period when you were in Kentucky. You told us you had a discussion with Scruggs about the subpoena and the TRO and therefore believed you told him that you had a reluctance to testify? A. I think what I am saying is I had that reluctance between the time I was		4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	what's going on. So I'm going to try to start over and see if I can understand. You got a subpoena to testify in Moore on the 16th. To your knowledge that subpoena was never revoked, was it? A. To my knowledge it was never revoked. Q. To your knowledge, that you were requested to be in Mississippi on the 29th for Moore? A. I was also informed I was to be in Mississippi on the 29th for a civil investigative demand issued by the Department of Justice, yes.	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. We are talking — so this advice came to you prior to you leaving for Mississippi? A. No, that advice claim to me — Q. Dr. Wigand, I'm sorry. I am talking to you about the time period before November 29th. The time period when you were in Kennucky. You told us you had a discussion with Scruggs about the subpoena and the TRO and therefore believed you told him that you had a reluctance to testify? A. I think what I am saying is I had that reluctance between the time I was in Mississippi. I didn't say anything		10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	what's going on. So I'm going to try to start over and see if I can understand. You got a subpoena to testify in Moore on the 16th. To your knowledge that subpoena was never revoked, was it? A. To my knowledge it was never revoked. Q. To your knowledge, that you were requested to be in Mississippi on the 29th for Moore? A. I was also informed I was to be in Mississippi on the 29th for a civil investigative demand issued by the Department of Justice, yes. Q. But the only service of process	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. We are talking — so this advice came to you prior to you leaving for Mississippi? A. No, that advice claim to me — Q. Dr. Wigand, I'm sorry. I am talking to you about the time period before November 29th. The time period when you were in Kentucky. You told us you had a discussion with Scruggs about the subpoena and the TRO and therefore believed you told him that you had a reluctance to testify? A. I think what I am saying is I had that reluctance between the time I was in Mississippi. I didn't say anything before that. And I believe Mr. Scruggs		10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	what's going on. So I'm going to try to start over and see if I can understand. You got a subpoena to testify in Moore on the 16th. To your knowledge that subpoena was never revoked, was it? A. To my knowledge it was never revoked. Q. To your knowledge, that you were requested to be in Mississippi on the 29th for Moore? A. I was also informed I was to be in Mississippi on the 29th for a civil investigative demand issued by the Department of Justice, yes. Q. But the only service of process upon you for attendance in Mississippi on	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. We are talking — so this advice came to you prior to you leaving for Mississippi? A. No, that advice claim to me — Q. Dr. Wigand, I'm sorry. I am talking to you about the time period before November 29th. The time period when you were in Kennucky. You told us you had a discussion with Scruggs about the subpoena and the TRO and therefore believed you told him that you had a reluctance to testify? A. I think what I am saying is I had that reluctance between the time I was in Mississippi. I didn't say anything		10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	what's going on. So I'm going to try to start over and see if I can understand. You got a subpoena to testify in Moore on the 16th. To your knowledge that subpoena was never revoked, was it? A. To my knowledge it was never revoked. Q. To your knowledge, that — you were requested to be in Mississippi on the 29th for Moore? A. I was also informed I was to be in Mississippi on the 29th for a civil investigative demand issued by the Department of Justice, yes. Q. But the only service of process upon you for attendance in Mississippi on the 29th was the Moore case. Are we clear	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. We are talking — so this advice came to you prior to you leaving for Mississippi? A. No, that advice claim to me — Q. Dr. Wigand, I'm sorry. I am talking to you about the time period before November 29th. The time period when you were in Kentucky. You told us you had a discussion with Scruggs about the subpoena and the TRO and therefore believed you told him that you had a reluctance to testify? A. I think what I am saying is I had that reluctance between the time I was in Mississippi. I didn't say anything before that. And I believe Mr. Scruggs		10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	what's going on. So I'm going to try to start over and see if I can understand. You got a subpoena to testify in Moore on the 16th. To your knowledge that subpoena was never revoked, was it? A. To my knowledge it was never revoked. Q. To your knowledge, that you were requested to be in Mississippi on the 29th for Moore? A. I was also informed I was to be in Mississippi on the 29th for a civil investigative demand issued by the Department of Justice, yes. Q. But the only service of process upon you for attendance in Mississippi on	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. We are talking — so this advice came to you prior to you leaving for Mississippi? A. No, that advice claim to me — Q. Dr. Wigand, I'm sorry. I am talking to you about the time period before November 29th. The time period when you were in Kentucky. You told us you had a discussion with Scruggs about the subpoena and the TRO and therefore believed you told him that you had a reluctance to testify? A. I think what I am saying is I had that reluctance between the time I was in Mississippi. I didn't say anything before that. And I believe Mr. Scruggs advised me. Something wrong with your		4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	what's going on. So I'm going to try to start over and see if I can understand. You got a subpoena to testify in Moore on the 16th. To your knowledge that subpoena was never revoked, was it? A. To my knowledge it was never revoked. Q. To your knowledge, that you were requested to be in Mississippi on the 29th for Moore? A. I was also informed I was to be in Mississippi on the 29th for a civil investigative demand issued by the Department of Justice, yes. Q. But the only service of process upon you for attendance in Mississippi on the 29th was the Moore case. Are we clear on that? A. Um-hum.	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. We are talking — so this advice came to you prior to you leaving for Mississippi? A. No, that advice claim to me — Q. Dr. Wigand, I'm sorry. I am talking to you about the time period before November 29th. The time period when you were in Kentucky. You told us you had a discussion with Scruggs about the subpoena and the TRO and therefore believed you told him that you had a reluctance to testify? A. I think what I am saying is I had that reluctance between the time I was in Mississippi. I didn't say anything before that. And I believe Mr. Scruggs advised me. Something wrong with your neck?		4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	what's going on. So I'm going to try to start over and see if I can understand. You got a subpoena to testify in Moore on the 16th. To your knowledge that subpoena was never revoked, was it? A. To my knowledge it was never revoked. Q. To your knowledge, that you were requested to be in Mississippi on the 29th for Moore? A. I was also informed I was to be in Mississippi on the 29th for a civil investigative demand issued by the Department of Justice, yes. Q. But the only service of process upon you for attendance in Mississippi on the 29th was the Moore case. Are we clear on that?	

Page 2605 - Page 2608

CURTIN, SCHNEIDER & LAWREY

Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand VOLUME 15

Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

Page 26
1 MS. WERTHEIMER: There was
.2 never another notice that looked like
3 this.
4 MR. ALDOCK: He only got one
5 formal demand and then they extended by
6 informal means.
7 MR. SHEFFLER: That's fine.
8 But what I am asking him about is the only
9 service on him that he knew about at the
10 time he was packing his bags to go to
11 Mississippi was Moore.
12 A. No, I think I have testified
13 contrary to that. I said I went primarily
14 to testify on a CID demand.
15 Q. Primarily but you also had
16 Okay. Let's start again.
17 A. My reason for going to
118 Mississippi at that time was
19 O. Time out. There is no question
20 pending. Let me ask the question, and we
21 will go question and answer and probably
22 get still confused and but we will try our
23 best.
24 You did get the service of a
<u></u>
Page 26
1 subpoens duces tecum for Moore on November
2 16th to appear in Mississippi on November
3 29th; right?
4 A. I have already answered that.
5 Q. And you did have discussions
6 MS, WERTHEIMER: You need to
7 answer his questions yes, no, or yes or
8 no with an explanation. You can't just
9 refer him back.
10 MR. SHEFFLER: Thank you,
11 counsel.
12 Q. You did you did have
13 discussions with Scruggs about the TRO
14 after the issuance of the TRO?
115 A. Limited discussions, yes.
16 Q. And those discussions did take
17 place when you were in Kentucky over the
18 phone, before November 28th, 1995?
19 A. I believe so, yes.
20 Q. You are not sure what dates they
21 were but they did occur; right?
22 A. I believe so, yes. 23 O And those discussions did

Page 2609 - Page 2612

Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand VOLUME 15

Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

Page :	2613 Page 261
1 the TRO on the CID, they did address the	1 he thought it was a probability, but he
2 effect of the TRO on the subpoens that was	•2 wasn't sure it was going to happen.
issued in Moore?	3 MR. SHEFFLER: He told me that
4 A. I believe so,	4 he thought it was a possibility.
5 Q. And those discussions were with	5 MR. ALDOCK: Yes,
6 Scruggs; correct?	6 MR. SHEFFLER: Because there was
7 A. Um-hum.	7 a TRO and because Brown & Williamson may
8 Q. And those discussions were with	8 act on the TRO
9 Margolin; correct?	9 MR. ALDOCK: No, the possibility
O A Yes.	10 that he was testifying in Mississippi is
Q. And you said that you expressed	11 what I am referring to.
2 to Scruggs some reluctance to go and	12 MR. SHEFFLER: That there was a
statify in Moore on the 29th of November	13 possibility we are trying to discover
4 because of the TRO; did you not?	14 why it is. He told me this never was
5 A. When I was already in	15 revoked. He told me he didn't discuss the
6 Mississippi.	16 subpoens and the TRO with Scruggs. Why is
? Q. Did you ever express to him a	17 it that's what we are trying to
B reluctance to go and testify about the TRO	18 discover.
9 before then?	19 Why is it that he thought this
	20 was only a possibility?
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1 Q. Why not?	22 subpoons, sir, and you are served and it
2 A. I just didn't. I didn't have	1
3 Q. You didn't have any reluctance	23 says appear on November 29th and you are
4 before the 29th of November?	24 flying down on November 28th to the place
Page	2614 Page 26
1 A. I didn't have any concern.	1 where the subpoena tells you to be for
2 Q. You didn't have any concern	2 November 29th to give testimony, why is it
3 about a temporary restraining order that	3 you thought it was only a possibility you
4 restrained you from testifying without	4 would give testimony?
5 giving notice to Brown & Williamson before	5 A. Because I had the ultimate
6 leaving for Mississippi to testify against	6 decision whether I was going to testify or
7 Brown & Williamson? Yes or no?	7 not.
8 A. There was a lot of questions.	8 Q. The ultimate decision rests with
9 Which one would you like me to answer	9 Jeffrey Wigand whether you were testifying
0 fürst?	10 or not?
i Q. The ones I asked.	11 A. Then on the advice of counsel
2 A It was a very compound question.	12 and a court order of Mississippi, I went
3 Ask me simple questions. Did I know	13 ahead with the deposition, yes.
4 before I left?	14 Q. So at the time
S Q. Did you have reluctance about	15 THE COURT: I think the
6 testifying in the Moore case that you were	16 question is, why did you think you had to
7 subpoenaed on because of the TRO prior to	17 respond to the CID but not to the subpoena
8 leaving for Mississippi?	18 in the Moore case that was served on you
	19 on November the 16th?
MR. ALDOCK: Objection; because	20 THE WITNESS: I was advised by
20 you assume - and the witness is having	21 my attorneys that I had a federal
rouble because you assume he is going to	
to be testifying in Moore and he has already	22 subpoena, or a federal CID demand, and
23 had a whole colloquy for a long time with	23 that I had to respond to that or there was
24 you about he thought it was a possibility,	24 consequences.

CURTIN, SCHNEIDER & LAWREY

Page 2613 - Page 2616

Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand VOLUME 15

Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

E	Page 2617			Page 261
THE COURT: And no discussion	ļ	1.4	A. I knew I was going down to	
2 about the November 16th subpoens in the		2	Mississippi, yes.	
3 Moore?	1	3 (Q. And you knew that because you	
THE WITNESS: No.		4 1	were talking to Mr. Scruggs and Mr.	
BY MR. SHEFFLER:	j	5	Margolin you knew that they were going to	
6 Q If I understand correctly, sir,		6	court over this matter; did you not?	
your attorneys are the attorneys we are	1	7 .	A. Over which matter?	
stalking about, Margolin and Scruggs, are		8	Q. Over the deposition notice in	
the same attorneys who negotiated on your	}	9	the Moore case.	
behalf the resumption of the CID in		10	A. I was aware that they were	
Mississippi on the 29th?		11	giving notice to Brown & Williamson.	
2 A. I assume they did.	ļ	12	Brown & Williamson elected not to take	
3 Q. I get this straight, I think the		13 1	notice.	
pictured is coming clearer. You were	i	14	MS. WERTHEIMER: Did you	
served on the 16th, the TRO comes on the		15	that's different	
6 21st. Then you have some discussions with	ĺ	16	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I may have	
7 your attorneys and you learn through your		17	been aware that they were going to court	
8 attorneys that they are rescheduling a CID	I		on it while I was there.	
9 to take place by happenstance on the	[19	O. You were aware before you left	
o morning of the 29th, right?	l l		that they were going to court on it?	
1 A. Yes, that's so far correct at	Ì	21		
2 the convenience of the government.	ĺ	22		
3 Q. And when you get there they tell			Scruggs about this subpoena duces tecum	
4 you in the afternoon, Mr. Wigand, you have		ı	after the TRO, did you not, and before you	
		-		2 26
	Page 2618		1.6. San h-Singingingi, did yang mast	Page 26.
got to testify in Moore, too; right?		l	left for Mississippi; did you not?	
2 A. Yes.	İ	2	-	
3 MS. WERTHEIMER: Is that your	ļ) .		
4 testimony?	•		discussions	
5 Q. Is that your testimony?		_	A I had specific conversations	
6 A. Yes. I'm ordered to.		ı	with Mr. Scruggs on it or Mr. Margolin	
7 Q. It just so happens that you were			before I left.	
8 there on the same very day for the CID		6	Q. Well, I don't know what you mean	
9 that you were noticed to be there for the	:		by specific and general. You had general	
Moore case?			conversations but not specific	
A. That seems to be true.		113	conversations, and I'm not sure what you	
2 Q. And all the time that you were			mean.	
3 preparing to go to Mississippi, and during			A. I didn't get into the details of	
4 all these discussions with Scruggs that		1	what the TRO was. I didn't get into the	
5 you had from the 21st on, it was your		15	details of what was going on, no.	
6 understanding, sir, that the deposition		16	•	
7 notice was never withdrawn for Moore; is		1	Scruggs, what the effect of the TRO was on	
8 that correct?		1	the deposition notice prior to being in	
19 A. I think so; that's true, yes.		19	Mississippi?	
20 Q. And you knew you were going down		20	A. I asked him after I was in	
to Mississippi for Moore; did you not?		21	Mississippi.	
12 A. Not specifically, no.		22	Q. You never asked him before that?	
23 Q. You knew you were going down to		23	-	
		1	Q. You never asked any attorney	

CURTIN, SCHNEIDER & LAWREY

Page 2617 - Page 2620

Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand VOLUME 15

Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

Page 2621			Page 262:
about the effect of the TRO on this	1	A. Privileged.	
2 subpoens that you had served upon you in	2	MR. SHEFFLER: No, that's not,	
the Moore case?		I submit it's not privileged. He doesn't	
4 THE COURT: Prior to going to		represent him in the state of Mississippi	
5 Mississippi.	5	action. He supposedly, Scruggs has got up	
6 Q. Prior to going to Mississippi.	6	and said -	
7 A. In general conversations, yes.	7	MR. ALDOCK: I will represent	
8 THE WITNESS: I didn't go into	_	for the record that he knows because there	
9 the specifics, your Honor.	9	was a retainer agreement and waivers that	
0 Q. General conversations with whom?	10	were made.	
1 A. Scruggs and Margolin.	11	Q. All right. You also know that	
2 Q. Those are told only two.	12	Mr. Scruggs was - on the process	
3 A. That I remember, yes.	13	server's, return of service signature it's	
4 Q. What general conversations	14	Dicky Scruggs, do you see that?	
5 what were the scope of these general	15	MS. WERTHEIMER: That's Exhibit	
6 conversations?	16	63.	
7 A. That I was being ordered by		Q. On the Exhibit, the subpoena	
8 federal subpoena to be in Mississippi.	18	duces tecum for deposition in Moore.	
9 Q. No, no, no. I'm not talking	19	Scruggs signed the return of process, did	
o about the CID. I'm talking about Moore.	20	he not return of service.	
What were the general conversations of the	21	A. I will assume that you are	
2 TRO and the Moore deposition subpoens that	22	correct.	
3 you had before leaving for Mississippi?	23	THE COURT: You are talking	
24 A. There may or may not be an	24	about the November 16th	
Page 2622	Г		Page 262
issue.	1	MR. SHEFFLER: Yes, the	-
2 Q. That was a discussion with	2	deposition notice of November 16.	
3 Scruggs?	3	THE COURT: Exhibit 84.	
4 A. Yes.	4	Q. At this time Mr. Scruggs was	
5 Q Which one told you there may or	5	your attorney in this action; correct?	
6 may not be an issue; Scruggs or Margolin	1	A. Where is Mr. Scruggs's name	
7 or both?	7	is where on this?	
8 A. Both.	İs	O. Return of service. Do you see	
9 Q. Okay. Just for the record, at	9	that over process server. Do you see	
10 the time of these discussions after	1	that?	
11 November 21st, 1995, you knew that Scruggs	11	A. Okay.	
12 was representing the state of Mississippi;	1	Q. And you were also aware that Mr.	
13 did you not?		Scruggs was negotiating during this time	
14 A. I knew on October 30th that Mr.	1	the resumption of the CID?	
15 Scruggs was representing the state of	i	A. I believe Mr. Margolin was	
16 Mississippi. I believe your letter	1	principally charged with that.	
17 that I'm sorry.		Q. Principally charged with	
		Margolin, but Scruggs was assisting	
	1	Margolin in this in this?	
		A. I don't know that.	
20 that Scruggs was representing the state of	!	11 1 1 1 1 1	
	j 21	4 .	
21 Mississippi?			
21 Mississippi? 22 A. Um-hum.		A. I don't know that for specific,	
2) Mississippi?	23	no. O. Do you know it generally?	

CURTIN, SCHNEIDER & LAWREY

562630695

http://legacy.library.ucsiSedu/tid/lyhp97/a00/pdfdustrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/ltfl0001

Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand VOLUME 15

Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

Page 2625			Page 262
A. I believe as my attorney he was	l	MR. ALDOCK: He has a clear	
probably involved in it.	2	understanding from a retainer agreement	
Q Did you have any discussions	3	that's absolutely clear that he	
with him during the time about it, about	4	represented him with the issues related to	
the CID resumption? With Scruggs?	5	this matter. Counsel of record problem he	
A I may have. I don't recall	6	has got -	
specifically.	7	THE COURT: Without going into	
Q You don't recall specifically?	8	it any further, the letter of October 30	
A. No.	9	from Mr. Scruggs to Dr. Wigand PA103 does,	
Q. But in other words, they may		as I set out earlier I think clearly on	
I have happened, they may not have?	П	the record, does go into potential	
A I generally remember some		defenses to Dr. Wigand if sued by Brown &	
· ·		Williamson. And it discusses, without	
•		going into it any further too far a	
S Q During this time you were also		potential lawsuit by Dr. Wigand against	
6 testifying that Scruggs represented you in		Brown & Williamson.	
this action, too, in this action being	17	MR. SHEFFLER: I'm not	
· · ·		challenging Scruggs's letter.	
A. No, Mr. Scruggs is my personal	19	MR. ALDOCK: This case wasn't	
attorney.		filed yet, Bruce, That's the problem	
		that's one of the problems.	
	22	THE COURT: I viewed it as	
2 that Mr. Scruggs represented you in this		seeking legal advice by a potential	
3 action as of October 30th, 1995.		lawsuit by Brown & Williamson against	
4 A. He was my personal attorney is	_	laws act by Brown & Windamson against	
Page 2626			Page 26
what I said yesterday.	١,	Dr. Wigand.	
2 Q When did he begin representing	2	MR. SHEFFLER: That's right.	
3 you in this action?	3	As of October 30th it wasn't filed.	
4 A. I don't think he has ever	4	THE COURT: It wasn't filed, but	
5 represented me in this action.		I don't know of any requirement of law	
6 MR. ALDOCK: The witness is now	6	that a suit be filed. You seek legal	
7 we are now between his counsel of	7	advice usually before	
8 record and whether he was counseling him	8		
9 on the issues.		Honor, I have no problem with any of that.	
0 MR. SHEFFLER: No, I'm talking		My problem is with Mr. Wigand's	
to him about counsel		representations today that Scruggs wasn't	
2 MR. ALDOCK: He was counsel in		representing him in this suit.	
3 connection with issues that were arising	13	THE COURT. Well, he is saying	
4 in Kentucky, but he wasn't counsel of	•	attorney of record.	
5 record. We established that.	15	MR. ALDOCK: In the suit he	
6 MR. SHEFFLER. Excuse me,	16	wasn't.	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	17	THE COURT The suit wasn't	
7 counsel, As you know, to have an	18	pending, and he never was the attorney of	
		record.	
8 attorney-client relationship with respect	1	10000	
8 attorney-client relationship with respect 9 to a case or issue there has to be an	1		
8 attorney-client relationship with respect 9 to a case or issue there has to be an 10 expectation on both sides that there is	19 20		
17 counsel, As you know, to have an 18 attorney-client relationship with respect 19 to a case or issue there has to be an 10 expectation on both sides that there is 11 such a relationship, and it is 12 confidential. And if he doesn't have an	19 20 21	MR. ALDOCK: On these issues he was.	
8 attorney-client relationship with respect 19 to a case or issue there has to be an 20 expectation on both sides that there is	19 20 21 22	MR. ALDOCK: On these issues he was.	

Page 2625 - Page 2628

Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand VOLUME 15

Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

1 BY MR. SHEFFLER: 2 Q. Mr. Wigand, how many times have 3 you flown on Mr. Scruggs's airplane? 4 A. How many times have I flown on 5 Mr. Scruggs's airplane? I have never 6 really sat down and counted them. 7 Q. Do so now, you are sitting. 8 A. Are you talking specifically 9 Mr. Scruggs's airplane? His personal 10 airplane? Is that what the question is? 11 MS. WERTHEIMER: As opposed to 12 being flown— 13 Q. His plane means his airplane. 14 Does he have more than one airplane? 15 A. He has access to more than one 16 airplane, yes. 17 Q. How many times have you flown on
3 you flown on Mr. Scruggs's airplane? 4 A. How many times have I flown on 5 Mr. Scruggs's airplane? I have never 6 really sat down and counted them. 7 Q. Do so now, you are sitting. 8 A. Are you talking specifically 9 Mr. Scruggs's airplane? His personal 10 airplane? Is that what the question is? 11 MS. WERTHEIMER: As opposed to 12 being flown— 13 Q. His plane means his airplane. 14 Does he have more than one airplane? 15 A. He has access to more than one 16 airplane, yes. 17 Q. How many times have you flown on
4 A. How many times have I flown on 5 Mr. Scruggs's airplane? I have never 6 really sat down and counted them. 7 Q. Do so now, you are sitting. 8 A. Are you talking specifically 9 Mr. Scruggs's airplane? His personal 10 airplane? Is that what the question is? 11 MS. WERTHEIMER: As opposed to 12 being flown 13 Q. His plane means his airplane. 14 Does he have more than one airplane? 15 A. He has access to more than one 16 airplane, yes. 17 Q. How many times have you flown on
5 Mr. Scruggs's airplane? I have never 6 really sat down and counted them. 7 Q. Do so now, you are sitting. 8 A. Are you talking specifically 9 Mr. Scruggs's airplane? His personal 10 airplane? Is that what the question is? 11 MS. WERTHEIMER: As opposed to 12 being flown 13 Q. His plane means his airplane. 14 Does he have more than one airplane? 15 A. He has access to more than one 16 airplane, yes. 17 Q. How many times have you flown on
6 really sat down and counted them. 7 Q. Do so now, you are sitting. 8 A. Are you talking specifically 9 Mr. Scruggs's airplane? His personal 10 airplane? Is that what the question is? 11 MS. WERTHEIMER: As opposed to 12 being flown 13 Q. His plane means his airplane. 14 Does he have more than one airplane? 15 A. He has access to more than one 16 airplane, yes. 17 Q. How many times have you flown on
7 Q. Do so now, you are sitting. 8 A. Are you talking specifically 9 Mr. Scruggs's airplane? His personal 10 airplane? Is that what the question is? 11 MS. WERTHEIMER: As opposed to 12 being flown 13 Q. His plane means his airplane. 14 Does he have more than one airplane? 15 A. He has access to more than one 16 airplane, yes. 17 Q. How many times have you flown on
8 A. Are you talking specifically 9 Mr. Scruggs's airplane? His personal 10 airplane? Is that what the question is? 11 MS. WERTHEIMER: As opposed to 12 being flown 13 Q. His plane means his airplane. 14 Does he have more than one airplane? 15 A. He has access to more than one 16 airplane, yes. 17 Q. How many times have you flown on
9 Mr. Scruggs's airplane? His personal 10 airplane? Is that what the question is? 11 MS. WERTHEIMER: As opposed to 12 being flown 13 Q. His plane means his airplane. 14 Does he have more than one airplane? 15 A. He has access to more than one 16 airplane, yes. 17 Q. How many times have you flown on
10 airplane? Is that what the question is? 11 MS. WERTHEIMER: As opposed to 12 being flown 13 Q. His plane means his airplane. 14 Does he have more than one airplane? 15 A. He has access to more than one 16 airplane, yes. 17 Q. How many times have you flown on
10 airplane? Is that what the question is? 11 MS. WERTHEIMER: As opposed to 12 being flown 13 Q. His plane means his airplane. 14 Does he have more than one airplane? 15 A. He has access to more than one 16 airplane, yes. 17 Q. How many times have you flown on
11 MS. WERTHEIMER: As opposed to 12 being flown 13 Q. His plane means his airplane. 14 Does he have more than one airplane? 15 A. He has access to more than one 16 airplane, yes. 17 Q. How many times have you flown on
12 being flown 13 Q. His plane means his airplane. 14 Does he have more than one airplane? 15 A. He has access to more than one 16 airplane, yes. 17 Q. How many times have you flown on
 13 Q. His plane means his airplane. 14 Does he have more than one airplane? 15 A. He has access to more than one 16 airplane, yes. 17 Q. How many times have you flown on
 14 Does he have more than one airplane? 15 A. He has access to more than one 16 airplane, yes. 17 Q. How many times have you flown on
 15 A. He has access to more than one 16 airplane, yes. 17 Q. How many times have you flown on
16 airplane, yes. 17 Q. How many times have you flown on
17 Q. How many times have you flown on
18 his airplanes or the ones he has access
119 to?
20 A. That's a different question.
21 THE COURT You are excluding
22 commercial flights?
23 MR SHEFFLER: Excluding
24 commercial flights, yeah.
2630 Page 2
THE WITNESS: I'm going to say
2 four times, maybe five maximum.
3 Q. Okay. Tell me about the four or
4 maybe five times. Where were they and
5 when were they?
6 A. The first time I believe was
7 sometime around the 14th of November,
8 1995.
9 THE COURT: From where to where?
10 THE WITNESS: From Louisville
11 to actually Jackson, Mississippi, and
12 back. I believe the second time was
13 November 28ish, and back on the back on
14 the 29th.
15 THE COURT: From Louisville to
16 where?
17 THE WITNESS: Louisville to
18 Pascagoula this time. I believe I also
19 went be down to Mississippi one other time
20 to Pascagoula to meet with Mr. Scruggs
21 relative to litigation.
22 And I believe Mr. Scruggs
23 afforded me the opportunity to ride on his
24 plane, and my children, back from the

CURTIN, SCHNEIDER & LAWREY

(B&W) PROTECTED BY MINNESOTA TOBACCO LITIGATION PROTECTIVE ORDER Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand VOLUME 15

Page 2633	Page 263
t ethical culture award. I think that's	1 Q. Did you discuss documents
2 all and that's on April 22nd, 1996.	2 relative to Brown & Williamson?
3 MR. ALDOCK: That was from where	3 A. No.
4 to where?	4 Q. Was there any mention of
5 THE WITNESS: That was from New	5 documents relative to Brown & Williamson?
6 York City back to Louisville. I think	6 A. No.
7 that's all.	7 Q. Did you discuss any information,
8 BY MR. SHEFFLER:	8 confidential information or information
9 Q. When was the time that he flew	9 that Brown & Williamson considered
0 you down to meet with him about matters	10 confidential?
relative to litigation?	11 MR ALDOCK: We are answering
2 A I can't recall the dates.	12 these questions on the contempt issue on
3 O. Was it after the Moore	13 the assumption that they are called for
4 deposition?	14 and there is no waiver. So we are he
5 A Yes.	15 is doing that.
16 Q. Was it before the 4-22-96	16 Other than that, I would have to
7 flight?	17 start objecting a lot more frequently.
8 A. I'm not sure, I can't recall	18 But I've given you leeway under that
9 specifically. I can recall going down	19 assumption that we have had the ground
o with my daughters.	20 rules for this
21 O. You went down to Pascagoula with	21 THE COURT: I'm permitting the
•	22 invasion of privacy.
2 your daughters? 3 A That's what I said.	123 MR. ALDOCK: And to establish
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
4 Q No, you said you went with your	24 the client relationship, that's all.
Page 2634	1
1 daughters from New York City to	1 THE COURT: Only to the extent
2 Louisville.	2 of the contempt, or defense to the
3 A. That was one time. You didn't	3 contempt motion but no further.
4 listen carefully. Can we read it back?	4 MS. WERTHEIMER: One moment,
5 MR. ALDOCK: Just answer his	5 your Honor.
6 question.	6 (Discussion held off the
7 Q. You went down to Pascagoula with	7 record.)
8 your daughters?	a THE COURT: Did I say privacy?
9 A. Yes.	9 I meant to say privilege.
10 Q Did you stay overnight?	10 THE WITNESS. Same thing,
1 A. Over the weekend, yes.	11 hopefully.
2 Q What was the litigation that you	12 THE COURT: Change that word to
3 met with Mr. Scruggs about?	13 privilege, if you would.
14 A. I think that's privileged	14 MR. ALDOCK: I think we have
5 conversation. He was my anomey.	15 gone as far as we can go without trenching
16 Q What was the litigation that you	16 beyond contempt. Therefore, I think I
17 met with Mr. Scruggs about?	17 will instruct him not to answer the
18 A. My personal lingation.	18 pending question, which strikes me as
	19 going further.
· -	
19 Q Was it this suit?	170 THE (X)DET: I WALLED STREET FOR
19 Q Was it this suit? 20 A It revolves around this suit.	20 THE COURT: I will sustain the
19 Q Was it this suit? 20 A It revolves around this suit. 21 That's all I want to tell you.	21 objection.
19 Q Was it this suit? 20 A It revolves around this suit. 21 That's all I want to tell you. 22 Q Did it revolve around Brown &	21 objection. 22 BY MR. SHEFFLER:
19 Q Was it this suit? 20 A It revolves around this suit. 21 That's all I want to tell you.	21 objection.

Page 2633 - Page 2636

Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand VOLUME 15

Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

Page 2		Page 263
Pascagoula	1 deposition in Louisville?	
2 A. I'm sопту.	2 A. No. It was at the convenience	•
3 Q. Did you meet with Mr. Scruggs	3 of the government.	
when you flew you down to Pascagoula with	4 Q. Who told you that it was at the	i¢
5 respect to any litigation other than your	5 convenience of the government?	
6 personal litigation with him that he was	6 A. That's my understanding.	
7 representing you in?	7 Q. Did you know	
8 A. No.	8 THE COURT: Your understand	ling
9 Q There was no other litigation?	9 from what?	
0 A. No.	10 THE WITNESS: Just general	
i Q. Okay.	11 facts and what transpired. It was a	t the
2 A Potential litigation versus	12 convenience of the government that	the
3 personal litigation. That's as far as I	13 deposition take place in Pascagoula	in
4 will go.	14 Mississippi.	
5 Q. Okay. Now, do you know that the	15 THE COURT: You are still not	:
6 CID - strike that.	16 understanding. An understanding of	tomes
7 The original CID was to take	17 from something, where did it come	from?
8 place in Louisville as you know.	18 THE WITNESS: 1 think the	
9 A. What were we talking about.	19 meeting with Mr. Reed and whoeve	r the
20 Q The original CID in August, 1995	20 other attorney was, Mr. Margolin, I	Mr.
was to take place in Louisville.	21 Seruggs. I just can't give you a	
22 A. That's what the CID says, the	22 specific it was my understanding	it was
23 notice.	23 rescheduled in Pascagoula at the	
24 Q And when it was changed to take	24 convenience of the government.	
Page :	638	Page 264
1 place in Mississippi, did you discuss with	I BY MR. SHEFFLER:	
2 your lawyers why it was changed to take	2 Q. The meeting with Mr. Reed to	ook
3 place in Mississippi?	3 place when?	
4 A. It was at the convenience of the	4 A. In Mississippi.	
5 government, I believe.	5 Q. Took place when?	
6 Q. Is that what you were told?	6 A. On the morning of the 29th.	
7 A I believe that's what I	7 Q. Okay, Prior to the meeting w	⁄i th
8 understood.	8 Mr. Reed in Mississippi, on the mo	
9 Q. Was that what you were told?	9 the 29th, did you have any discussi	
10 A. That's what I understood.	10 that would lead you to your unders	
11 Q. Sir, my question is, were you	It that the deposition of the CID was	
12 told that?	12 rescheduled for Mississippi at the	
12 A. I can't recall. That was my	13 convenience of the government?	
14 understanding.	14 A. I think I already answered the	at
	15 haven't I?	,
	16 O. No.	
16 could be scheduled in Kentucky?	17 THE COURT: I don't believe s	n
17 A. I didn't ask that question.	is THE WITNESS: I was not	···
18 Q. Why not?	19 involved in the decision to pick	
19 A. Didn't need to.		ne .
20 Q Why?	20 Pascagoula, Mississippi. It was do	
21 A It was at the convenience of the	21 between my anormeys and I believe	45
22 government	22 Department of Justice.	
23 Q Did you discuss with your	23 BY MR. SHEFFLER: 24 O I understand that. But I'm	
	124 O I understand that. But I'm	

CURTIN, SCHNEIDER & LAWREY

Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand VOLUME 15

Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

		Page 2641		Page 2643
	id you ask your attorneys or	i I	1	A. Go shead repeat it.
2 anyone wheth	er the deposition could be		•2	Q. Did you have any discussions
3 held in Louis	ville?		3	with your attorneys about your rights to
4 A. Not to:	my recollection.	ļ	4	have the deposition scheduled in
5 Q. Why no	ot?	[5	Louisville?
6 A. Ijustd	idn't.	ļ	6	A. I think that's a privileged
7 Q But, su	, you had to take off	İ	7	conversation.
8 school to go	down to this; did you not?		8	Q. I'm not sure that it is.
9 A. I took	one day off of school,		9	MS. WERTHEIMER: You can answer
o that's correct	•		10	the question.
I Q. Did yo	u take two days off?		11	THE WITNESS: Not that I can
2 A. I took o	one day,		12	recall. I didn't think my attorneys
3 Q. Well, s	ir, could you not have		13	determine where the venue is. I think the
	schedule the deposition in		14	U.S. Government determines where the venue
5 Louisville?	·	į	15	is.
6 A. Sure, I	could have asked them	i	16	BY MR. SHEFFLER:
7 lots of things		ļ	17	Q. Did you have any discussions
8 Q. Whyn			18	with your attorneys about that?
•	t feel it necessary.		ı	A. No.
	u want to go to		20	 What was your understanding that
1 Mississippi?			21	the government determined where the
	fidn't really matter to	ļ	L	deposition would be and not your
23 m c .				attomeys?
	you rather have had the		J	A. I don't have anything to tell
-		D 2647	 - -	Page 264
	La alasa ia fianisasina	Page 2642!	١,	you what the understanding of the
·='	ke place in Louisville?		į.	government had. You will have to ask
2 A. No.			1	them.
-	you have rather had the		-	
-	ke place in Mississippi?			og, I didn't ask you about this I asked your understanding.
• • • • • • •	d much rather have had it		1	A. I have no understanding about
•	San Diego. I don't know, it		I -	that other than it occurred in
	nvenience of the government,		;	Mississippi.
	uled in Mississippi. You have			The state of the s
O to ack the or	vernment why they decided to		٧	O. You have no understanding as to
-				•
10 do it in Miss	• •			whether or not you had any right in
10 doit in Miss 11 Q I am a	sking you, sir, what you		ļι	whether or not you had any right in determining the location of the CID?
10 do it in Miss 11 Q I am a 12 did, if anyth	sking you, sir, what you ing, to have the deposition		↓ L 12	whether or not you had any right in determining the location of the CID? A. I didn't think I had a right,
10 do it in Miss 11 Q I am a 12 did, if anyth 13 scheduled so	sking you, sir, what you ing, to have the deposition imeplace other than Pascagoula,		1 12 13	whether or not you had any right in determining the location of the CED? A. I didn't think I had a right, I'm not sure. I never investigated it.
10 do it in Miss 11 Q I am a 12 did, if anyth 13 scheduled sc 14 Mississippi?	sking you, sir, what you ing, to have the deposition meplace other than Pascagoula,		11 12 13	whether or not you had any right in determining the location of the CID? A. I didn't think I had a right, I'm pot sure. I never investigated it. Q. You never asked anyone about it?
10 do it in Miss 11 Q I am a 12 did, if anyth 13 scheduled sc 14 Mississippi? 15 A Nothir	sking you, sir, what you ing, to have the deposition implace other than Pascagoula, ig.		11 12 13 14	whether or not you had any right in determining the location of the CID? A. I didn't think I had a right, I'm not sure. I never investigated it. Q. You never asked anyone about it? A. No.
10 do it in Miss 11 Q I am a 12 did, if anyth 13 scheduled sc 14 Mississippi? 15 A Nothin 16 Q Did yo	sking you, sir, what you ing, to have the deposition implace other than Pascagoula, ig.		11 12 13 14 15	whether or not you had any right in determining the location of the CID? A. I didn't think I had a right, I'm not sure. I never investigated it. Q. You never asked anyone about it? A. No. Q. You never asked Frank Doheny,
10 do it in Miss 11 Q I am a 12 did, if anyth 13 scheduled sc 14 Mississippi? 15 A Nothin 16 Q Did yo 17 with your at	sking you, sir, what you ing, to have the deposition implace other than Pascagoula, ig.		11 12 13 14 15 16	whether or not you had any right in determining the location of the CID? A. I didn't think I had a right, I'm not sure. I never investigated it. Q. You never asked anyone about it? A. No. Q. You never asked Frank Doheny, of or example, about it?
10 do it in Miss 11 Q I am a 12 did, if anyth 13 scheduled sc 14 Mississippi? 15 A Nothin 16 Q Did yo 17 with your at 18 the right	sking you, sir, what you ing, to have the deposition implace other than Pascagoula, ig.		11 12 13 14 15 16 17	whether or not you had any right in determining the location of the CID? A. I didn't think I had a right, I'm not sure. I never investigated it. Q. You never asked anyone about it? A. No. Q. You never asked Frank Doheny, for example, about it? A. No.
10 do it in Miss 11 Q I am a 12 did, if anyth 13 scheduled sc 14 Mississippi? 15 A Nothin 16 Q Did yo 17 with your at 18 the right 19 A. No.	sking you, sir, what you ing, to have the deposition immeplace other than Pascagoula, ig. ou have any discussions torneys as to whether you had		11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	whether or not you had any right in determining the location of the CID? A. I didn't think I had a right, I'm not sure. I never investigated it. Q. You never asked anyone about it? A. No. Q. You never asked Frank Doheny, for example, about it? A. No. Q. Did you talk to Frank Doheny
10 do it in Miss 11 Q I am a 12 did, if anyth 13 scheduled sc 14 Mississippi? 15 A Nothin 16 Q Did yo 17 with your at 18 the right 19 A. No.	sking you, sir, what you ing, to have the deposition implace other than Pascagoula, ig.		11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	whether or not you had any right in determining the location of the CID? A. I didn't think I had a right, I'm not sure. I never investigated it. Q. You never asked anyone about it? A. No. Q. You never asked Frank Doheny, of for example, about it? A. No. Q. Did you talk to Frank Doheny about the CID at any time?
10 do it in Miss 11 Q I am a 12 did, if anyth 13 scheduled sc 14 Mississippi? 15 A Nothin 16 Q Did yo 17 with your at 18 the right 19 A. No. 20 Q to m	sking you, sir, what you ing, to have the deposition implace other than Pascagoula, ig. ou have any discussions torneys as to whether you had equest the deposition be		11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	whether or not you had any right in determining the location of the CID? A. I didn't think I had a right, I'm not sure. I never investigated it. Q. You never asked anyone about it? A. No. Q. You never asked Frank Doheny, for example, about it? A. No. Q. Did you talk to Frank Doheny about the CID at any time? A. I can't recall but I may have.
10 do it in Miss 11 Q I am a 12 did, if anyth 13 scheduled sc 14 Mississippi? 15 A Nothin 16 Q Did yo 17 with your at 18 the right 19 A. No. 20 Q to re 21 scheduled in	sking you, sir, what you ing, to have the deposition implace other than Pascagoula, ig. ou have any discussions torneys as to whether you had equest the deposition be		11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	whether or not you had any right in determining the location of the CID? A. I didn't think I had a right, I'm not sure. I never investigated it. Q. You never asked anyone about it? A. No. Q. You never asked Frank Doheny, of for example, about it? A. No. Q. Did you talk to Frank Doheny about the CID at any time?
10 do it in Miss 11 Q I am a 12 did, if anyth 13 scheduled sc 14 Mississippi? 15 A Nothin 16 Q Did yo 17 with your at 18 the right 19 A. No. 20 Q to m 21 scheduled in 22 A. Not th	sking you, sir, what you ing, to have the deposition implace other than Pascagoula, ing. but have any discussions torneys as to whether you had equest the deposition be a Louisville?		11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	whether or not you had any right in determining the location of the CID? A. I didn't think I had a right, I'm not sure. I never investigated it. Q. You never asked anyone about it? A. No. Q. You never asked Frank Doheny, for example, about it? A. No. Q. Did you talk to Frank Doheny about the CID at any time? A. I can't recall but I may have.

562630700

CURTIN, SCHNEIDER & LAWREY

Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand

Multi-Page Wovember 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

Page	2645 Page 264
it with him. I'm not sure of the exact	1 present and another attorney from the U.S.
conversations between my attorneys and Mr.	2 Government was there and I cannot remember
Doheny.	3 his name.
Q. Did - the meeting with Mr.	4 O. Was there any discussion
Reed, did that take place before the CID?	5 about at that time other than hello I'm
A. Did the meeting with Mr. Reed	6 Mr. Reed and we are going to take a
occur before the CID? I don't know what	7 deposition?
8 that means.	B A. No.
Q. Well, which part do you not	9 Q. Okay.
understand?	10 A. I was there. I was responding
A. Did I meet with Mr. Reed before	11 to a federal request.
the CID? What does that specifically	12 O. I understand. You had no
	13 discussions other than that by telephone,
3 mcan?	14 by writing letters, or anything else with
Q. It means, sir, did you meet with	15 respect to the scheduling of the
5 Mr. Reed before the CID?	16 deposition in Mississippi?
6 A. Yes.	17 A. No.
7 Q. Okay, When?	
8 A. Two minutes before the	, ,
9 initiation of it.	19 mean the CID.
O Q. Okay. And what occurred at that	20 A. Yes, that's what I assume you
1 meeting?	21 mean this time.
2 A. I'm John Reed, pleased to meet	22 Q. Okay. Now, sir, in the subpoena
3 you.	23 that was served on you that we have marked
4 Q Okay, Anything else?	24 as Exhibit 84, there was attached thereto
Page	: 2646 Page 26
i A. And he informed me of the	t a request for documents.
2 purpose he was here and the CID began.	2 A. Um-hum.
3 And he also introduced another	3 Q. Who was your attorney at the
4 Q. So when you testified earlier	4 time that you got this request for
5 that your understanding that the CID was	5 documents again?
6 scheduled at the convenience of Mr. Reed,	6 A. Well, it's dated after
7 was that based upon your meeting with Mr.	7 Q. It's dated it's November
8 Reed or not?	8 16, 1995.
9 A. I didn't say that.	9 A. Are you finished? I was going
10 Q. Weil, the record will speak for	10 to respond to you. I said if it's dated
itself.	11 after October 30th my attorney was Mr.
12 A. I did not say —	12 Scruggs, as well as Mr. Margolin.
13 Q. Did you have any discussions	13 Q Okay. Was Mr. Scruggs your
14 with Mr. Reed or anyone from the	14 attorney with respect to responding to the
15 government or the attorneys representing	15 subpoena duces tecum for deposition served
16 the government prior to the CID	16 on you on November 16th, 1995?
17 deposition?	17 A. No.
	18 Q. Who, if anyone, was your
18 A. Shortly before the initiation of	19 attorney with respect to responding to
19 the CID.	20 this subpoena?
20 Q And that was —	Y
21 A In Pascagoula, Mississippi.	= : :: • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
22 Q Okay, Was that with Mr. Reed	22 Q. Okay. Did you understand that
77 alama?	23 you were requested to bring certain
23 alone?	24 documents to the deposition?

CURTIN, SCHNEIDER & LAWREY

Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand VOLUME 15

Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

	Page 2649 Page 2	65
1 A. Yes.	1 Q. You didn't look at it?	
2 Q. Did you bring certain documents	2 A. I looked at it. You asked me	
3 to the deposition of Moore?	3 did I go over it personally. Yes, I went	
4 A. No.	4 over it personally.	
5 Q. Why not?	5 Q. So the answer is yes did go over	
6 A. I didn't have any documents to	6 it?	
7 bring.	7 A. I went over it, yes.	
8 Q. You had no documents to bring	8 Q. And you did read the document	
9 that were responsive to this subpoena.	9 request, correct?	
10 A. I think I may have already	10 A. Yes.	
provided to Mr. Scruggs in early November	H Q. And you did understand that you	
12 my files on that I had.	12 were supposed to bring documents	
13 Q. Okay. So you provided your	13 evidencing or concerning the following to	
	14 the deposition of Moore, correct?	
14 files to Mr. Scruggs yesterday	15 A. Yes.	
is just bear with me for a minute, Mr.		
16 Wigand.	16 Q. And did you do that, sir?	
Yesterday you testified that you	177 177 12.11	
18 gave your files to Mr. Scruggs in December	18 Q. Did you have any reason not to	
19 of 1995.	19 do that?	
20 A. I think I may have said November	20 A. I just didn't bring any	
21 or December.	21 documents.	
22 Q Okay. Now	22 Q. Why?	
23 MS. WERTHEIMER: I think he	23 A. I don't know why.	
24 testified it was after the Moore	24 Q. Sir, did you have any	
	Page 2650 Page 2	265
t deposition. Would that are a fair	1 discussions with anyone about the document	
2 characterization?	2 request?	
3 MR. SHEFFLER: That's right.	3 A. No, I did not.	
4 That was my understanding since the Moore	4 Q. You talked to no attorney about	
5 deposition was November 29th, I presumed	5 the document request?	
6 it was either	6 A. Not to my recollection, no.	
7 MS WERTHEIMER: Lunderstand	7 O. You understand that this	
8 Q Let me see if we can clarify	8 document request was served on you to	
9 this. Did you give the files now that	9 produce responsive documents at the	
10 you have seen the subpoena and your	10 deposition. You understood that?	
11 recollection is refreshed, did you give	11 A. Um-hum.	
	12 O. And did you make any attempts to	
12 your files to Mr. Scruggs actually before	13 find documents that were responsive to	
13 the Moore deposition?	14 this request?	
14 A. I believe it was after the		
15 deposition.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
16 Q So you didn't give your files to	16 Q. Why?	
17 Mr. Scruggs before the Moore deposition?	17 A. I just didn't.	
18 A. No, I did not.	is Q. But, sir okay.	
19 Q You are sure of that?	19 A. I just didn't.	
20 A I'm positive of that, yes.	20 Q. All right. Fine. Your	
21 Q So did you go over this document	21 testimony is you willfully disregarded the	
22 request when you were served with the	22 request to produce documents?	
23 subpoena?	23 A. Those are your words, not mine.	
24 A No, not at all.	24 Q. Was there anything any reason	

Page 2649 - Page 2652

CURTIN, SCHNEIDER & LAWREY

Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand VOLUME 15

Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

	Page 2653		Page 2655
ì	why you didn't do so?	1	Q. You hadn't started dictating the
2	A. I can't recall but I did not	2	audiotapes yet?
3	Q. Was there anything that you	3	A. No. I hadn't.
4	didn't understand about the request?	4	Q. You had the computer at this
5	A. I just didn't produce any	5	time? The computer diary?
б	documents.	6	MS. WERTHEIMER: No, that's not
7	Q. Was there anything you didn't	7	the testimony.
8	understand about the request?	8	Q. Well, I'm asking, I don't know.
9	A. No.	9	Every time I ask we get different answers
10	Q. So was there anything other than	10	so I'm not sure which one you want to look
	willful disobedience to explain why you		at. So I'm going to ask it each time?
	didn't produce the documents?	l	A. Pick one on the record.
	A. I didn't produce the documents.	13	
	I don't know that it's considered willful	14	the computer?
	disobedience, I just didn't produce the	15	•
	documents.	16	s the time.
17		1	O. You are not sure?
-	your possession at this time the documents	Ι.	3 A. No.
	that were in box number three from Brown &		Q. This is November 29, 1995. Did
	Williamson?		you look at the time of this request to
20 21			see if you had any diaries?
21 22		1	A. Yes, I had my personal diaries,
	original of 84?	1	yes.
24	-	1	4 O. Did you look to see whether you
	Page 2654	١.	Page 265
1		i	had a videotape?
	but get it back in the stack if you will.		2 A. That was not requested in this.
. 1			Q. Okay. So your interpretation of
	it now.		number 11 was it did not call for your
:	BY MR. SHEFFLER:		s videotape where you read your diaries on a
	Q. Do you number 11 on the document		6 videotape; that wasn't called for when it
1	request?	1	7 said personal diaries?
į	A. Yes.	1	8 A. No, I didn't interpret it at
9	Q. Would you read, please?	1	9 that.
	A. Personal diaries or journals	1	Q. Now, the personal diaries
H	concerning your experience at B & W.		just so I understand what you thought
	2 Q. At the time you received this	12	2 requested. The personal diaries or
ı	request, November 16, 1995, had the	113	journals concerning your experiences at
12			4 B & W, did that in your mind cover your
13	diaries, diaries plural, been stolen from	14	
15 15 14	diaries, diaries plural, been stolen from you?	1	5 personal diaries, your red personal
12 14 14	the state of the s	15	
1; 1; 1; 1;	5 you?	15 16	5 personal diaries, your red personal 6 diaries? 7 A. It said personal diaries or
12 12 13 14 15	5 you? 6 A. No.	15 16	5 personal diaries, your red personal 6 diaries?
12 12 13 14 15 16	5 you? 6 A. No. 7 Q. So you at that time you had at	15 16 13	5 personal diaries, your red personal 6 diaries? 7 A. It said personal diaries or
1: 1: 1: 1: 1:	5 you? 6 A. No. 7 Q. So you at that time you had at 8 least two red diaries; correct? 9 A. Um-hum.	15 16 13 18	5 personal diaries, your red personal 6 diaries? 7 A It said personal diaries or 8 journals; it didn't say videotape.
12 14 15 16 16 17 26	5 you? 6 A. No. 7 Q. So you at that time you had at 8 least two red diaries; correct? 9 A. Um-hum. 0 Q. You had a videotape; correct?	15 16 13 18 19 20	5 personal diaries, your red personal 6 diaries? 7 A. It said personal diaries or 8 journals; it didn't say videotape. 9 Q. Okay, Fine. Did that cover
12 14 15 16 16 17 26 2	5 you? 6 A. No. 7 Q. So you at that time you had at 8 least two red diaries; correct? 9 A. Um-hum. 0 Q. You had a videotape; correct? 1 A. Um-hum.	15 16 18 19 20 21	5 personal diaries, your red personal 6 diaries? 7 A. It said personal diaries or 8 journals; it didn't say videotape. 9 Q. Okay. Fine. Did that cover 0 your red personal diaries? 1 A. Yes.
12 12 13 14 15 16 17 20 20 20	5 you? 6 A. No. 7 Q. So you at that time you had at 8 least two red diaries; correct? 9 A. Um-hum. 0 Q. You had a videotape; correct?	15 16 13 18 19 20 21 22	5 personal diaries, your red personal 6 diaries? 7 A. It said personal diaries or 8 journals; it didn't say videotape. 9 Q. Okay. Fine. Did that cover 0 your red personal diaries? 1 A. Yes.

Page 2653 - Page 2656

CURTIN. SCHNEIDER & LAWREY

B&W) PROTECTED BY MINNESOTA TOBACCO LITIGATION PROTECTIVE ORDER Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand Wolffrey Wigand Wolffrey Wigand Wolffrey Wigand

	Page 2657		Page 265
camera portions of your personal dianes;		1.	A. No.
2 conect?	Ì	.2	Q. At the time this was served on
A. Part of reading from my diaries	1	3	November 16th until the time
but also annotating and giving some		4.	A. No, I was never asked to produce
interpretation to certain events, yes.		5	documents.
6 Q. But that wasn't in your mind	1	6	Q. Let me see, from the time this
7 responsive to number 11?		7	was served on November 16th until the
8 A. I don't think so it was.		8	deposition ended on November 29th you were
9 Q So we have the two personal		9	never asked for those documents?
o diaries that were responsive to number 11?		10	A. No.
1 A. Um-hum.		11	MS. WERTHEIMER: They are nice
2 Q. And the computer diary we don't		12	guys.
3 know whether you had or not at the time;		13	Q. Let me show you, sir if we go
4 right?		14	off the record for a minute, your Honor,
5 A. I think it was still existing at	į		we have to go back to this sealed
6 that time. I didn't look at it. I think		1	deposition.
7 the computer crash was in May of 1995.		17	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the
8 Q. Okay. Now, sir, after looking		18	record, the time is approximately 11:48.
9 at number 11 and knowing that you did		19	THE COURT: Under seal.
o have at least your personal diaries		20	****
were directly responsive to this request.		žι	(At this point, the testimony
2 Can you think of any reason why you did		22	resumes in the Confidential and Sealed
3 not produce them as required under this			volume of the transcript.)
24 deposition notice?		24	
	n . 0450	- -	Page 267
mid the Leville to the	Page 2658	١.	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back
A. Well, the deposition is not		1 2	
2 finished yet. I can produce them still,		_	on the record.
3 couldn't 1?			BY MR. SHEFFLER:
4 Q. No, sir, you are required to	,	1	Q. You did bring three letters to
5 produce them at the deposition which is		!	this deposition; is that correct?
6 scheduled on the 29th.	,	-	A. Did I bring three letters to
7 A. I didn't bring them, I didn't		ì	this deposition?
8 bring any documents with me when I went			Q. Yes.
9 down there.		1 -	A. Which deposition are we talking
10 Q Did you discuss this with Mr.		1	about now? To this deposition means what?
11 Scruggs?		1.	O. Moore,
		11	•
		12	A. Moore, Did I bring three
13 Q. The fact that you had documents		12	A. Moore. Did I bring three letters? I'm not sure what I brought. I
13 Q. The fact that you had documents		12 13 14	A. Moore, Did I bring three letters? I'm not sure what I brought. I would have to refresh my memory. What
13 Q. The fact that you had documents 14 that were responsive and didn't bring to		12 13 14 15	A. Moore. Did I bring three letters? I'm not sure what I brought. I would have to refresh my memory. What three letters did I bring?
12 A. Discuss what with Mr. Scruggs? 13 Q. The fact that you had documents 14 that were responsive and didn't bring to 15 the deposition. 16 A. I'm not sure.		12 13 14 15 16	A. Moore. Did I bring three letters? I'm not sure what I brought. I would have to refresh my memory. What three letters did I bring? Q. Did you bring two letters that
13 Q. The fact that you had documents 14 that were responsive and didn't bring to 15 the deposition.		12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Moore. Did I bring three letters? I'm not sure what I brought. I would have to refresh my memory. What three letters did I bring? Q. Did you bring two letters that were let me just show you. Maybe we
13 Q. The fact that you had documents 14 that were responsive and didn't bring to 15 the deposition. 16 A. I'm not sure.		12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Moore. Did I bring three letters? I'm not sure what I brought. I would have to refresh my memory. What three letters did I bring? Q. Did you bring two letters that were let me just show you. Maybe we should have done this under seal. Let's
13 Q. The fact that you had documents 14 that were responsive and didn't bring to 15 the deposition. 16 A. I'm not sure. 17 Q. You are not sure?		12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Moore. Did I bring three letters? I'm not sure what I brought. I would have to refresh my memory. What three letters did I bring? Q. Did you bring two letters that were let me just show you. Maybe we
13 Q. The fact that you had documents 14 that were responsive and didn't bring to 15 the deposition. 16 A. I'm not sure. 17 Q. You are not sure? 18 A. No. That we discussed it. I 19 was never asked for the documents when I		12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Moore. Did I bring three letters? I'm not sure what I brought. I would have to refresh my memory. What three letters did I bring? Q. Did you bring two letters that were let me just show you. Maybe we should have done this under seal. Let's
13 Q. The fact that you had documents 14 that were responsive and didn't bring to 15 the deposition. 16 A. I'm not sure. 17 Q. You are not sure? 18 A. No. That we discussed it. I		12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Moore. Did I bring three letters? I'm not sure what I brought. I would have to refresh my memory. What three letters did I bring? Q. Did you bring two letters that were let me just show you. Maybe we should have done this under seal. Let's briefly go off the record again, your
13 Q. The fact that you had documents 14 that were responsive and didn't bring to 15 the deposition. 16 A. I'm not sure. 17 Q. You are not sure? 18 A. No. That we discussed it. I 19 was never asked for the documents when I 20 was there. 21 Q. Never?		12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Moore. Did I bring three letters? I'm not sure what I brought. I would have to refresh my memory. What three letters did I bring? Q. Did you bring two letters that were — let me just show you. Maybe we should have done this under seal. Let's briefly go off the record again, your Honor.
13 Q. The fact that you had documents 14 that were responsive and didn't bring to 15 the deposition. 16 A. I'm not sure. 17 Q. You are not sure? 18 A. No. That we discussed it. I 19 was never asked for the documents when I 20 was there. 21 Q. Never?		12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Moore. Did I bring three letters? I'm not sure what I brought. I would have to refresh my memory. What three letters did I bring? Q. Did you bring two letters that were — let me just show you. Maybe we should have done this under seal. Let's briefly go off the record again, your Honor. THE COURT: All right.

CURTIN, SCHNEIDER & LAWREY

Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand VOLUME 15

Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

Page 2675	Ì			Page 268
	1	A.	Created.	
(At this point, the testimony	(Q.	And you claim that you	
resumes in the Confidential and Sealed			rstood that you were supposed to	
volume of the transcript.)		-	uce those diaries, along with other	
5	1		ensive documents, on November 29th in	
5	6	Moo	re?	
,	7	A.	Um-hum.	
i .	Į	Q.	You claim that, right? And you	
•	1 -		know that there was a demand made	
	1	-	you during the Moore deposition for	
1	11	those	diaries; isn't that true?	
2	1	A.	That has been just brought to my	
	13	atten	tion just this minute.	
4	1	Q.	But you sat there when Mr.	
5	i i		nson asked you to produce the	
6	1		ments; did you not?	
7	1	A.	I sat there, yes, but I don't	
В	1		ll it until today.	
9	1	Q.	You heard him say it?	
0	1	A.	Until I saw it again today I did	
1	21	not 1	ecall it.	
2	1	Q.	Now, you recall it did happen,	
3	1	didn		
4	24	Α.	Yes.	
Page 2685				Page 268
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the	1	Q.	You have no quibble with that?	
2 record.	2	A .	It happened.	
3 BY MR. SHEFFLER.	3	Q.	You were there, he asked you for	
4 Q. Let me see if I got it all	4	ít; гі	ght?	
5 straight. You were requested to produce	5	A.	Yes,	
6 diaries pursuant to a subpoena served on	i	Q.	And it's your testumony that you	
7 you by Dicky Scruggs with return service			r responded with the diaries to Mr.	
8 to Dicky Scruggs on November 16th. You			ggs, response to the subpoena, or to	
9 were requested to produce personal diaries	1 -		Bezanson's demand for them. You	
o related to your employment at B & W;	10	dida	't respond with the diaries; did you?	
1 correct?	11)	A.	To whom?	
2 A. That's what the document says,	12	Q	To anyone.	
3 yes.	:	A.	When I went down to the	
4 Q. And you understood that to mean			sissippi I wasn't sure the deposition	
5 your red volume diaries that you claim	15	in th	e Moore case was going to go forward.	
16 were produced or you claim were created	16	Q.	Can you answer my question?	
17 during the time you were at B & W; is that		A.	So I didn't bring any documents	
8 right?	1	with		
19 A. No. I have testified those are		Q.	Can you answer my question?	
20 documents those diaries were produced		Α.	I have not responded, no. I	
21 contemporaneously during my employment at			responded	
22 B & W.		Q.	Isn't it true that the reason	
23 Q Were created contemporaneously.			didn't respond to the subpoena, to the	
24 Not produced but created?	- 24	(P(1)	est, to the demand at the deposition,	

CURTIN, SCHNEIDER & LAWREY

Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand VOLUME 15

Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

		Page 2688			Page 26
	reason you didn't respond is because	!	1	demand in December?	
2 it c	didn't exist?		. 5	A. It's been produced since	
A.	That's a flagrant lie, Mr.		3	December, I'm sorry.	
Sh	effler.		4	MS. WERTHEIMER: The document	
Q.	Isn't it true that they didn't		5	demand in the Kentucky litigation?	
exi	ist at that time?		6	Q. In the Kentucky litigation.	
Α.	No, no and no.		7	A. It has been produced.	
Q	Had you created them by that		8	Q. No. The original diaries you	
tın	ne?		9	claim were destroyed. You claim they were	
) A .	They were created during my		10	destroyed sometime between January and	
ten	nure at Brown & Williamson.		11	March. Why didn't you respond with the	
Q.	Why didn't you produce them			original diaries in December?	
the			13	THE COURT: He is asking you	
Α.				now, even if you didn't think the depo	
	cuments at the time.			would go in the Moore case, once you got	
Q.	Were you not served with a			there, the question was asked they have	
	current request in December -		[read from the record where they made the	
, uo 3	MR ALDOCK: Your Honor, we have		1	demand on you again. Why did you not	
	vered this one several times.			furnish it then after, following the	
			1	deposition?	
) . T'-	MR. SHEFFLER: December. No,		21	MR. ALDOCK: I object, your	
	m going to ask it again. I didn't ask		l	Honor, because counsel knows that there	
	m about this.				
3 .	MR. ALDOCK: Why do you get to			was order of the Federal Court that	
4 2 5i	k it again?		24	stopped the discovery in this matter up to	
		Page 2689			Page 26
i	THE COURT: It hasn't been		1	that time.	
2 an	swered.		2	MR SHEFFLER: Not in December.	
3	MR. ALDOCK: He didn't produce		3	Not in December.	
4 it.	He says he didn't produce it.		4	MR. ALDOCK: December 17th.	
5	THE COURT: I know. That's not		5	MR. SHEFFLER: He had he	
6 an	answer. He says, why didn't you		6	testified in Moore on the 29th. He left	
	oduce it. He says, I didn't produce it.		7	Moore on the 29th, was back in Kentucky.	
	hat's not an answer.		B	He could have responded to the deposition	
9	MR. ALDOCK. The question			demand. He was obligated to.	
•	ending was did you produce it.		10		
0 pc.	THE COURT: If I heard it right,		1	this case didn't affect anything about his	
	hy didn't you produce it. He says I			obligations to produce pursuant to a	
	dn't produce it. Really, he doesn't say		:	demand that was made on him prior to Moor	re
	e didn't produce it. He says, I didn't		:	and continued at the Moore deposition.	
	ke any documents with me. That is not		15		
				interpretation of it.	
	n answer as to why didn't you do it. The		17		
•	uestion on the table is why didn't you		1	order? December the 19th did you say?	
•	roduce it.		1		
9	THE WITNESS: I have no logical		19		
	ason other than I wasn't sure the Moore		į	believe.	
i de	eposition was going forward.		21		
2 B	Y MR SHEFFLER:		22	question is why did you not furnish it	L .
9 C	Why didn't you produce the			between November the 29th and December t	ne
u y				17th? "They" being the diaries.	

CURTIN, SCHNEIDER & LAWREY

562630706

Page 2688 - Page 2691

Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand VOLUME 15

Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

Page 2692		Page 2694
MS. WERTHEIMER: Pardon me. But		Q. We were talking about this
2 Mr. Bezanson's request is not only for		period after the deposition and before
3 diaries.	3	December 17th.
4 MR. SHEFFLER: That's right.	4	A. Um-hum.
5 He requested all the documents,	5	Q. Okay. I'm listening. Anybody
6 MS. WERTHEIMER: He requested	6	else?
7 all the documents. All the documents	7	A. Not that I can think of.
8 MR. SHEFFLER: That are		Q. All those people told you not to
9 responsive.	9	produce the documents?
0 THE COURT: I think what Mr.	10	A. Not necessarily the the
1 Sheffler is asking about specifically is	11	diaries were not specific.
2 diaries.	12	Q. Who specifically told you,
3 MS. WERTHEIMER: Particularly	13	besides Scruggs, not to produce the
4 the diaries. But my point only here is	14	diarres?
5 the focus has been on the diaries. The	15	MR. ALDOCK: Again, you are
6 question Mr. Bezanson asked is we made a	16	talking about all the documents. You are
17 demand for all the documents.	17	focusing on the diaries.
8 MR. SHEFFLER: One through 11.	18	MR SHEFFLER: Can I ask the
9 MS, WERTHEIMER: Right.	19	question, your Honor?
MR. SHEFFLER: 1 m going back	20	THE COURT: You may go ahead.
21 to the diary. I'm just pursuing the	21	MR. SHEFFLER: I'm asking about
22 diaries at this point. There may not be	22	the diaries. That's all I am asking about
23 any documents responsive to one through	23	at this point. I may ask about other
24 10. I don't know. I doubt if there are	24	things at another point. Can we just
Page 2693		Page 269:
1 but certainly for 11, the diaries, it was	1	proceed with the diaries?
2 responsive. Why didn't he give them to	2	·
3 us?	3	the total production.
4 The "why" is the question. It's	ı	BY MR. SHEFFLER:
5 not really	1	Q. Sir, my question to you is the
6 THE WITNESS: No specific		diaries. All right?
7 answer. I don't know whether I can answer		A. I didn't produce them.
8 you.	1	Besides Scruggs who else told
9 BY MR. SHEFFLER:	1	you not to produce the diaries?
10 Q. You said Scruggs told you you	1	A. I believe Mr. Doheny and Mr.
11 didn't have to?	1	Thompson may have been involved in that.
12 A I think we were in the process		Q. Okay. When did they tell you
14 A 1 Hank we were that the Director		that?
•	:11	
13 of litigation and things were going to go	1	
13 of litigation and things were going to go 14 through the channels and they were going	14	A. I'm not clear on it. Sometime
13 of litigation and things were going to go 14 through the channels and they were going 15 to be produced.	14 25	A. I'm not clear on it. Sometime in December.
13 of litigation and things were going to go 14 through the channels and they were going 15 to be produced. 16 Q Scruggs tell you you didn't have	14 15 16	I'm not clear on it. Sometime in December. Q. Okay. Were you acting upon the
13 of litigation and things were going to go 14 through the channels and they were going 15 to be produced. 16 Q Scruggs tell you you didn't have 17 to produce this document?	14 25 16	A. I'm not clear on it. Sometime in December. Q. Okay. Were you acting upon the advice of Mr. Doheny and Mr. Thompson to
13 of litigation and things were going to go 14 through the channels and they were going 15 to be produced. 16 Q Scruggs tell you you didn't have 17 to produce this document? 18 A. I believe that I also got that	14 25 16 17 18	A. I'm not clear on it. Sometime in December. Q. Okay. Were you acting upon the advice of Mr. Doheny and Mr. Thompson to not produce the diaries?
13 of litigation and things were going to go 14 through the channels and they were going 15 to be produced. 16 Q Scruggs tell you you didn't have 17 to produce this document? 18 A. I believe that I also got that 19 advice from Mr. Doheny and Mr. Thompson in	14 25 16 17 18	A. I'm not clear on it. Sometime in December. Q. Okay. Were you acting upon the advice of Mr. Doheny and Mr. Thompson to not produce the diaries? A. They were going to make a
13 of litigation and things were going to go 14 through the channels and they were going 15 to be produced. 16 Q Scruggs tell you you didn't have 17 to produce this document? 18 A. I believe that I also got that 19 advice from Mr. Doheny and Mr. Thompson in 20 terms of producing documents that were	14 25 16 17 18 19	A. I'm not clear on it. Sometime in December. Q. Okay. Were you acting upon the advice of Mr. Doheny and Mr. Thompson to not produce the diaries? A. They were going to make a production.
13 of litigation and things were going to go 14 through the channels and they were going 15 to be produced. 16 Q Scruggs tell you you didn't have 17 to produce this document? 18 A. I believe that I also got that 19 advice from Mr. Doheny and Mr. Thompson in 20 terms of producing documents that were 21 going to they were going to produce the	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I'm not clear on it. Sometime in December. Q. Okay. Were you acting upon the advice of Mr. Doheny and Mr. Thompson to not produce the diaries? A. They were going to make a production. Q. Were you acting upon the advice
13 of litigation and things were going to go 14 through the channels and they were going 15 to be produced. 16 Q Scruggs tell you you didn't have 17 to produce this document? 18 A. I believe that I also got that 19 advice from Mr. Doheny and Mr. Thompson in 20 terms of producing documents that were 21 going to they were going to produce the 22 documents as it goes on. I was also	14 25 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I'm not clear on it. Sometime in December. Q. Okay. Were you acting upon the advice of Mr. Doheny and Mr. Thompson to not produce the diaries? A. They were going to make a production. Q. Were you acting upon the advice of Mr. Doheny and Mr. Thompson to not
13 of litigation and things were going to go 14 through the channels and they were going 15 to be produced. 16 Q Scruggs tell you you didn't have 17 to produce this document? 18 A. I believe that I also got that 19 advice from Mr. Doheny and Mr. Thompson in 20 terms of producing documents that were 21 going to they were going to produce the	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I'm not clear on it. Sometime in December. Q. Okay. Were you acting upon the advice of Mr. Doheny and Mr. Thompson to not produce the diaries? A. They were going to make a production. Q. Were you acting upon the advice

CURTIN, SCHNEIDER & LAWREY

B&W) PROTECTED BY MINNESOTA TOBACCO LITIGATION PROTECTIVE ORDER Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand WOLUME 15 Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

Page 7	
complete set of documents. The diaries	I subpoens with Moore in Moore with
were part of the documents.	.2 either Doheny or Thompson? Ever?
3 Q. Sir, Mr. Doheny and Mr. Thompson	3 A. I believe that Thompson and
never represented you in the Moore case,	4 Doheny were in direct communication with
5 did they?	5 Scruggs in this matter.
6 A. I don't think so.	6 Q. On this matter, being Moore?
7 Q. We are talking about the	7 A. I believe in terms of document
8 deposition demand made upon you in the	8 production.
9 Moore case, and the demand the	9 Q. In Moore?
o deposition demands made upon you in the	10 A. In terms of document production,
1 Moore case for the diaries. Did you	11 Q. Sir, you have got to answer my
2 MR ALDOCK: You were asking him	12 question. Please, how can I
3 directly about Kentucky. Now you switched	13 THE COURT: His question was
4 back and you are entitled to do that.	14 whether you ever went over the list of the
5 You were asking him about the deposition	15 documents with either Mr. Doheny or Mr.
6 in Kentucky.	16 Thompson.
7 MR. SHEFFLER: I'm clarifying	17 THE WITNESS: I don't believe
8 my question,	18 so. In relation to Moore.
9 MR. ALDOCK: You are changing	19 Q. All right. Now, besides Scruggs
0 it. If the witness gets confused when	20 did anybody tell you you didn't have to
t you do that. I get confused when you do	21 produce the diaries in Moore?
2 that.	22 A I wasn't asked for the documents
3 MR. SHEFFLER: 1 m going to	23 at the time of the deposition. 1 didn't
4 clarify the record for the witness so	24 have documents with me. I wasn't asked.
	
Page 2	
there is no confusion.	MR. SHEFFLER: Do we have to go
2 Q. There were demands made upon you	2 off the record under seal again? I mean,
3 for the diaries in the Moore case, both	3 his testimony
4 through deposition subpoena duces tecums,	4 THE COURT: Counsel, can you
5 and through requests at the deposition	5 all
.6 itself?	6 MS. WERTHEIMER: Can I just show
7 A. Um-hmm.	7 him the request?
8 Q. Now, you said that Scruggs told	8 THE COURT: We have been through
9 you you didn't have to produce it. You	9 that.
o said that Doheny told you you didn't have	10 THE WITNESS: I'm getting a
1 to produce it. And you told us that	11 little tired, your Honor, I'm sorry.
2 Thompson told you you didn't have to	12 MS. WERTHEIMER: I have shown
3 produce it; is that right?	13 you this on my page 5. I can show you
4 A. We were going to produce it at a	14 what I am showing him.
5 time when all the records could be put	15 Q. I believe you have got the right
16 together. I don't think the diaries were	16 thing.
17 selected out in the production request,	17 MS. WERTHEIMER: You testified
18 were they? Did he say, I just want the	18 you weren't asked for the documents in
19 diary? No. He had a whole list of one	19 Moore. Now, does this refresh your
20 through 11. They were going to be	20 recollection?
2) produced.	21 THE WITNESS: Yes, I was asked
22 Q Sir and I'm going to ask this	22 by Mr. Bezanson, yes.
23 question very carefully. Did you ever go	23 BY MR. SHEFFLER:

Page 2696 - Page 2699

Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand VOLUME 15

Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depe of Jeffrey Wigand

Page 270	_
1 for not producing the diaries with anybody	! Q. When would that discussion,
2 besides Scruggs?	2 may-have discussion taken place?
3 A. Not to my recollection, no.	3 A. Sometime in December.
4 Q. Okay.	4 Q. Okay, Okay, You met with Mr.
5 THE COURT: Have we established	5 Motley on three occasions, two days or
6 when you got advice from Mr. Scruggs	6 three days each, to go over certain
7 subsequent to November the 29th?	7 documents; is that right? In part I
8 THE WITNESS: I think it was	8 mean, I know he flew you down because you
9 after the deposition.	9 are good buddies but in part you were
o THE COURT: Well, was it after	10 going over documents at some point; right?
December the 17th?	II A Yes.
THE WITNESS: I can't recall,	12 O. You testified yesterday that
1 your Honor, specifically.	13 those were R.J. Reynolds documents, right?
4 BY MR. SHEFFLER:	14 MR. ALDOCK: Actually, he
5 Q. All right. When you gave all of	15 didn't, I did.
6 your documents to Scruggs, in December,	16 O. R.J.R. documents, I think he
7 you said you gave him all your files from	17 said.
8 Brown & Williamson. Why didn't you give	18 A. One was an R.J.R. document, the
9 him the diaries?	19 other was a Philip Morris document.
1111 - 1111 - 1	20 Q. There were just two documents?
-	21 A. Two sets of documents.
11 Q. Because your testimony - as 1	22 O. Two sets of documents, okay.
22 understand your testimony, sir, you had	· •
23 the diaries up until January, right, of	23 Now, did you talk about the two sets of
24 1996?	24 documents at more than one meeting?
Page 270	Page 270
1 A. Um-hum.	I A. No.
2 Q. And you gave all your documents	2 Q. So let me ask you this, sir:
3 to Scruggs in 1995, right?	3 Did you talk about the R. J. Reynolds
4 A. Um-hum,	4 documents, R.J.R. documents, with Mr.
5 Q. Why didn't you give him the	5 Motley with respect to the Moore case?
6 diaries?	6 A. No.
7 A No specific reason.	7 Q. What documents did you review?
8 Q Did you have a discussion with	8 A. In specific?
9 Scruggs about not giving him the diaries?	9 Q. Yes.
10 Mr. Scruggs.	10 A. In respect to what?
11 A. Not that I can recall.	11 Q. What documents of R.J.R. did you
12 Q. Did you have a discussion with	12 review?
13 any attorneys in the Moore case about not	13 A. I reviewed specifically a report
14 producing the diaries	14 by a scientist called Brubaker.
15 A. No.	15 Q. Anything else?
16 Q. ~ except Scrueges?	16 A. That's all.
17 A. Scruggs wasn't my he wears	17 O. So how many R.J.R. documents did
	18 you review?
-	
18 two hats. I can't comment to what he does	In a It was a remort that was
18 two hats. I can't comment to what he does 19 in the Moore case.	19 A. It was a report that was
18 two hats. I can't comment to what he does 19 in the Moore case. 20 Q. Okay. Did you discuss with	20 probably some 80 to 90 pages long.
18 two hats. I can't comment to what he does 19 in the Moore case. 20 Q. Okay. Did you discuss with 21 Margolin, Mr. Margolin, about producing	20 probably some 80 to 90 pages long. 21 Q. And that's it?
18 two hats. I can't comment to what he does 19 in the Moore case. 20 Q. Okay. Did you discuss with 21 Margolin, Mr. Margolin, about producing 22 the diaries?	20 probably some 80 to 90 pages long. 21 Q. And that's it? 22 A. Um-hum.
18 two hats. I can't comment to what he does 19 in the Moore case. 20 Q. Okay. Did you discuss with 21 Margolin, Mr. Margolin, about producing	20 probably some 80 to 90 pages long. 21 Q. And that's it?

Page 2700 - Page 2703

CURTIN, SCHNEIDER & LAWREY

Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand

Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

Page 2	
A. He wanted my comments on it and	i A. In the context of discussing
2 I provided my comments to him.	2 with Wilmer, Cutler and Pickering?
Q. Did you provide him any written	3 Q. No. I'm talking about anyone
comments?	4 else other than Wilmer, Cutler and
5 A. Verbally.	5 Pickering.
6 Q. Okay, Again, can you give us an	6 A. No.
7 idea when this took place?	7 Q. You have never discussed any
8 A. The R.J.R. documents? About	8 R.J.R. documents with anyone other than
9 three weeks ago, two weeks ago.	9 Motley, and that was one long document,
0 Q. Well, when you say R.J.R.	10 and Wilmer, Cutler and Pickering?
1 documents, was it one document?	11 A. And those are totally different
2 A. One large document.	12 documents at Wilmer, Cutler.
3 Q. Okay. And did you discuss with	13 Q. No one else have you ever
4 Mr. Motley anything with respect to	14 discussed R.J.R. documents after you have
5 further document review of R.J.R.	15 been fired?
6 documents?	16 A. Not to my recollection.
7 A. At that time, no.	17 Q. Okay. Let's talk about the
8 Q At any time.	18 Philip Morris document for a second. You
9 A No.	19 discussed the Philip Morris document
20 Q. So he asked you to come down and	20 with - or documents. Were there more
2) review one document of many pages?	21 than one document that you reviewed for
22 A. One large document.	22 Mr. Motley?
	23 A. There were a series of documents
23 Q Of R.J.R., and that was the only 24 time you reviewed any R.J.R. documents	24 that were produced in transom documents.
	7.2
Page 2	
I A. Yes.	Q. Okay. You had access to those?
2 Q for Mr. Motley?	2 A. I saw those documents at
3 A. On R.J.R., yes.	3 Mr. Motley's office.
4 Q Have you reviewed any R.J.R.	4 Q. Did you have to sign anything to
5 documents for anyone else after you have	5 see those documents?
6 been terminated from B & W?	6 A. I'm not sure whether I signed it
7 A. Yes.	7 relative
ß Q Who?	8 Q. This was a few weeks ago?
9 A. In connection with Wilmer,	9 A. No, this was way back.
9 A. In connection with Wilmer,	
10 Cutler and Pickering. And that's covered	10 Q Way back meaning when?
10 Cutler and Pickering. And that's covered	10 Q Way back meaning when? 11 A. Early 1996, mid early 1996.
O Cutler and Pickering. And that's covered by a court order.	10 Q Way back meaning when? 11 A. Early 1996, mid early 1996. 12 Q. Early to mid-1996. That's what
10 Cutler and Pickering. And that's covered 11 by a court order.	10 Q Way back meaning when? 11 A. Early 1996, mid early 1996. 12 Q. Early to mid-1996. That's what 13 you testified yesterday, it was early to
10 Cutler and Pickering. And that's covered 11 by a court order. 12 MS. WERTHEIMER: Can I just have	10 Q Way back meaning when? 11 A. Early 1996, mid early 1996. 12 Q. Early to mid-1996. That's what 13 you testified yesterday, it was early to 14 mid-1996.
10 Cutler and Pickering. And that's covered 11 by a court order. 12 MS. WERTHEIMER: Can I just have 13 one moment? 14 MR. SHEFFLER: You sure can.	10 Q Way back meaning when? 11 A. Early 1996, mid early 1996. 12 Q. Early to mid-1996. That's what 13 you testified yesterday, it was early to 14 mid-1996. 15 A. I'm not exactly sure.
10 Cutler and Pickering. And that's covered 11 by a court order. 12 MS. WERTHEIMER: Can I just have 13 one moment? 14 MR. SHEFFLER: You sure can.	10 Q Way back meaning when? 11 A. Early 1996, mid early 1996. 12 Q. Early to mid-1996. That's what 13 you testified yesterday, it was early to 14 mid-1996. 15 A. I'm not exactly sure. 16 Q. Way back to early to mid-1996
10 Cutler and Pickering. And that's covered 11 by a court order. 12 MS. WERTHEIMER: Can I just have 13 one moment? 14 MR. SHEFFLER: You sure can. 15 (Mr. Wigand confers with counsel	10 Q Way back meaning when? 11 A. Early 1996, mid early 1996. 12 Q. Early to mid-1996. That's what 13 you testified yesterday, it was early to 14 mid-1996. 15 A. I'm not exactly sure. 16 Q. Way back to early to mid-1996 17 you can't recall signing a confidentiality
10 Cutler and Pickering. And that's covered 11 by a court order. 12 MS. WERTHEIMER: Can I just have 13 one moment? 14 MR. SHEFFLER: You sure can. 15 (Mr. Wigand confers with counsel 16 off the record.) 17 Q. Anyone else, sir?	10 Q Way back meaning when? 11 A. Early 1996, mid early 1996. 12 Q. Early to mid-1996. That's what 13 you testified yesterday, it was early to 14 mid-1996. 15 A. I'm not exactly sure. 16 Q. Way back to early to mid-1996 17 you can't recall signing a confidentiality 18 order or agreement with respect to review
10 Cutler and Pickering. And that's covered 11 by a court order. 12 MS. WERTHEIMER: Can I just have 13 one moment? 14 MR. SHEFFLER: You sure can. 15 (Mr. Wigand confers with counsel 16 off the record.) 17 Q. Anyone else, sir? 18 A. Anyone else? You will have to	10 Q Way back meaning when? 11 A. Early 1996, mid early 1996. 12 Q. Early to mid-1996. That's what 13 you testified yesterday, it was early to 14 mid-1996. 15 A. I'm not exactly sure. 16 Q. Way back to early to mid-1996 17 you can't recall signing a confidentiality
10 Cutler and Pickering. And that's covered 11 by a court order. 12 MS. WERTHEIMER: Can I just have 13 one moment? 14 MR. SHEFFLER: You sure can. 15 (Mr. Wigand confers with counsel 16 off the record.) 17 Q. Anyone else, sir? 18 A. Anyone else? You will have to 19 repeat the question.	10 Q Way back meaning when? 11 A. Early 1996, mid early 1996. 12 Q. Early to mid-1996. That's what 13 you testified yesterday, it was early to 14 mid-1996. 15 A. I'm not exactly sure. 16 Q. Way back to early to mid-1996 17 you can't recall signing a confidentiality 18 order or agreement with respect to review
10 Cutler and Pickering. And that's covered 11 by a court order. 12 MS. WERTHEIMER: Can I just have 13 one moment? 14 MR. SHEFFLER: You sure can. 15 (Mr. Wigand confers with counsel 16 off the record.) 17 Q. Anyone else, sir? 18 A. Anyone else? You will have to 19 repeat the question. 20 Q. Did you discuss R.J.R. R.	10 Q Way back meaning when? 11 A. Early 1996, mid early 1996. 12 Q. Early to mid-1996. That's what 13 you testified yesterday, it was early to 14 mid-1996. 15 A. I'm not exactly sure. 16 Q. Way back to early to mid-1996 17 you can't recall signing a confidentiality 18 order or agreement with respect to review 19 of these documents?
10 Cutler and Pickering. And that's covered 11 by a court order. 12 MS. WERTHEIMER: Can I just have 13 one moment? 14 MR. SHEFFLER: You sure can. 15 (Mr. Wigand confers with counsel 16 off the record.) 17 Q. Anyone else, sir? 18 A. Anyone else? You will have to 19 repeat the question. 20 Q Did you discuss R.J.R. R 21 documents with anyone else?	10 Q Way back meaning when? 11 A. Early 1996, mid early 1996. 12 Q. Early to mid-1996. That's what 13 you testified yesterday, it was early to 14 mid-1996. 15 A. I'm not exactly sure. 16 Q. Way back to early to mid-1996 17 you can't recall signing a confidentiality 18 order or agreement with respect to review 19 of these documents? 20 A. I don't believe I did, no, to
10 Cutler and Pickering. And that's covered 11 by a court order. 12 MS. WERTHEIMER: Can I just have 13 one moment? 14 MR. SHEFFLER: You sure can. 15 (Mr. Wigand confers with counsel 16 off the record.) 17 Q. Anyone else, sir? 18 A. Anyone else? You will have to 19 repeat the question. 20 Q. Did you discuss R.J.R. R.	10 Q Way back meaning when? 11 A. Early 1996, mid — early 1996. 12 Q. Early to mid-1996. That's what 13 you testified yesterday, it was early to 14 mid-1996. 15 A. I'm not exactly sure. 16 Q. Way back to early to mid-1996 17 you can't recall signing a confidentiality 18 order or agreement with respect to review 19 of these documents? 20 A. I don't believe I did, no, to 21 those documents.

Page 2704 - Page 2707

BAW) PROTECTED BY MINNESOTA TOBACCO LITIGATION PROTECTIVE ORDER Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand Wulti-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand VOLUME 15

	Page 2708			Page 27
1 Q. These confidentiality agreements		1	Ą	Not that I believe, no.
2 must be pretty significant matters		.2	Q.	Okay. So you spent that's
3 strike that,		3	eight	to 10 hours reviewing documents of
Sit, what was the extent of the			_	companies for Mr. Motley in these
documents you reviewed or the Philip	Ì	5	SEVER	days that you spent down in
6 Morris documents, how many were there?				eston. What did you review or talk
7 A. Oh, 10, 15 documents.	1			or discuss or consult with in the
8 Q Okay. And did you give your				f the seven days?
9 comments to Mr. Motley with respect to		9		Personal matters.
0 them?		10		Personal matters.
1 A. I verbally gave Mr. Motley those		11	-	Um-hum.
2 comments.		12		With respect to what?
3 Q. Were there any written	ļ	13		Personal matters, matters
4 communications you had with Motley, Mr.				between me and my attorney.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				•
5 Motley, with respect to them? 6 A. No.		15 16	-	Mr. Motley does not represent n this action, correct?
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		10 17		No, Mr. Motley represents me as
	1			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
8 wanted you to review them?				ersonal attorney, though.
9 A. Not specifically.		19	•	In matters regarding tobacco?
0 Q. He just said, here, look at		20		In matters regarding tobacco and
these, tell me what you think?		-		ell as other matters.
2 A He asked me to look at the		22	•	Okay. Well, let's just stick
3 documents and give him some technical				the matters regarding tobacco. Are
24 using my general technical knowledge and		24	any o	of those maners pending matters?
	Page 2709			Page 27
1 background to give him my scientific		1	۸.	They may be or may not be.
2 opinion of what the documents meant.		2	Q.	They may be or may not be. To
3 Q What did the documents deal	1	3	your	knowledge are any pending? Do you
4 with?		4	know	what I mean by "pending"?
5 A Philip Morris's reconstituted	į	5	A.	Yes. Why don't you explain it
6 tobacco process.	1	6	to me	·?
7 Q And so you were able to give him		7	Q.	Well, what I mean is there any
8 your views about the reconstituted process			•	ing case?
9 of Philip Morris based upon your review of			A.	If you take a much better action
10 those documents and your knowledge about	[me you may get a much more responsive
the reconstitution process of tobacco?			answ	
12 A. My general knowledge, yes.		12		If I take a much better what?
13 Q How much money were you paid for			-	Action with me.
14 these consultations?	ĺ	14	А.	MR. ALDOCK: No, no. No
	1	-	diam	ssions. Go ahead.
15 A. Nothing.		16		Your question?
16 Q So you spent how much time	l.	17	-	It's the same one I have asked
17 looking at these Philip Morris documents?			_	
18 A. Three, four, maybe five hours.	1		-	hree times. Are there any ongoing
19 Q Okay. So how much time did you			matte	
20 spend reviewing the document by R. J.		20		Yes, there are.
21 Reynolds?		21	_	What are they?
22 A Four or five hours.		22	A.	I can't discuss them with you
23 Q Was there any confidentiality	i I	23	Q.	What's the names of them?
24 agreement with respect to that document?		24] can't discuss it.

Page 2708 - Page 2711

Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand VOLUME 15

Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

P	'age 2712 Page 271
Q. What's the name of them?	1 knowledge?
A. What's the name of what?	₽ A. No.
Q The ongoing matters.	3 Q. Okay. Now, have you given us
A. The ongoing legal matters that I	4 all the let me ask you this; you
am considering at this time.	5 consulted with Stephen Fine at one point.
MR. SHEFFLER: Your Honor, 1	6 A. Yes.
think I am entitled to the names of them.	7 Q. When did that consultation begin
MS. WERTHEIMER: I'm sorry.	8 and end?
Just for I don't mean to muddy your	9 A. Sometime in late 1993. I'm
record. I think the disconnect may be,	10 sотту, '94.
are you asking him is there pending	11 Q. Okay.
litigation with Motley?	12 A. Mid 194.
MR. SHEFFLER: That's what I	13 Q. Mid '94 to? Is that the
have asked three times.	14 beginning or the end?
5 THE COURT: The pending matters	15 A. To probably mid-1995.
6 could be broader than pending litigation.	16 Q. Okay. Now, you were given
7 Pending maners could be all kinds of	17 documents at that time, right?
8 things.	18 A. No.
9 Q. Are there any ongoing cases?	19 Q. You were given no access to
0 A. Ongoing cases relative to me?	20 documents at all?
_ -	21 A. No.
1 Q. Ongoing cases that you are	22 Q. No access to documents at all?
2 consulting with Mr. Motley about.	23 A. No.
3 A. No, this is strictly my	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4 conversations on that are separate matters	
	Page 2713 Page 271
than the ongoing case Mr. Motley is	I.A. No.
2 involved in or this litigation.	2 Q. You never saw any Philip Morris
3 Q. I'm asking you, sir, on the	3 documents?
4 matters that you are talking about that	4 A. No.
5 are personal matters, do those personal	5 Q. You executed an affidavit in
6 matters relate to cases?	6 that case; right?
7 A. No. Cases relating to what? Be	7 A. Um-hum.
8 specific.	8 Q. Was it based upon your review of
9 Q. To anything.	9 any documents that Mr. Fine had produced
0 A. Anything? I don't know	10 to you?
1 anything.	11 A. No.
2 Q Potential litigative action.	12 Q. It wasn't based upon any other
3 MR. ALDOCK: Filed cases. Do	13 review of Philip Morris documents was it?
4 they relate to filed cases?	14 A. Yes.
5 Q. Cases that are now in existence.	15 Q. Did you not agree that
16 A. No.	16 confidentiality order strike that.
7 Q. So there are no pending cases in	17 What Philip Morris documents
18 which you have consulted with Mr. Motley	18 have you seen since your termination other
19 about?	19 than the ones you saw with Motley, Mr.
20 A. That relate to me, no.	20 Motley?
IN W. THE THE MAN IN THE TIO.	21 A. I have seen a lot of Philip
21 O That relate to anything	
21 Q. That relate to anything.	
21 Q. That relate to anything. 22 A. Not to my specific knowledge, 23 no.	22 Morris documents in connection with the 23 ABC Philip Morris litigation.

Page 2712 - Page 2715

Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand VOLUME 15

Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

	Page 2716				Page 271
terms of that confidentiality agreement		1		You had access to a lot of	
that you entered into with Wilmer, Cutler		.2	Wilm	er, Cutler documents, Philip Morris	
to use the information that you learned	į	3	docur	nents; is that right?	
from those confidential documents in any	1	4	A.	Significant amount of documents,	
5 way without their permission?		5	yes.	_	
6 A I didn't use any.	İ		Q.	Setting that aside and setting	
Q I'm asking you the question;	- !		-	the documents you saw from Mr.	
8 were you allowed to?	-			y, we also know you have testified	
9 A No.				ot Philip Morris documents on an	
0 Q. Have you ever?			• -	ment with CBS to consult with them in	
1 A. No.			_	ary of 1994?	
2 O. You have never done that?	I			Um-hum.	
3 A. No.				Is that correct? Did you send	
4 Q. You have honored that agreement?			-	documents back to CBS?	
S.A. Yes.				I believe I did.	
6 Q. If you were asked by someone to			Q.	You had a confidentiality	
7 reveal that confidential information would	-		_	ment with respect to those documents	
8 you do so voluntarily?	I			CBS, right?	
9 A. No.				Yes.	
0 Q You would honor that agreement?			Q.	And that agreement prohibited	
IA. Yeah.		ı		you revealing any of the information	
2 Q. Because that was a confidential		l		documents without CBS's permission;	
3 information; correct?		23	is tha	t correct?	
A. I believe so.	I	24	Α.	Those are documents that were in	
	Page 2717	ĺ			Page 271
1 Q You would not reveal the content		1	the p	ablic domain anyway.	
2 of the documents nor would you talk about		2	Q.	All of the documents were in the	
3 your conclusions about those documents; is		3	public	domain?	
4 that correct?		4	A.	Yes, they were.	
5 A. Yes.		5	Q.	How do you know that?	
6 O Because it was confidential		6	À.	Why?	
7 information, right?		7	Q.	I said "how." How do you know	
8 A. Um-hum.	,		that?	•	
9 O. Would you tell that information		_	A.	They were readily available from	
10 to the FDA if Dr. Kessler came down and		t .		ple sources.	
11 asked for it		ı	Q.	How do you know that?	
2 A. No.			Q. A.	Because I got multiple copies of	
			them.	- -	
	:	!		From whom?	
4 Because it was confidential information?		14	-	Over the transom.	•
15 A. Yes.			A.	What's the transom?	
16 Q. Okay. And you never have talked			Q.		
17 about that information with anyone outside		L	A .	Back of a boat? Well as	
18 of Wilmer, Cutler; is that correct?			Q.	Back of a boat? Well	
19 A. That's correct.	!	1	A	You have a dictionary, use it.	
20 Q. Now, let me get back to the			Q.	You got those these documents	
21 Philip Morris documents. You said you got				the transom relating to Phillip	
22 a lot of Philip Morris documents in		22	Morr	is products?	
		۱		I receive lots of documents over	
23 respect to the Wilmer, Cutler strike		23	A.	I leaster 10th Ol documents over	

Page 2716 - Page 2719

Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand

Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

Pa	age 2720 Page 272
Q. Oksy. Now, sir, can you explain	1 A. No.
to me how it is that you received all	2 Q. Did you review them?
these documents over the transom prior to	3 A. I read them.
June of 1994.	4 Q. What did you do with the
A. I can't explain it.	5 information?
Q. You did testify in the last case	6 A. Threw it away.
that the Philip Morris documents that you	7 Q. You threw the information away?
8 sent to Mr. Johnston you received over the	8 A. The information? What I kept in
y transom?	9 my brain?
O A. Um-hum.	10 Q. What did you do with it? Did
	11 you ever use it in any way?
I Q I took that to mean that you	12 A. I can't recall.
2 received them anonymously?	13 Q. Did you ever talk to anybody
3 A. Yeah, that's what that's what	14 about them?
4 ! think it means.	
5 Q. Is that correct?	
6 A. Yes.	16 Q. Have you ever shown them to
7 Q. You got documents anonymously	17 anyone?
8 from an anonymous source; is that right?	18 A. I told you I threw them away.
9 A. Yes.	19 Q. I know you did. Before you
0 Q. Now, have you gotten documents	20 threw them away, sir, did you show them to
from any other tobacco company from an	21 anyone?
2 anonymous source?	22 A. No.
3 A. Have I gotten any documents?	23 Q. Do you have any record of having
4 From time to time there is documents that	24 such documents from Reynolds?
P	Page 2721 Page 272
1 are delivered, yes.	1 A. Did I keep a log? No.
	2 Q. Is there any record that you
2 Q. Of other companies?	3 could produce that indicates you got these
3 A. Um-hum.	4 documents?
4 Q. Whose?	
5 A. R.J.R., Philip Morris.	
6 Q. Anyone else?	
7 A. No.	7 the packaging label that was on them?
8 Q So people have sent you R. J.	8 A. No.
9 Reynolds documents anonymously?	9 Q. Did you save any evidence, i.e.,
10 A. Yes. I have seen some R.J.R.	10 a summary of the documents or some
11 documents that have come to me	11 recording that would indicate you ever got
12 anonymously, yes.	12 these documents?
13 Q. Do you still have copies of	13 A. No.
14 those?	14 Q. So there is no way we can prove
15 A. No.	15 that you got these documents at all?
16 Q Why not?	;16 A. No.
17 A. I didn't keep them.	17 Q. Just your word? Is that right?
	18 Is that right?
18 Q. Why not?	19 A. Yes.
19 A. I threw them away.	20 Q. Just your word?
20 Q. Why?	1 2
21 A. Because I didn't have any need	21 A. Yes.
22 to keep them.	22 Q. And when do you claim to receive
23 Q Were you asked to review them by	23 these documents?
24 anyone?	.24 A. Through 19

Page 2720 - Page 2723

CURTIN, SCHNEIDER & LAWREY

Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand

Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

Page 21	724	Page 272
1 Q. When was the first time you	1 Q and you kept saying I don't	
2 received these documents, these Reynolds	·2 recall. Now, you may have talked to him	
documents?	3 about R.J.R. documents?	
4 A. Oh, Reynolds documents?	4 A. I may have, I don't remember. I	
5 Q That's what we have been talking	5 remember specifically the Brown &	
6 about the whole time, sir.	6 Williamson documents.	
7 A. From time to time, I can't tell	7 Q. You have a tremendous ability to	
8 you exactly.	8 forget conversations and information that	
9 Q. When was the first time?	9 you have disclosed in the last two years.	
0 A. I can't recall.	10 But you have no inability to remember	
Q. Was it before June of 1994? Or	11 conversations you had with Mr. Sandefur	
2 May, May/June was the time period you were	12 six years ago; is that true?	
3 talking to Kessler. I'm going to try to	13 A. Oh, I can recall those very	
4 use that as a	14 specifically.	
5 A. It could have been, I can't	15 Q. You can't recall specifically	
6 remember.	16 what you said to Dr. Kessler just a couple	
7 Q It could have been?	17 of years ago; is that right?	
8 A. It could have been, I don't	18 A. I think I related to the best of	
9 remember.	19 my knowledge what I discussed with	
0 Q. Did you talk to Kessler about	20 Dr. Kessler.	
the documents?	21 Q. You can't recall whether you	
2 A. I may have, I don't recail.	22 discussed Reynolds documents with him or	
23 Q. You may have talked to Kessler	23 not, can you?	
4 about R.J.R.?	24 A. I can't recall specifically. I	
		D 271
Page 2'	1 discussed a lot of things with him.	Page 272
1 A. I may have. I don't recall		
2 specifically but I may have.	2 Q. But you can recall specifically 3 what you said to Tommy Sandefur, can't	
3 Q. Dr. Kessler is not an	1	
4 inconsequential person, is he?	4 you?	
5 A. No.	5 A. I can recall very specifically	
6 Q He is the head of the FDA.	6 when the president of a company says	
7 right?	7 nicotine is addictive and he wants to get	
8 A. I had enough other documents to	8 kids hooked	
9 discuss with him.	9 Q. But you can't recall what you	
10 Q. I understand you had a lot of	10 said to Dr. Kessler, can you?	
11 documents to discuss with him and I	II A I can remember that,	
12 understand that they were Brown &	12 Q. He wants to get kids hooked?	
13 Williamson documents. That's what you	13 A. Yes.	
14 testified up till now,	14 Q. Are you saying Tommy Sandefur	
15 A That's correct,	15 said that?	
16 Q We went over at great length	16 A. Yes. And he also said in his	
17 painfully all of the different things you	17 congressional testimony.	
18 talked about with Dr. Kessler yesterday,	18 Q. You said Tommy Sandefur said he	
19 right?	19 wants to get kids hooked in his	
20 A Yes.	20 congressional testimony?	
21 Q You told me that when I kept	21 A. Yes. He said "hooked".	
22 asking you anything else, anything else,	22 Q. After you when you have a	
23 anything else —	23 break, sir, after this lunch period, we	
24 A I may have.	24 are going to come back and you are going	

Page 2724 - Page 2727

(B&W) PROTECTED BY MINNESOTA TOBACCO LITIGATION PROTECTIVE ORDER Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand WOLLIME 15

Page 27	
to show me where Tommy Sandefur ever said	1 can't recall what you talked to Kessler
he wants to get kids hooked.	2 about. I want to ask you specifically,
A. All right.	3 sir, is there some reason why during that
Q We'll see that. We'll find	4 1994 period when you were meeting with
that,	5 Kessler you have some blank in your
MR. ALDOCK: Wait a minute,	6 memory?
wait a minute, we'll take it back.	7 A. I have no blanks in my memory.
Q You want to take it back then?	8 Q. You remember whether you talked
A Yes. In conversation with me he	9 to him about Reynolds documents?
specifically indicated he wanted to get	10 A. I'm not sure.
kids hooked on snuff.	11 Q. You can't recall?
2 O. And you want to take that back	12 A. I definitely talked to him about
or do you want to stand by that?	13 Brown & Williamson documents.
A. In Congress he didn't say that.	14 Q. You can't recall whether you
5 I'm sorry.	15 talked to him about Reynolds?
6 Q. You have no proof of that, do	16 MR. ALDOCK: Your Honor, in
7 you, sir? You made the wild statement he	17 terms of the length of this deposition,
8 said it in Congress without any proof.	18 this is a dead horse he has been beating
When you realized it was a Congressional	19 for the last 20 minutes and he has gotten
Record you withdrew it. So then you are	20 the same answers. I don't object to the
making the comment he said it to you other	21 questions, I'm just pointing out that he
than in Congress; isn't that right?	22 is using his time as he chooses.
3 A He said it to me in early 1989	23 MR. SHEFFLER: Your Honor, I'm
4 and I will so testify.	24 going to move on. Although I do think I
	n - 27
Page 2	! am entitled to explore his recall since it
Q. The truth of that is as true as	2 keeps varying and changing from time to
2 he said it in Congress; is that right?	
3 A. He used the word "addictive" and	3 time. 4 THE COURT: You might want to
4 "hooked" in Congress in the same breathe.	5 come back to it after lunch. I don't
5 Q. And you, sir, testified here	
6 that he said he wanted to get kids hooked	6 know, that's up to you. I will give you
7 and that wasn't true?	? leeway on it. Is this an appropriate
8 A I did not say that.	8 time - an appropriate place to break?
9 Q That wasn't true, was it?	9 MR SHEFFLER: Just a couple
10 A I will qualify it.	10 more questions, your Honor, and I will be
I Q. It wasn't true, was it?	11 done.
12 A. No, I will take that back. That	12 BY MR. SHEFFLER:
13 part I will take back.	13 Q. Did Fine ever offer to give you
14 Q. It wasn't true either that he	14 documents to review?
15 said it to you at any time?	15 A. No.
16 A. I'm sorry, you are wrong, Mr.	16 Q Finally, sir, the affidavit that
17 Sheffler, Wrong.	17 you executed for Mr. Fine, was that based
18 Q. All right. Let's get back to	18 upon any document review of Philip Morris
19 what you said to Kessler or what you can't	19 documents?
20 remember. Let's get back to these R. J.	20 A. Yes.
21 Reynolds documents.	21 Q. And what documents since Mr.
22 You can recall greatly these	22 Fine didn't provide them to you, what
23 comments by people back when you were	23 documents did you review?
an positioner of backie nersy arms las	24 A Hamlet documents that I received

Page 2728 - Page 2731

Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand VOLUME 15 Page 2732 Page 2734 i other than through CBS I didn't ask you that. You received those prior to the ,2 A. They were in the public domain. 3 execution of the affidavit; is that right? I didn't ask you that. Did not I received those again, yes. 4 Mr. Fine communicate to you that there was And do you recall who sent them 5 0. 5 a confidentiality order in the case that 6 to you? 6 you were working on with respect to Philip 7 A. No. I don't. 7 Morris documents? Those are transom documents too? 8 Q. That's correct. 9 A. Those are public domain Did he tell you that? 9 0. 10 documents. They are all over. Yeah, I learned that. Wait, sir. My question is how Did he also tell you that that 11 D. 12 you received them. They may have been 12 order governed the Hamlet documents? 13 public domain documents. Have you ever I don't believe he did. 14 received the Congressional Record? MR. SHEFFLER: Your Honor, we Yes. 15 can take a break now. 16 Q Okay. It's a public document; 16 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the 17 right? 17 record, the time is approximately 12:39. 18 A. (Luncheon Recess Taken.) Yes. 18 But received it, too? 19 O. 19 20 A. 20 The fact that it's a public 21 Q. 21 22 document doesn't necessarily mean you 22 23 received it. I'm asking you how did you 23 24 receive it, who gave it to you, who sent 24 Page 2735 Page 2733 I them to you? CERTIFICATE I don't know who sent them to me I. Thomas F. Runfola, a Registered 3 Professional Reporter, do hereby certify 3 over the transom These Philip Morris documents, 4 that I reported the deposition of Jeffrey 5 S. Wigand, and that the foregoing 5 specifically the Hamlet documents, did you 6 ever discuss them with Mr. Fine? 6 transcript of such proceedings is a full, Did I ever discuss the Hamlet 7 true and correct transcript of my 8 documents with Mr. Fine? I believe I did, 8 stenotypy notes made to the best of my 9 ability. 9 yes. Did you show them to him? ΙĐ I do further certify that I was 10 Q. 11 called there in the capacity of a Court I may have showed him the copy 12 Reporter, and am not otherwise interested 12 that came over the transom, yes. 13 in this proceeding. Did you tell him that those were 14 Hamlet documents? 14 I think they are self-evident 15 6 that they are Hamlet documents. 16 THOMAS F RUNFOLA. 17 Registered Professional Reporter. 17 O. And you showed them to Mr. Fine?

18

19

DECEMBRA'S CURTON.
21 Regulared Professions

Page 2732 - Page 2735

I believe I did, yes.

20 with you the fact that there was a

21 confidentiality order in his case

22 governing those documents?

24 documents to me.

Did not Mr. Fine communicate

Mr. Fine did not provide those

18 A.

23 My Commission Expires: ____

22 Notary Public for the State of Kentucky.

(B&W) PROTECTED BY MINNESOTA TOBACCO LITIGATION PROTECTIVE ORDER Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand Multi-Page™ & -

OLUME 15		170	Venider 13, 1990, De	po of Jeffrey Wigan
	2612.2 2616:19 2617.2	2582.17.24 2583:2,4,6		efforced [1] 2632:23
-&-	2617.15 2623.24 2648:16	2584.17.21.23 2585:1	- 9-	ufternoon (5) 2558-14
100) 2476 4,17,18	2659:3,7 2685:8	2587.21 258B:2 2589:18	90 (1) 2703:20	2559:4 2560:3 2592:8
477.2.3.6.10.14.18	17th (a) 2691:4,19,21,24	2589:24 2591:22.23	95-CI-06560 [1] 2476:7	2617:24
78:6 2484:2,3 2485:19	2694:3 2700:11	2592:1.6,11 2593:11,22 2595:18 2596:19 2598:18		afterwards pj 2528:22
86:1.3.5 2487:6,12.16	1800 (13 2477:19	2599:3 2601:11 2607:21	9:00 (3) 2476:20 2563:5 2582:24	2531:14,15
487:21-2490:6.16-2491:5	19 [1] 2723:24	2612:1B 2615:24		again [14] 2490.24 2496
491:16-2492:13,19,21	191 [i] 2477:7	29 [4] 2551.9 2556:7	9:03 [1] 2480:5	259B:8 2602:12 2604.2
492 23 2500:1,5 2502.4	1989 m 2485:6.9	2560:23 2655:19	·	2611:16 2648:5 2674:19
503 24 2504:9 2507.15		29th (77) 2515:5 2524:23	A-	2686:20 2688:21.24
508 1,16 2512:5 2517:18 517 24 2528:13,16	1993 [3] 2486:9 2487:13	2525:6,8 2545:16 2553:3	a.m (4) 2476:20 2480:3	2690:18 2694:15 2699:2 2704:6 2732:4
535 23 2536:8,15.15,21	2714:9	2554:4,5 2556:11,12,15	2563:5 2582:24	1 1 1 1 1
537 3 2551:4 2558:5.18	1994 pp. 2486:10.21	2557:15.21 2558:3,9	ABC(1) 2715:23	against [3] 2614:6
571.18,20 2572:2,5,20	2487:1,13 2524:13	2559:8,9,12,17 2560:16	ability pg 2575:10	2627:15.24
575:17 2577:4 2581:22	2718:11 2720:4 2724:11	2562:17 2563:5,17 2564:2	2726:7 2735:9	ago (s) 2602:18 2704:9,9
581 23 2582:14 2594:15	2730:4	2565:12 2567:1 2569:4	I .	2707:8 2726:12.17
595.7,9.20,24 2596:6,10	1995 [46] 2507:24 2512:9	2569:11 2570:17,18	able (1) 2709:7	agroc (2) 2543:18 2715:
596:13 2597:2,11,15	2512:13.19 2513:6 2515:5	2573:3,7,13 2582:15,24	absolutely (1) 2560:12	agroed [1] 2609:9
598:15 2599:1.5 2614:5	2519:24 2524:17 2545:16	2583:6.7 2584:4 2586:21	2627:3 2628:8	agreement (12) 2576:I
614:7 2615:7 2619:11	2551:6,9 2556:7 2557:15	2590:18 2594:12 2597:1 2597:11,17 2598:6	access (4) 2631:15.18	2576:21 2623:9 2627.2
619:12 2625:18 2627:12	2557:21 2558:9 2559:8.9	2599:19 2600:7,9 2606:10	2707:1 2714:19,22 2718:1	2.0
627:16,24 2634:22	2559:13.17 2560:23	2607:6 2608:13,15,20	according (1) 2563-4	2716:14.20 2718:10.17
635.2,5.9 2653.19 654:11 2656:14 2685:10	2565:12 2567:1 2569:21	2610:2,8,15,15,16 2612:3	acquisition (1) 2502:11	2718:20
685.17.22 2688:11	2569:24 2570:15 2578.24	2613:13.24 2615:23	act(1) 2615:8	agreements (1) 2708:1
700.18 2705:6 2725:12	2582:16 2583:7 2584:17	2616:2 2617:11.20	acting [2] 2695:16.21	abead (9) 2480:6 2481:
726:5 2729:24 2730:13	2589:7,18 2591:20 2597:11 2612:18 2622:11	2618:24 2632:14 2640:6	action [23] 2528:13	2544:14 2563:19 2595:4
	2622.19 2625:23 2632:8	2640:9 2650:5 2658:6	2530:6,14 2533:17,22	: 2616:13 2643:1 2694:20
+	2637:20 2648:8.16	2659:8 2686:5 2691:6.7	2551:4 2592:16.17 2596:1	2711:15
_	2649:19 2654:13 2655:19	2691:23 2700:7	2596:10,13,23 2623:5	-aurplane (7) 2631:3,5,9
0 [2] 2485:6.9	2657:17 2701:3	2:30 [1] 2592:7	2624:5 2625:17.17.23	2631:10.13.14.16
Z [1] 2485:9	1996 (9) 2476:19 2480:2		2626:3.5 2710:16 2711:9	airplanes (1) 2631:18
4 (5) 2486:10 2524:14	2480:13 2522:12 2526.17	-3-	2711:13 2713:12	Aldock (45) 2477:20
714:10.12.13	2633:2 2700:24 2707:11	30 (3) 2477:10 2622:19	actions (2) 2542:19	2482:15 2520:24 2524:1
5 (4) 2505:10.22	2707:11	2627:8	2593.5	2528:10 2529:3 2534:7
507:10 2508:19 2514:14	19th [ւլ 2691:18		actual [1] 2602:17	2534:12.22 2543:2,5.18
:524:15		30303 [1] 2477:7	addictive [2] 2727.7	2549:16 2551:13 2560:1
	-2-	30th [4] 2622:14 2625:23	2729.3	2563:19 2580:3 2583:6 2592:4 2594:20 2595:1
-1-		2628:3 2648:11	addition (4) 2485:15	2595:19 2602:14.24
	20 [1] 2730:19	31298 (1) 2478.8	2492:14 2517.23 2612:24	2603:6 2604:23 2607:13
D[4] 2482.10 2692:24	20035 (1) 2478:3	[3: 0 0 [1] 2592:8	additional [1] 2484:11	2607;22 2608:24 2609:1
708:7 2710:3	20036[1] 2477:19	·	address (3) 2484:2	2609.15 2610:12.21
2012 (1): 2477:11	21 (2) 2551:6.16	-4-	2612:24 2613:1	2611:4 2614:19 2615:5.
056 (i) 2478:7	21st [14] 2550:8.11.12.13	4-77-06 2522.16	addressed (4) 2484:3.4	2623:7 2626:6.12 2627:
0:12 [1] 2550:20	2550:14 2553:3 2568:14	4-22-96 m 2633:16	1 2590:6.9	2627:19 2628:15,20
l (s) 2654:6 2656:4	2569:12.15 2584:22	400 [1] 2477:15	1 1.	2633:3 2634:5 2635:11
657:7.10.19 2692:18	2604:7 2617:16 2618:15	401 [t] 2477:2	adjourned (1) 2573:24	2635:23 2636:14 2688:1
693:1 2697:20	2622:11	40202 [2] 2477:3.16	adjournment [1] 2574:4	
I-21 (r): 2551:17	22 (1) 2590:10		advice (34) 2534:17	+ 2691;4,15,19 2694;15 + 2696;12,19 2702;14
I-29 (1) 2551:14	22nd(4) 2589:7 2590:15	-5-	2542 12.23 2544:4,21	2711:14 2713:13 2728:6
1:15 [1] 2630.19	2590:17 2633:2		2545.3.7 2546:6.10.21	2730:16
1.79 2000.19	23rd (4) 2569:21.24	5 (1) 2699:13	2547:4.6.7.8.10.14.16	alert (1) 2565:16
1:28 [1] 2630.24	2570:15.19	5:00 (t) 2558:6	; 2602:17 2603:10.14 1 2605 18.19.22.22 2606:5	
1:48 (1) 2659:18	24(1) 2610:6		2606.7 2616:11 2627:23	Alford [1] 2477.4
2-95 [1] 2504 22		-6-	2528 7 2529 11.18	allowed [1] 2716:8
2:39 (ij. 2734:17	2483 (2) 2479:5.9	63 (1) 2623:16	2630:10 2693:19 2695:17	alone [1] 2646:23
3 (2) 2476:19 2480:2	2500 [2] 2476:17 2477:3		2695:21 2700 6	along[1] 2686:4
400 (1) 2478.3	2561 (1) 2479:10	64 [2] 2570:10 2610:7	advise (2) 2581.15	alternative [1] 2502:6
4th (6) 2561:2.13	2589 (1) 2479:11		2604.15	always [1] 2482:B
2567:15,18,20 2632:7	2600 (1) 247B:7	-8-	advised (7) 2540:17	amount (t) 2718:4
_	26th (2) 2556:17 2584:24	80 (1) 2703.20	2542.18 2560:2,5,9	
5 [2] 2476:23 2708.7	1	83 (3) 2479:9 2483:10.16	2606.20 2616:20	annotating [1] 2657.4
540 [t] 2477:14	2591:22 2592:2 2599:3	1.	advising [1] 2545:24	anonymous [2] 2720:5
6 (3) 2624.2 2648.8		184 (4) 2479:10 2561:24	affect on acousts	2720:22
2654:13	28ish [1] 2632.13	2562.4 2624:3 2647.24	1 Am a 1 A	anonymously (5)
6th [18] 2562:9 2567:17	28th (37) 2524:23 2525:4	2653.23		2503:8 2720:12,17 2721
2567 19.21 2568:7,12	2529:22 2552:13.20	85 [a] 2479:11 2589:2	2731-16-2732-3	. 2721:12
2593 11 2594 10 2608 7	2553:3 2554 3 2567:9.15	8:45 (1) 2480:3	affixed [1] 2484-19	:answer [40] 2490.22.23

CURTIN, SCHNEIDER & LAWREY

1

562630718

Brown & Williamson	vs. Wigand	Multi-Page™		answered - channels
VOLUME 15		Nov	ember 13, 1996, De	oo of Jeffrey Wigand
2513:10,16,17 2514:5,8		beating [1] 2730.18	2492:14,17.20 2503:15	2574:16
2514:10.12 2516:13.15		became [2] 2522:19	2503:20 2504:21 2507:18 2507:19 2508:6 2512:1,4	Campot (4) 2493:2
2537 4 2538:14 2544:13 2594 21 2603:3 2604:1.2	2540:17 2565:23 2570:3 2571:23 2573:17,20,21	2583:3	2512:6	2512:24 2548:2 2647:2
2604 19.20.20 2605:5.7	2573:22 2575:10,11,13	become (2) 2509:23 2577:13	brain [1] 2722:9	capacity (1) 2735:11
2605:15 2611:21 2612:7	2575:24 2578:4 2583:16	beforehand (1) 2585:2	break (4) 2548:24	cardboard [1] 2493:20 carefully (2) 2634:4
2614:9 2634:5 2636:17	2583:19 2586:14 2587:12	began [1] 2646:2	2727.23 2731:8 2734:15	2697:23
2643:9 2651:5 2687:16 2687:19 2689:6,8,16	2587:14,16,17 2596:18 2609:1,4,5,16 2620:16.24	begin (2) 2626:2 2714:7	breathe (1) 2729:4	Carolina (1) 2521:9
2693:7,7 2698:11 2711:11	2624:5 2625:1.20,24	beginning (1) 2714:14	Brenner (1) 2481:1	CATTYOVET [1] 2583.13
answered (5) 2510:11	2628:14.18 2629:6.11.11	behalf (s) 2477:17,21	briefly [6] 2521:4	Carter [1] 2532:3
2612:4 2629:13 2640:14	2629:20 2634:15 2639:20 2647:1 2648:3,11,14,19	2617:10	2564:20,23 2565:2 2601:23 2674:19	Case (114) 2476:7 2501:20
2689.2	2652:4 2710:14.18	belief [1] 2494:10	bring [17] 2562:23	2501:21 2514.17.21.23
answering (2) 2514:10 2635:11	attorney's [1] 2578:6	Bergman (1) 2576:2	2648:23 2649:2.7.8	2515:1.6.7.9.10.11,11,12 2515:13.17 2516:1.3.10
answers (3) 2607:23	attorney-client (1)	best [8] 2496:7 2502:22	2651:12,20 2658:7,8,14	2516:11.17.20 2517:10
2655:9 2730:20	2626:18	2503:11 2505:16 2506:4	2674:4,6,12,15,16	2517:13.16.20 2518:2.13
anticipation (1) 2630:9	attorneys [39] 2506:15	2611:23 2726:18 2735:8	2687:17 2688:14	2518:22.23,24 2519:1
Anytime [1] 2544:13	2512:18 2528:7.11.12 2534:1.3 2535:8 2541:12	better (4) 2548:20 2629:5 2711:9,12	hrings (1) 2482:24	2520:5.15.23 2521.3 2523:6.15 2524:3.12
anyway (2) 2510:8	2541:19 2556:21 2558:4	between (26) 2493:5	broader [1] 2712:16 broadest [1] 2536:10	2526:13 2529:17.23
2719 1	2566:6.24 2568:18.1B	2506:8 2553:2 2554:1	broke (1) 2552:6	2530:23 2531.3.18.20.22
appear [13] 2480:17	2570:23 2571:19 2572:21	2555:15 2556:5.9 2557:16	brought [2] 2674:13	2532:2.18.21 2536:5
2547:21 2562:16 2583:14 2597:1,5,10,16 2602:23	2586:9 2616:21 2617:7.7 2617:9.17.18 2638.24	2559:10 2560:3 2567:7 2567:15 2575:17 2578:24	2686:12	2537:16 2542:6.21 2545:13 2546:2 2547:7
2604:5 2610:14 2612.2	2640:21 2641:1 2642:17	2579:3 2584:22,24	Brown [79] 2476:4.18	2551:8 2553:7.19 2556:16
2615:23	2643.3.12.18.23 2644:24	2593:10 2599:16 2606:17	2477:3 2478:6 2484:3	2557:2,7,11,15,17,23
appearance (2) 2564:1	2645:2 2646:15,24	2626:7 2640:21 2645:2	2485:19 2486:3,5 2487:6	2558:15 2559:4.8.17
2599:13	2701:13	2690:10 2691:23 2710:14	2487:12.16.21 2490:6.16 2491:5.16 2492:13.19.21	2561:12 2563:6.17 2564:2 2578:18 2592:21 2593:1
APPEARANCES(I)	audiotapes (2) 2654:22 2655:2	beyond (2) 2602:17	2492:23 2500:1,5 2502:4	2593:17 2595:12 2598:14
2477;1	August n ij 2569:21.24	2636:16 Bezanson (3) 2686:15	2503:24 2508:1,16	2598:19.20.24 2601.9
appeared [1] 2595:8	2570:15.18 2572:24	2692:16 2699:22	2517:18.24 2535:23	2607:12 2608:20 2614:16 2616:18 2618:10 2619:9
Appleton (1) 2478:8	2573:3.7.13 2610:8.16	Bezanson's [2] 2687:9	2536:7.15.15.21 2537:3 2551:4 2558:5.18 2572:2	2621:3 2626:19.24
appreciate [1] 2602.24	2637:20	2692.2	2572:5.20 2575:17 2577:4	
appropriate (4) 2605.8 2605:10 2731:7.8	avail [1] 2536:22	blank (1) 2730:5	2581:22,23 2582:14	2630:8.10 2687:15
April (1) 2633:2	availability [1] 2526:12	blanket (1) 2549:4	2594:15 2595:7.9,20,24 2596:6,10,13 2597:2.11	2690:15 2691:11 2696:4 2696:9.11 2697:3 2701:13
arising [1] 2626:13	available (2) 2526:21 2719:9	blanks (1) 2730:7	2597:15 2598:15 2599:1	2701.19 2703:5 2711:8
arose [1] 2480:23	Avenue pj. 2477:2,19	Bicoders [11] 2493 16	2599:5 2614:5.7 2615:7	2713.1 2715:6 2720:6
article (1) 2481:3	2478:3	2495;4,7,19 2496;5,16	2619:11,12 2625:18	2733:21 2734:5
aside (4) 2505.19 2506:16	award (11 2633:1	2497;20 2498;5 2499;15 2500;17 2503;5	2627:12,16,24 2634:22 2635:2,5,9 2653:19	cases [10] 2515:19 2712:19:20:21 2713:6.7
2718:6.7	aware (10) 2533:4 2571:9		2688:11 2700:18 2725:12	2713:13.14.15.17
asks [1] 2562:16	2583:3 2597:18.19.23	hine/green (st. 2494-)	2726:5 2729:24 2730:13	catch [1] 2482:10
assisting [1] 2624.18	2619:10.17.19 2624.12	2494:16.17 2496:6 2497:1	Brubaker [1] 2703:14	caught [2] 2482:5.6
associated (1) 2522:19	2485:3.5.7.12 2486:1.4.15.16.18.20	boat [2] 2719:17.18	Bruce [2] 2477:12	CBS (6) 2576:15.18
assume [11] 2493:1	2487:2.15.21 2488:2.22	boating [1] 2520:18	2627:20	2718:10,14.18 2732:1
2512:20 2525:10,11	2488:24 2489:3 2491:12	bono [1] 2575:14	buddies (1) 2702:9	CBS's (1) 2718.22
2555:14 2565:24 2614:20 2614:21 2617:12 2623:21	2721:19 2722:6.7.18.20	book (14) 2497:7.8.10.14	bulk (2) 2507:19 2579:12	Center [1] 2477:15
2647-20		2497.17,23.2498:3.18.20	Bulla (3) 2481:21,23 2482:13	certain [7] 2506:12
assumed (2) 2498:3	<u>-B-</u>	2498:24 2499:8,9,16,17 2499:21 2500:9	business (1) 2502:6	2530:16 2562:23 2648.23 2649:2 2657.5 2702:6
2566-2	B [13] 2479:7 2484:2	bottom (2) 2483:17	Butler (21) 2514:21.23	certainly (i) 2693:1
assuming [1] 2534:12	2486:1 2507:15 2512:5	2489:2	2515:1,6.9.12 2516:3.11	CERTIFICATE (1)
assumption [2] 2635.13	2571:18.20 2654:11 2656:14 2685:10.17,22	bound (13 2497:14	2516:17.20 2517:10.13	2735:1
2635:19	2705:6	box [34] 2478:7 2483:20	2517-16,19 2518.2.12.22 2520:15 2524.10 2531:20	2725 1 10
Atlanta [1] 2477.7 attached [6] 2483:19	background(1) 2709:1	2483:22.23 2484:10,18	2537:16	cessation (i) 2502:9
2564.22 2565:1 2566:19	bags [3] 2591:19 2592:10	2484:21,22 2487:23 2488:4.5.6.10,12.14.16.17		Chadbourne (1) 2477 10
2647.24 2697:24	2611-10	2488:22 2489-3,4.10.16	-C-	challenging (1) 2627:18
attaches [1] 2562:19	Barrett (3) 2525:21,21	2489;18 2490;5 2491.11	C[1] 2477:8	change (4) 2535:10
attempts (1) 2652:12	2525:22	2491:12,13,15 2503:22		2567.21 2630:17 2636:12
attendance [1] 2608:19	based [5] 2646:7 2709:9 2715:8.12 2731:17	2507:20 2511:21 2512:14 2653:19 2723:6	(camera (1) 2657:1	changed (2) 2637:24
attention[1] 2686:13	basement(1) 2486:22	boxes [38] 2485:2,4.11	canceled (5) 2573:12.16	2638:2
attorney [44] 2484.17	baton (1) 2578:4	2485:12.16.21 2486.2.6	2574:9,13.21	changing [2] 2696:19
	bear [3] 2484:6,8 2649 15	A 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	cancellation [1]	channels [1] 2693:14
2523.11.12.14.16 2525:24	- Ones (5) + 104.0/0 2045 1.	2487:15,20 248B:2,3,24		
-				Index Page 2

(B&W) PROTECTED BY MINNESOTA TOBACCOLLIFICATION PROTECTIVE ORDER Characterization - created VOLUME 15 November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

VOLUME 15		Nov	rember 13, 1996, De	po of Jeffrey Wigand
characterization [2] 2520 14 2650:2	Closer [1] 2590-18	confers [3] 2547:1		correctly [3] 2550:23
charge (3) 2342:5,14,18	cloudy pr 2582:18	2548.22.2705·15	contrary [1] 2611:13	2551:2 2617:6
2545.1 2629:3	colleagues [4] 2565:15 2565:18 2566:18.22	confidential (18) 2490:15.17 2491:7.8.9.15	control (1) 2506:6	correspondence (11) 2485:17 2574:15 2577:19
charged [2] 2624:16.17	collectively [1] 2603.20	2499:24 2500:7 2626:22	Convenience [11] 2480:15 2617:22 2638:4	2578:18.21 2579.3.5.9.10
Charleston (1) 2710:6	colloquy (1) 2614:23	2635:8,10 2659:22 2675:3 2716:4,17,22 2717:6,14	2638:21 2639:2.5,12.24	2579:23 2581:5
check [2] 2483:2 2498:7	color(2) 2490:1 2493:23	confidentiality [8]	2640:13 2642:7 2646:6	corroborate (i) 2500:10
checklist [1] 2482:24	coming [1] 2617:14	2707:17 2708:1 2709:23	Conversation [16]	corroborated (3) 2495 1 2499:12 2500:11
children (1) 2632:24	commence [2] 2573:3,7	2715:16 2716:1 2718:16	2481:21 2492:18 2525:19 2526:9 2535:3 2540:15	corroboration m
chooses [1] 2730:22	comment (2) 2701:18	2733:21 2734:5	2540:23 2541:1 2573:9	2495:10
choosing (1) 2513:9	2728:21	confirms [1] 2481:5	2584:19 2585:18,23	counsel [25] 2534:17
CID [tos] 2485:22	comments (4) 2704:1.2 2704:4 2708:9.12 2729:23	conflicting (1) 2542:10 confused (n) 2611.22	2604:11 2607:19 2625:14 2634:15 2643:7 2728:9	2542:13,18 2544:5.21 2547:1 2548:22 2552:7
2538.13 2545:14.20 2546:1.7.8.11.17.23	commercial m 2631:22	2696:20,21	COUVETBALIONS [29]	2576:16 2577:1,2 2579:22
2547.8.12.17 2554:1	2631:24	confusion (2) 2549:2	2480:8 2481:12 2523:21	2603:10.15 2612:11
2556:9 2557:5,9,16,20	Commission [1]	2697:1	2523:23,24 2524:2,4,9	2616:11 2626:7.11.12.14
2558:1.8.12 2559:2.10 2560:3.10 2569:3.20,20	2735:23	Congress (5) 2728:14,18	2553:2,4 2555:17 2556:3 2574:6 2579:6 2582:9,12	2626:17 2627:5 2690:22 2699:4 2705:15
2569:23 2570:8 2571:22	Commissioner [1]	2728:22 2729:2.4	2604:8 2620:5.10.11	counseling [1] 2626:8
2572:3,7 2573:2,11,12	2476:13	congressional [4] 2727:17.20 2728:19	2621:7,10,14,16,21	counted [1] 2631:6
2574 17.23 2575:3 2577:6 2577 7.10.11 2581:17.18	committing [1] 2597:4	2732:14	2645:2 2712:24 2726:8 2726:11	coupie (3) 2575-23
2581 24 2582:5.6.9.15.21	communicate [6] 2550.2 2582;4 2597:6	connection (5) 2580:13	cooperate (3) 2536:17	2726:16 2731:9
2583.1.4.12.2584:6.10.18	2598.3 2733:19 2734.4	2580:13 2626:13 2705:9	2597:2.10	course [s] 2480:7 2499:1
2586.9.15.19 2587:6,8	communicated (2)	2715:22	copied [5]: 2495:21	2509:14 2534.16 2603:7
2588.7 2590:11.12 2591:6 2592.24 2593:19.22	2532:11 2566:1	consequences [1] 2616:24	2505:5,20,24 2506:20	COURT [123] 2476;1 2480;6 2481;7,16 2484;6 2490;22
2594:2 2599:16 2600:5	communicating (1)	consider [1] 2491:8	copies [13] 2492:23	2509:12,22 2514:7 2515:8
2601:2,13 2609:19 2610:8	2566:7	considered [7] 2490:17	2493:2 2495:12 2498:11 2502:24 2503:3.24 2504:1	2515:21 2516:12 2520:6
2611:14 2613:1 2616:17 2616:22 2617:10,18	communication [5] 2555:15 2556:21 2566:4	2491:6.8.15 2528:19	2504:3,12 2579:16	2530:15 2534:10.14
2618.8 2621:20 2624:14	2579:13 2698:4	2635:9 2653:14	2719:12 2721:13	2535:1,10,15,20 2536:2 2539:12 2541:2,9,13,16
2625.5 2630:11 2637:16	communications (2)	considering [1] 2712:5	CODY [72] 2480:21 2481:8	2542:8,22 2543:13 2544:6
2637.17.20.22 2638:15	2582:2 2708:14	consult (2) 2710:7	2481:15 2493:5 2494:3.8 2494:9,13,13,16,20	2548:24 2549:14.18
2640:11 2644:11,20 2645:5,7,12,15 2646:2,5	companies [1] 2710:4	2718:10	2495:3,18.20,21,23	2550:9,13,17,21 2551:14 2551:19,24 2552:11,14
2646:16,19 2647:19	2721:2	consultant [2] 2523:1.9	2496:1,2,15,20 2497:17	2552:17 2558:16.22
CID's (i) 2583:16	: company (3): 2502.12 - 2720:21-2727:6	consultation [1] 2714:7	2498:4,21 2499:2,21	2560:6 2561:10.15
cigarettes [1] 2502:8	compelled (2) 2512:24	2709:14	2500:15,15,17,24 2501:1 2501:3,7,12 2503:8,9	: 2563:20 2583:11.17.19 : 2584:2,7 2585:11 2595:11
CIRCUIT (1) 2476:1	2514:5	consulted (2) 2713:18	2504:18,21,24 2505:1,4,5	2595:22 2596:1,7 2598:8
City [2] 2633:6 2634:1	competent [1] 2565:22	2714:5	2506:2,18.19,24 2511:5	2598:14 2599:2,13 2602:6
civil (e) 2570:2.13	complaint [2] 2533:16	consulting (1) 2712:22	2527:24 2533:11,13,16 2533,22 2553:9,14 2555:3	2602:11,16,22 2603:5 2605:4,12 2616:12,15
2571:10.15.17 2608:15	2533:22	contact (1) 2568:20	2555:6,10,13,14 2562:5	2617:1 2619:6.17.20
claim (s) 2606:7 2685:15 2685:16 2686.2,8 2690:9	complete (2) 2497:17 2696:1	contacted (1) 2532:8	2562:15 2565:7 2570:1	2621:4 2623.23 2624:3
2690:9 2723 22	completely (1) 2514:1	contacts (2) 2571:18.19	2581:9,11 2583:23 2584:1 2587:19.23:24 2589:12	2627:7,22 2628:4,13,17 2628:24 2629:13 2630:16
claiming (1) 2534.2)	compound [1] 2614:12	contained [1] 2490:15	2589:14 2733:11	2630:21 2631:21 2632:9
claims (2) 2485:8	computer (s) 2655:4.5	contemporaneously	copying [2] 2506:22	2632:15 2635:21 2636:1
2520:10	2655:14 2657:12.17	[2] 2685:21,23 contempt [17] 2534:16	2507.2	2636:8.12.20 2639:8.15
clarification (2) 2513:9	conceivable (1) 2516:1	2542:6,[4 2545:1 2580:17	Corporation (2) 2476:5	2640:17 2653:17.22 2654:1 2655:13 2659:19
clarify [3] 2524:8 2650:8	CONCETN [3] 2604:14	2580:19 2584:14 2595:13	2478.6 correct (57) 2482:21	2674:21 2689:1.5,11
2696:24	2614:1.2	2598:20,23 2603:11,22 2606:2 2635:12 2636:2,3	2489.1 2495:4,6,6,15,16	2690:13.23 2691:17.21
clarifying [1] 2696:17	concerning (4) 2531:22 2651:13 2654:11 2656:13	2636:16	2496.21.2498:9.10,16	2692:10 2694:20 2698:13 2699:4,8 2700:5,10
cleaning [3] 2485:2	conclude (1) 2566:14	content(1) 2717.1	2507.22.2511:18.2519:3	2705.11 2712:15 2731:4
2486.22,24	concluded in 2519:5	contents (s) 2485:1.4	2519:6 2524.23 2530:23 2531:3 2537 20:24 2538:1	2735:11
Clear(10) 2507:13	conclusion [4] 2534.13	2486:18,20 2487:15,20	2543:16 2551:12 2558:10	courts (1) 2542.11
2508:12 2515:8 2541:2 2542:16 2547:21 2549:1	2543.8.23 2557.20	2489:15,18	2562:11.12.14.18 2563:6	COVET [12] 2493:18.20.20 2493:21 2494:15 2496:6
2561:11 2585:14 2593.24	conclusions [1] 2717:3	Contest (s) 2509:24 2595:21 2596:1,7,8	2570:16 2593:6 2594:5 2613:5.9 2617:21 2618 18	
2594 3 2603 7 2608:20	conducting (1) 2578:2	contested [1] 2509:16	2623.22 2624:5 2641:10	covered (2) 2688:19
2627:1.3 2628-23 2630:5 2695:14	confer (4) 2548.18.20	context (ii. 2706:1	2651:9.14 2654:18.20	2705.10
clearer [1] 2617:14	2598:6.15	continuation	2657:2 2674.5 2685:11 2710:16 2716:23 2717:4	crash[1] 2657:17
clearly DI 2487:11	conferenced (1) 2554:21	2519:15	2717:18.19 2718:13.23	created [6] 2685 16.23
2592.24 2627:10	conferences (1) 2579:11	continued [1] 2691:14	2720:15 2725:15 2734:8	2685.24 2686:1 2688:8
client (2) 2629:2 2635:24	conferred [1] 2552:7	continuing (1) 2519:19	2735:7	2688:10
			<u></u>	I day Dage 3

Multi-Page Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand crew - duces VOLUME 15 November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand crew m 2515.16 defenses [5] 2534:20 diaries [34] 2654:10,14 2588:10 2590:19.23 2705:21.24 2706:8.12.14 2544:24 2546:19 2603:13 2654:14.18 2655.21.22 2591:2.5 2604:4 2606:12 2706:20,23,24 2707:2,5 criminal (e) 2478:2 2656:5.7.10.12.15.16.17 2617:1 2622:2 2625:13 2707:19.21 2708:5.6.7.23 1573 22 2575:13,24 2656:20 2657:1,3,10,20 2636:6 2647:4 2701:8.12 2709:2.3.10,17 2710:3 2629 3.5 **define** (1) 2493:13 2685:6.9.15,20*2686:4.11 2702:1.2 2714:17,20,22,24 2715:3 culture [1] 2633:1 definitely (i) 2730:12 2687:7.10 2690:B.12 2715:9.13.17.22 2716:4 discussions (55) 2514:4 curious (1) 2527:20 delivered (n. 2721:1 2691:24 2692:3.12,14,15 2717:2.3.21.22 2718:2.3 2519:7.10,17 2520:3.7 CURTIN [1] 2735:21 2718:4.7,9.14.17.22.24 delivering (i): 2502:7 2692:22 2693:1 2694:11 2522:23 2523:2.5.15 demand [20] 2570:2.14 2571:10.16.17 2608:16 2694:14,17,22 2695:1,6,9 2719:2.20,23 2720:3.7.17 CUSTOdy [2] 2484:17 2544:21 2552:21 2553:16 2695:18,23 2696:1.11 2720:20.23,24 2721:9,11 2554:7.13.16.17.19 2506:6 2697.3,16 2698:21 2700:1 2722:24 2723:4,10.12.15 2611:5.14 2616:22 2686:9 2556:8 2567:10.17.22 Cutler (9) 2705:10 2706:2 2700:19.23 2701:6.9.14 2723:23 2724:2,3.4.21 2687:9.24 2690:1.5.18 2568:5 2572:1 2574:3.21 2706:4,10,12 2716:2 2701.22 2725:8,11,13 2726:3,6,22 2574:24 2575:2,6 2577:15 2691:9.13 2692:17 2696:8 2717:18,23 2718:2 2729:21 2730:9,13 2696:9 diary [4] 2655:5 2657:12 2578:8 2582:19 2593:10 2731:14,19,21,23,24 2692:21 2697:19 2609:19 2612:5,13,15,16 demands (2) 2696:10 -D-2732:8.10.13 2733:4.5.8 2612:23 2613:5,8 2617:16 Dicky (1) 2623:14 2685:7 2697.2 2733:14.16,22,24 2734:7 2618:14 2619:22 2620:4 D (1) 2477:20 2479:1 department [14] 2478:2 2685 R 2734:12 2622:10 2625:3 2640:9 2504:9 dictating [1] 2655:1 2504:10 2512:21.23 2642:16 2643:2,17 doesn't [9] 2482:10 2513:13.14.24 2514:6.12 dama (1) 2501:8 dictionary (1) 2719:19 2646:13 2647:13 2652:1 2484:7,8 2490:22 2543:9 2545:15 2547:20 2608:17 date (9) 2484:6 2489:3 2545:17 2551:20 2552:2 Diego [1] 2642:6 2711:15 2623:3 2626:22 2689:13 2640:22 2693:23 2732:22 difference [2] 2481:17 disobedience [2] 2561:8 2570:5 2590:12 depo [3] 2551:14 2552:1 2493:4 2653:11,15 Doberny [45] 2528:18 2599:4 2690:14 2529:10.14 2530.22 different [13] 2510:6,8 disparaging (t) 2536:11 1 dated [5] 2589:6 2594:10 deposition (129) 2476:11 2531:8.12 2539:18.24 2511:14 2542:10,11 dispute [1] 2550:24 2513:24 2515:23.24 2648:6.7.10 2541:3.6 2554:6 2555:4 2546:19 2558:1 2607:24 disregarded [1] 2652:21 | 2516:2,9 2517:5,7 2519:4 dates [5] 2519:19 2578:9 2555:15.19.22 2559:15 2619:15 2631:20 2655:9 2519:8:19 2520:5:21 distinct (4) 2592:18,21 2559:18 2565:4.6.8.14.23 2582:18 2612:20 2633:12 2706:11 2725:17 2521:1 3 2524:18 19 21 2593:4.7 2566:14,21 2571:11 daughters (4) 2633:20 diligence [1] 2580:11 2525:17 2526:6.10.16.22 2596:17.22 2597:3,9.12 2633:22 2634:1.8 Division pt 2476:2 direct [1] 2698:4 2527:1.21.24 2528:4.7.21 2597:22 2598:3.9 2644:16 2478:2 David [1] 2477:11 2529:4.12 2530:17,24 2644:19 2645:3 2693:19 directly (2) 2657:21 document [44] 2480:9 2493:6 2495:13 2501:19 days (13) 2480:9 2521:19 2531:9.12 2533:7.9 2695:10,17,22 2696:3 2696:13 2521:20,20,21 2551:11 2538:20 2539:20,23 2697:10 2698:2.4.15 disagree [1] 2510:2 2501:20 2502:1,3,4 2585.1 2594:14 2641:11 2540:6,9,21 2542:1 Doheny's [7] 2539:18 discern (1) 2588:16,17 2564:22,24 2566:18 2702:5.6 2710:5.B 2545:8.15.20.21 2546:1 2554:11.18 2555:24 2580:3 2609:10 2650:21 2588-18 2547:17 2552:15 2554:2 daytimer [1] 2480:13 2565:15 2568:19 2598:12 2651:8 2652:1.5.8 2654:6 2556:9 2562:6.17 2574:22 disclosed [1] 2726:9 DC [2] 2477:19 2478:3 2685:12 2688:17 2689:24 domain (5) 2719.1.3 2577:7.24 2578:3.10 disconnect [1] 2712:10 2732:9.13 2734:2 dead no 2730:18 2689:24 2690:4 2693:17 2582:1 2587:6.8 2588:6 discover [2] 2615:13.18 2697:24 2698:7.10 domestic [1] 2531.23 deal (s) 2512:3 2579:8 2588:14 2593.13 2596.20 discovery [5] 2482:5,7 2482:8 2690:24 2691:10 2702:18.19 2704 11.12 2599:17 2616:13 2618:16 Don [3] 2525:20,21,22 2580:7 2581:1 2709:3 2704:15.21.22 2706:9.18 2619:8 2620:18 2621:22 DEANNA [1] 2735:21 done [5] 2580:11 2640:20 2674:18 2716:12 2731.11 2706:19.21 2709:20.24 discuss [sej 2530:13 2623:18 2624:2 2633:14 December psy 2486.9 2731:18 2732:16.22 2533:24 2534:5 2538:7 2639:1,13 2640:11 2641.2 2487:13 2505:10.22 doubt (3) 2600:12 documents (229) 2538:11 2539:7,14,17,23 2641:14 2642:1,4,12,20 2629:17 2692:24 2507 10,24 2508:19 2483:20 2485:16.20 2643:4.22 2646:17 2647:7 2543:17 2553:5,17 2564:4 2512:9.13.19 2513:6 down [soj 2518:21 2521:9 2486:2,4,5 2487:4,11,16 2647:16.18 2648:15.24 2565:3.5.11 2566:23 2514:14 2519:24 2649:18 2487:22 2490.7,10,14.14 2521:10.19 2522:2.16 2649:3 2650:1.5.13.15.17 2568:21 2569:1 2570:22 2649:21 2688:17.20 2571:11 2572:19.23 2492:14,19,21,24 2493:9 2524:22 2525:1,4.5 2651-14 2652-10 2657-24 2690:1,3.12 2691:2,3.4 2495:2.3.8.10 2501:12.15 2529:11.16.20 2538:3 2658:1.5.15.22 2659:8.16 2585:24 2586:8.13 2691 18.23 2694:3 2501.23 2502:15,20.21 2540:19 2542:2.19 2674:5.7.9.10 2686:10 2601:13.14.15.18 2615:15 2695:15 2700:11.16 2545:13 2548:10 2556:14 2503:11.14.19.21 2504:18 2687:14.24 2689:21 2635:1.7 2638:1.23 2702:3 2567:20 2568:1.12 2587:4 2504:19 2505:9.15,18.20 2690:20 2691:8.14 2694:21 2658:10.12 2699:24 decide [2] 2603:13.14 2506:5.7.13.16.17 2507:5 2587:6,8 2593:16,19 2701:20 2704:13 2705:20 2696:8.10.15 2697:4.5 2594:1 2598:17 2615:24 decided [3] 2603:9.14 2507:8.12,16 2508:2.5,9 2698:23 2700:9 2730:17 2705:23.24 2710:7 2508:17,20,21 2509:6.9 2618:20,23 2619:1 2631.6 2642-9 2735:4 2711:22,24 2725:9,11 2511:15.20.23 2512:6.14 2632:19 2633:10,19,21 2733:6.7 decision (5) 2603:21,23 depositions [2] 2547:17 2512:18 2513.3 2514:5 2634:7 2636:24 2637:4 discussed [14] 2518:22 2616:6.B 2640:19 2630:12 2641:8 2656:24 2658:9 2562:23 2635:1.5 2648:1 2535:7 2540:4 2564:8 2566:17 2567:2 2569:6,9 destroyed (3) 2578:23 decline (2) 2513:15 2648:5.24 2649:2.6.8 2687:13 2702:8 2704.20 2690:9,10 2514:12 2710:5 2717.10 2651:12.21 2652:9.13.22 2569-13 2585:22 2644:24 declined (i) 2514.7 details (2) 2620:13,15 2653:6.12.13.16.18 Dr [20] 2480:13,19 2658:18 2706:7.14.19 2658:8.13.19 2659:5.9 determination m declining [1] 2514:10 2496:13.15 2497:4.6 2726.19.22 2727:1 2685:20 2686:5.16 2580:23 2499:18 2500:19 2508 4 deemed [1] 2576:11 discusses (1) 2627:13 2687:17 2688:15 2689:15 2508:11 2509:24 2510.19 determine (1) 2643:13 defendant (5) 2476:9.12 2536:7 (تا **discussing** 2692:5.7.7.17.23 2693:20 2511:9 2551:4.6.7 2477 21 2517.19 2518:1 determined [1] 2643.21 2538:19,23 2565:13 2693:22 2694:9.16 2696:1 2580:12 2606:8 2627:9 defendants (1) 2517:15 determines [1] 2643:14 2566.21 2585:19 2706:1 2696:2 2698:15.22.24 2627:12.15 2628:1 2699:18 2700:16 2701:2 defense (s), 2534:17. determining (1) 2644:11 discussion (27) 2519:14 2717.10 2725:3.18 2702:7,10,13,16,20,21,22 2542.13.17 2603 10.15 2520:4 2538:17 2543:3 2726:16.20 2727:10 develop [2] 2522:10.15 2603.23 2629.24 2636.2 2544:10 2553.22 2556:4 2702.24 2703:4.4.7.11.17 duces [6] 2562:5 2612.1 developed (2) 2522:8.21 2567:5.13.14 2584:15 2704-8.11.16.24 2705-5

(B&W) PROTECTED BY MINNESOTA TOBACCO LITIGATION PROTECTIVE ORDER
Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand Multi-Page Multi-Page November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

VOLUME 15		Nov	vember 13, 1996, De	po of Jeffrey Wigand
2619:23 2623:18 2648:15 2697:4	evidence (2) 2566:11 2723:9	fact(12) 2483:18 2497:19 2517:23 2523:9 2529:5	1 _ - ' ' '	2506:10 2532:13 2534:18 2544:22 2569:20.23
due [1] 2580-10	evidencing [1] 2651:13	2539:22,23 2549:22	flew (1e) 2521:10,19 2548:10 2568:12,13	2572:6.10.11,14,17.20.24
during (13) 2485:2	exact(2) 2584:12 2645:1	2563:9 2658:13 2732:21	2587:4 2633:9 2636:24	2581:24 2587:22 2602.2
2503 23 2522:12 2599:6	exactly [14] 2484:12	2733.20	2637:4 2702:8	2629:18,19 2635:18
2618.13 2624:13 2625:4	2547:3 2548:3 2549:7	facts (2) 2543:7 2639:11	flight [1] 2633:17	2714:3,16.19
2625:15 2685:17,21	2577:14,20 2585:23	factual (6) 2543:11	flights [2] 2631:22.24	giving (10): 2545:14 2546:7 2559:2 2581:21
2686:10 2688:10 2730:3	2586:24 2587:15 2589:22 2602:14 2603:2 2707:15	2551:1 2566:3.11,11,13 fair (4) 2513:23 2520:14	flown [5] 2538:2 2631:3	2582:14 2614:5 2619:11
-E-	2724:8	2534:22 2650:1	2631:4.12.17	2630:10 2657:4 2701:9
	Exam(1) 2479:5	family [1] 2629:3	flux (1) 2482:9	goes (s) 2602:17 2603:4
E [4] 2477:4 2479:1,3,7	EXAMINATION III	far [5] 2510:11 2617:21	fly pj 2522:2,16 2596:19	2629:1.3 2693.22
carly [12] 2486:[0 2516:24 2517:2 256]:1	2483:13	2627:14 2636:15 2637:13	flying (4) 2568:1 2587:5 2587:8 2615:24	gone (2) 2578:24 2636:15
2577:19 2649:11 2707:11	example [2] 2644:17	FDA (18) 2490:20	focus (3) 2557:8 2571:14	good (1) 2702.9 governed (1) 2734:12
2707.11.12,13.16 2728:23	2656:23	2494:24 2495:7,14 2496:9 2504:23 2505:9,14,19	2692:15	governing (1) 2733.22
effect (14) 2531:9 2540:5	except [5] 2504:22 2505:9 2543:13 2609:22	2506:23 2508:2 2510:13	focusing [1] 2694:17	government [17] 2539:9
2540:19 2542:1 2553:5 2581 17:21 2586:8.14	2701:16	2510:16.17 2511:12	folks [1] 2509:12	2617:22 2638.5.22 2639.3
2587:10 2604:16 2612:24	exception (1) 2506:10	2512:15 2717:10 2725:6	following (2) 2651:13	2639:5.12.24 2640:13
2613:2 2620:17 2621:1	excluding [7] 2487:21	February (2) 2526:16	2690:19	2642:7.9 2643:14.21
2630:11	2513:14 2528:10,12,16	2718:11	foregoing (1) 2735:5	2644:2 2646:15,16 2647.2
effort (1) 2579:22	2631:21,23	Fed-Ex (1) 2483:18	forget (1) 2726:8	great [1] 2725:16
eight (2) 2551:11 2710:3	excuse [2] 2601:14	federal (14) 2492;2,5 2535;24 2538;12 2546:14	form (3) 2497:24 2502.7 2543:6	greatly (1) 2729:22 ground (1) 2635:19
either [4] 2520:19 2599:3	2626:16	2546:16 2582:21 2584:11	formal str 2611:5	guess (2) 2528:18
2650:6 2698:2.15 2729:14 elected (3) 2536:21	executed (1) 2715:5	2616:21.22 2621:18	forward (2) 2687:15	2573:18
2601.6 2619:12	execution (1) 2732:3	2647:11 2690:23 2691:17	2689:21	guise [1] 2629:20
eliminate(1) 2540:12	exhibit (1a) 2479:9.10.11	fell (1) 2525:23	found (2) 2481:4 2486:5	guys (1) 2659:12
employment [2]	2483:9 2561:23 2562:4	few (2) 2508:24 2707:8	four(s) 2510:12 2632:2.3	
2685:10.21	2562:19.22 2570:10	filed pj 2485:9 2515:13 2515:16 2627:20 2628:3	2709:18.22	-H
end (s) 2482:24 2526:12	2588:23 2589:1 2610:1.6 2610:7 2623:15.17 2624:3	9790 4 4 9713 13 14	Fourth (1) 2477-2	H[1] 2479:7
2603:8 2714:8,14	2647:24	files (14) 2481:4 2503:11	Frank (11) 2529:10	balf (1) 2630:4
ended [1] 2659:8	exist[2] 2688:2,6	2503:16 2504:2.4.6	2541:3,6 2554:6 2555:18 2565:4,5,8 2598:9	Hamlet (6) 2731:24
entered (5) 2519:23 2575:17 2716:2	existence [2] 2532:18	2580:22 2649:12,14,18 2650:9,12,16 2700:17	2644:16.19	2733:5,7.14,16 2734.12
entire [2] 2488:14 2498:3	2713:15	Finally[1] 2731:16	Fraud [1] 2478:2	happenstance (1)
entirely [1] 2495:5	existing (2) 2561:18	fine [17] 2499:9 2512:3	free (1) 2580:9	2617-19
entirety [4] 2480:15	expect [1] 2566:6	2580:24 2611:7 2652:20	frequently [1] 2635:17	hats (1) 2701.18 head (1) 2725:6
2497:11,13,23	expectation (1) 2626:20	2656:19 2714-5 2715:9	friend [s] 2522:3.6.17.18	bear(3) 2516:16 2517:6
entitled [4] 2603:15	expectations (1) 2566:9		2522:24	2642:24
2696.14 2712:7 2731:1	experience (1) 2654:11	finger (1) 2607:14	friends [1] 2523:8	heard run 2516:20,23
entries 2480-16	experiences [1] 2656:13	Ciminham acres 33	friendship [1] 2522:21	2517:3.4 2532.2.5
environmental (I) 2518:4	Expires (1) 2735:23	Attacked and according	full [1] 2735:6	2541:10 2549:20 2686:19 2689:11
	explain (4) 2653.11	2658:2	i furnish (2) 2690:19 : 2691:22	
episodes [1] 2521:21 essentially [1] 2551:12	2711:5 2720:1,5	fired (3) 2492-12 2508:18		hearing (1) 2599:6 held (1) 2543:3 2544:10
establish ₁₂₁ 2583:11	explained [1] 2487:10	2706:15	-G-	2584:14 2598:20.23
2635.23	explanation (n. 2612:8	first [54] 2483:5 2485:16	G[1] 2477:12	2606:2 2636:6 2641:3
established (s) 2543:14	explicit [1] 2502:2	2485:20 2487:2,5,20 2488:2 2489:9 2497:6	games (1) 2514:3	bello (t) 2647.5
2543:15 2550:22 2551:20		2507:18 2508:6 2511:24	Gardener 13, 2528-14-16	help [2] 2576:15 2605:3
2626:15 2700:5	express (6) 2480:18	7315(4/0 7310/35 3312/13	Gardner [1] 2477:18	HENGINGES [1] 24// b
Etheridge (11) 2486:6 2506.13 2507.7 2508.3	2483:19 2492:2,6 2607:4 2613:17	2520:3 2531:11 2532:22 2533:3 2536:24 2537:6	general (20) 2521-24	Henry [1] 2477:4
2508.23 2510:12.13	expressed (3) 2607:8,20	ACTO 12 ACAD A 3640 B	2537:8 2540:24 2567:3	hereby (1) 2735:3
2511:13.17.21 2512:5	2613:11	2548:12,15,16 2549:3.5.8	2578:15 2584:19 2601:17	1
ethical (1) 2633:1	extended [2] 2610:21	2549:24 2550:4 2552:8 2553:13 2556:13 2557:13	2620:2,3,9,9 2621.7,10 2621:14,15,21 2639:10	Hirm [2] 2541:15,16
ethics [1] 2509:16	2611:5	2559:6 2560:10 2564:7	2708:24 2709:12 2713:24	hold (2) 2543;2 2595;13
evaluating [1] 2502:5	extent [2] 2636:1 2708:4	2577:9,13 2583:3.8	generally [4] 2541:24	honestly [1] 2509:4
evening (5) 2552:13,14		2586:22 2587:20 2588:1 2588:13,19 2589:17	2578:13 2624:24 2625:12	honor(31) 2483:5 2510:5 2515:13 2534:9 2535:14
2582:17 2592:1,5	-F-	2591:4 2594:14 2614:10	generated (2) 2558:17	2541:6 2543:6,23 2551:23
event [2] 2520:12 2592:9	F[3] 2476:15 2735:2.16	2632:6 2724:1.9	2571:22 Georgia m. 2477:7	2558:21 2602:20 2603:1
events [3] 2566:11 2657:5	face [1] 2549:22	five [4] 2632:2,4 2709:18	Georgia (2) 2477:7 2478:8	2603.7.16 2621.9 2628.9 2629.9 2636:5 2659:14
Everybody [1] 2527 7	facing [1] 2629.3	2709:22	given (23) 2481:23	2674 20 2688 18 2690 22
		·	Be - Am (vs) + -01.52	

(B&W) PROTECTE	D BY MINNESOTA	TOBACCO LITIG	ATION PROTECT	IVE ORDER	
Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand		Multi-Page™ honored - manual			
VOLUME 15		Nov	rember 13, 1996, De	po of Jeffrey Wigand	
	intended [1] 2502:10	2573:9 2578:5 2589:10	2568:16 2657:19	length [2] 2725:16	
2712 6 2716:20 2730:16	intention (1) 2600:4	2645:22	knowledge (24) 2506:5	2730:17	
2730 23 2731:10 2734:14		Johnston (1) 2720:8	2506:19,21 2507:1 2516:4 2531:19 2532:1 2566:3	10thpr [16] 2481:1,5,6.12 2481:13.15.18 2547:19	
honored (t) 2716:14		journals (3) 2654:10	2575:2 2577:8 2608:7.9	2589:6,13 2609:9.13	
hooked (s) 2727:8.12.19 2727 21 2728:2.11 2729.4 (interesting (5) 2489:13	2656:13,18	260B:11 2609:17.18.21	2610:11 2622:16 2627:B	
2729-6	2707.17.22.27 2170.2	judge (19) 2519:11.20.22 1 2539:13 2558:19 2560:6	2622:24 2708:24 2709:10	2627:1B	
hopefully [1] 2636:11	Internet (s) 2527:3.5.7 2527:10 2528:1	2567:20 2595:6.8.11	2709:12 2711:3 2713:22 2714:1 2726:19	letters (6) 2647:14 2674:4	
horse (i) 2730:18	interpose [1] 2629:23	2597-15 2598-4.14 2599:1	knows (4) 2514:3	2674:6,13,15.16	
hours [1] 2709:18.22	interposing [1] 2605:17	2599:4,7 2600:17.21 2605:24	2529:24 2623:8 2690:22	liberty (1) 2512.22	
2710.3		judges (1) 2542:10		lie (1) 2688:3 life (1) 2520:24	
<u> </u>		July [1] 2552:1	-L-	limit (1) 2492:18	
<u>-i-</u>	interpretation (3)	June (6) 2486:21 2487:1	Lm 2477:11	Limited pp 2612:15	
i.e (1) 2723:9	2656.3 2657:5 2691:16	2488:2 2496:11 2720:4	label (13) 2480:21 2484:4	line (1) 2605.10	
idea (3) 2559:15 2598:24	introduced (1) 2646:3	2724:11	2484:9,11,15,19,21	list (4) 2501:14 2532:14	
2704.7	invasion (1) 2635.22	Justice [13] 2478:2	2488:7,12,16,18,23	2697;19 2698:14	
identification [4]	investigated (1)	2512:21.23 2513:13.14 2513:24 2514:6.13	2723:7	listed (2) 2531:17.21	
2483:11,16 2562:1 2589:3	2644:13 investigating (1)	2545:15 2547:20 2608:17	labeled [1] 2483:6	listen [2] 2558:24 2634:4	
III [1] 2477:8	2609:23	2640:22 2693:23	labels (2) 2480:19 2489:1	listening (2) 2509:21	
imagine [1] 2565:22 immediately [1]	investigative (6) 2570:2		language [1] 2584:13	2694:5	
2525-12	2570:14 2571:10.16.17	<u>-K-</u>	large (2) 2704:12.22 last (9) 2480:8 2481:20	litigation [23] 2523:19	
important [1] 2548-4	2608:16	keep (a) 2485:11 2486:13	2491:3 2516:21 2564:12	2561:7 2580:14,15,17,19 2632:21 2633.11 2634:12	
improper (1) 2534:11	involve (1) 2518:3	2487:9 2607:24 2654:1	2610:7 2720:6 2726:9	2634:16.18 2637:5.6.9.12	
impugn [1] 2580:10	involved [9] 2517:13	2721:17,22 2723:1	2730:19	2637:13 2690:5.6 2693:13	
impugning [1] 2580:8	2523:18 2554:13,19 2625:2 2630:8 2640:19	keeps (2) 2605:1 2731:2	late [2] 2516:24 2714:9	2712:12:16 2713:2 2715:23	
in-camera (1) 2629:14	2695:11 2713:2	Kentucky (35) 2476:19 2477:3,16 2536:5 2542:8	Laughter (1) 2604:22	litigative (1) 2713:12	
in-passing [1] 2523:23	involving pr 2523:15	2551:2 2561:16 2580:13	Laura [2] 2477:20 2480:6	living (1) 2591:12	
inability (n. 2726:10	2557:3	2587:2 2590:20,24 2591:1	law (1) 2628:5	location (i) 2644:11	
inappropriate [1]	issuance [14] 2519:11		lawsuit (2) 2627:15,24	log (2) 2579:20 2723:1	
2607.15	2548:9.14.17 2552:3.9 2556:6 2565:17 2567:8	2593:22 2596:14.24 2597:10.17 2599:21	lawsuits (1) 2509:23	logical [1] 2689:19	
Inc (1) 2502.12	2567:11.24 2568:6	2602:3 2606:11 2612:17	lawyer [6] 2540:3 2543:17 2629:1.4.4.5	longer (2) 2487.6 2578:2	
includes [4] 2512 20	2569:10 2572:3,7 2612:14	2626:14 2638:16 2690:5	lawyers (3) 2567:23	look (11) 2489:24 2511:2	
2524:2 2529:4 2598:9	issue [4] 2480:23 2579:24		2629:7 2638:2	2511:3 2547:22 2651:1	
including [4] 2513-10 2513-13-2541-4-2598:5	2622:1.6 2626:19 2635:12	4	Jayman (1) 2543:22	2655:10,20,24 2657:16	
inconsequential [1]	issued (9) 2542:7 2549:6 2550:7.13 2551:3.5	2725:21 2726:1	lead [1] 2640:10	2708:20,22	
2725:4	2604:7 2608:16 2613:3	Kessler (30) 2496:13.15	Leaf (11) 2493:15 2495:4	looked [5] 2498:2 2527:21 2548:19 2611:2	
indefinitely (1) 2526:18		2498:5 2499:10.11.18	2495:7,18 2496:5,16	2651:2	
indemnification [2]	2517:12 2521:15 2557:2	2500:19 2507:7 2508:3.4 2508:4.11.22 2510:12.18	2497;19 2498:5 2499:15 2500:17 2503:5	looking [4] 2537:18	
2576:19.20	2626:9.13 2627:4 2628:20	2510:19 2511:9.24	learn (5) 2532:22 2584.3	2605:2 2657:18 2709:17	
indicate (1) 2723:11	2629:12,20 2630:7	2717:10 2724:13,20.23	2599:11,14 2617:17	looks [1] 2493.16	
indicated (5) 2480.20	itself [4] 2488:22 2528:8 2646:11 2697:6	2725:3.18 2726:16.20	learned (2) 2716:3	lots (4) 2523:18 2628:24	
2482.4 2728:10 indicates [1] 2723:3		2727:10 2729:19 2730:1 2730:5	2734:10	2641:17 2719:23 Louisville [19] 2476:18	
indicia (2) 2493:10,14	-J-	Kessler's (1) 2497-4	least [6] 2485:7 2495.17 2519:2 2521:14 2654:18	2477.3.16 2570:16 2597.5	
influenced [1] 2594:22	J 151 2477:16 2703:3	kids (s) 2727:8.12.19	2519/2 2521/14 2654/16	2597:17 2632:10.15.17	
info-malos 2511.5	2709-20 2721:8 2729:20	2728:2.11 2729:6	leaner as 3535:12 3593:11	2633:6 2634:2 2637:18	

[J []] Z4 / /: 10 4 / V J · J
2709:20 2721:8 2729:20
Jack (1) 2511:9
Jackson [1] 2632:11
James (1) 2477:4
January [2] 2690:10
2700.23
JEFFERSON [1]
2476:1
Jeffrey [9] 2476:8.12
2500:23 2509:6 2559:6
2598:5 2616:9 2625:18
! 2735:4

Jim [1] 2509:5

Jimmye (1) 2478-4

John [6] 2477.20 2547 19

Kids [6] 2727:8.12.19 2728:2,11 2729:6 kind (2) 2493.18 2582:18 kinds (1) 2712:17 King [1] 2477.6 knew [24] 2499:23 2500:5 2536:24 2538:3 2544:9 2557:14 2559:7,19 2563:2 locway [3] 2603:16 2566:10.15 2577:9 2585:9 2592:11 2593:3 2595:20 2595:24 2611:9 2618:20 2618.23 2619:1,3,5 2622:11,14,19 Knopf (2) 2597:15 2598:14 Knopf's [1] 2598:4 knowing (3) 2482-7

2599:21

lcaving (s) 2591:24 2601:10 2606:5 2614:6

2614:18 2621:23

led [1] 2605:20

2635:18 2731:7

2596:14.15 2614:14

2619:19 2620:1,7 2691:6

legal (p) 2534:13 2543:8 2543:12.21 2544:1.3

2627:23 2628:6 2712:4

Leger [2] 2526:1.1

2633:6 2634:2 2637:18 leave [3] 2525;12 2592;11 2637-21 2639:1 2641-3 2641:15 2642:1.21 2643.5 lower [1] 2606:24 lunch [2] 2727.23 2731:5 Luncheon [1] 2734:18 left [10] 2592:5 2593:2,22 M [1] 2479:3 Macon [1] 2478:8 makes [1] 2481.16

Index Page 6

manual [11] 2493 16

2495:4,7.19 2496:5.16

2497:20 2498:5 2499:15 2500:18 2503:5

informal (1) 2611:6

2484.11 2490:15.16 2491.14 2518:9 2535:23

2635:7.8,8 2716:3.17.23 2717 7.9.14,17 2718:21

informed [3] 2583:13 2608.14 2646:1

initiation (3) 2599:17

information (21)

2722:5.7.8 2726.8

2645 19 2646:18

instance [1] 2629:7

instruct [1] 2636:17

instructed [1] 2598:13

instruction (1) 2598:4

(B&W) PROTECTED BY MINNESOTA TOBACCOLITEGATION PROTECTIVE ORDER
Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand Multi-Page March - notifying
VOLUME 15 November 13, 1996, Deno of leffrey Wigand

VOLUME 15		Nov	rember 13, 1996, De	po of Jeffrey Wigand
March [1] 2690:11	mean [24] 2504.24 2505:3	Mississippi (113)	2649:24 2650:4.13,17	2576:9 2578:4,6 2624:6
Margolin [54] 2540:13	2513:12 2515:21 2549:10 2549:11.22.23 2584:2	2515:5,11,14 2517:5,7,24 2525:9 2529:16 2537:1	2651:14 2674:11.12 2686:6.10 2687:15	2647:3 2712:1,2
2556:5,9,23 2560:2 2567:4,6,23 2568:6,19	2592:14 2604:3 2620:8	2538:3.9.24 2539:1,12,13	2689:20 2690:15 2691:6	namos (4) 2499:5 2540.12 1 2711:23 2712:7
2571:2.4.22 2572:1	2620:12 2645:13 2647:19	2540:19 2542:20 2545:9	2691:7,13.14 2696:4,9.11	national [2] 2576:24
2573:19.21 2574:3	2647:21 2685:14 2699:2	2545:10.11 2548:11	2697:3 2698:1,1.6.9,18	2577:2
2575:15.24 2576:1,10,12	2702:8 2711:4,7 2712:9 2720:11 2732:22	2551:8.18 2556:15 2560:6 2560:7 2561:7,18 2564:11		necessarily [3] 2544:3
2576:17 2577:16 2579:4 2579:13 2580:12 2581:8	meaning [2] 2510:18	2564:15 2567:8 2568:13	morning (15) 2480:1	2694:10 2732:22
2581:11,15 2582:3.4,13	2707:10	2572:12 2582:1,23 2584:4	2483:17 2545:21 2552:2	DECESSETY [1] 2641:19
2582:20 2583:21 2584:9	means [13] 2505:1 2511:1	2586:6 2587:5,21 2588:4	2557:21 2558:3,8 2582:9	neck (2) 2606:21,23
2584:16 2585:6,20 2586:1	2511:7 2513:21.21	2588:12 2589:16.24 2590:2 2591:19 2592:13	2582:15 2586:20 2595:18	need [7] 2489:11.12
2586:10 2587:18 2588:15 2588:19 2609:18 2613:9	2533:19 2604:20 2611:6	2592:15 2595:5.10.11	2596:18 2617;20 2640:6 2640:8	2499:17 2580:19 2612:6
2617:8 2619:5 2620:6	2631:13 2645:8.14 2674:10 2720:14	2596:14.20 2599:8,22	Morris (21) 2702:19	2638:19 2721:21
2621:11 2622:6 2624:15	meant [5] 2511:6 2549:21	2600:5,17,18,21,23	2706:18,19 2708:6 2709:9	needed [1] 2500:17
2624:18.19 2639:20	2579:10 2636:9 2709:2	2601:10 2605:24 2606:1 2606:3.6.1B 2607:9.11	2709:17 2715:2.13,17.22	negotiate (1) 2576:20
2648:12 2701:21.21	medical [2] 2485:6.15	2608:12.15.19 2610:17	2715:23 2717:21,22	negotiated (ii 2617:9
Margolin's (3) 2576:9 2579:23 2580:21	meet [14] 2548:8 2549:3	2611:11.18 2612:2	2718:2.9 2719:22 2720:7 2721:5 2731:18 2733:4	negotiating [1] 2624:13
Marie (1) 2481:1	2549:5.11.22 2550:1.5	2613:16 2614:6.18	2734:7	DEVET[49] 2481:8 2482.14 2482:18,20 2503:20
mark [3] 2483:6 2561:20	2598:6,15 2632:20	2615:10 2616:12 2617:11 2618:13.21.24 2619:2	Morris's (1) 2709:5	2508:5,11 2509:5 2512:17
2588.22	2633:10 2636:23 2637:3 2645:11,14.22		Most pr 2579:12	2518:12.15.18.21 2520:11
marked in 2479:8	meeting pag 2511:11	2621:23 2622:12,16,21	motion (2) 2534:15	2526:3 2528:6 2538:22
2483:10,15 2561:24	2639:19 2640:2,7 2645:4	2623:4 2632:11,19 2638:1	2636:3	2566:17 2575:20 2581:6 2608:8.9 2609:5.13.14.20
2562:4 2589.2 2609.24	2645:6,21 2646:7 2702:24	2638:3 2639:14 2640:4.8 2640:12,20 2641:21	Motley (38) 2515:15	2610:19.19.23 2611:2
2647:23	2730:4	2642:4.8.10.14 2644:8	2518:11,21 2520:7,10.14	2615:14 2618:17 2620:22
Market (1) 2477:15	memory (5) 2502:17	2646:21 2647:16 2687:14	2520:17,22 2521:2 2522:9 2522:14,20,22 2523:8.22	2620:24 2628:18 2631:5 2644:13.14.16 2658:19
Mariboro (2) 2501:17 2501:20	2537:18 2674:14 2730:6 2730:7	misspeak [1] 2482:1	2524:9 2702:5 2703:5.23	2658:21 2659:4.9 2687:7
Marvin (1) 2541:9	mention [2] 2541:3	misunderstand (1)	2704:14 2705:2 2706:9	2696:4 2706:7 2715:2
Massachusetts [1]	2635:4	2608:3	2706:22 2708:9,11,14,15 2708:17 2710:4,15,17	2716:12 2717:16
2477:19	mentioned [4] 2518:15	Mitch (1) 2511:10	2712:12.22 2713:1.18	bew [7] 2477:11.11
material [1] 2536:8	2518:18 2541:13 2555:19	Mitchell (1) 2511:9	2715:19,20 2718:8	2478:3 2583:12,16 2633:5 2634:1
materials [2] 2488:3	mentioning (1) 2524:3	mixing [1] 2507:11	Motley's [z] 2522:7	Newspapers [1] 2518:10
2490:4	met [13] 2522:14 2525:21	Mobley (2) 2481:23 2482:13	2707:3	next (1) 2588:23
matter(n) 2509:11.16	2548:12,15,16 2549:8.11 2552:8.12 2610:7 2634:13		mouth [1] 2515-22	nice (1) 2659:11
2538:18 2582:20 2619:6 2619:7 2627:5 2641:22	2634:17 2702:4	2636:4 2705:13	move (4) 2524:3 2558.20 2603:10 2730:24	nicotine [5] 2502:1.6.7.9
2690:24 2698:5.6	Michael (1) 2477:16	money [1] 2709:13	Ms [62] 2477:20 2478:4	2727;7
matters [24]: 2523:1,2	mid (3) 2707:11 2714:12	Moore [153] 2514:17.17	2480:7 2481:10.18 2482:1	night (1) 2558:5
2531:23 2633:10 2708:2	2714:13	2515:6.10.17 2316:1.10	2482:3.16.20.23 2484:18	NINE [1] 2476:2
2710:9.10.13.13.19.20.21 2710:23.24.24 2711:19	mid-1995 [1] 2714:15	2518:23.24 2520:5.23 2521:3 2523:6.15.21.24	2488:9 2509:8 2510:7 2513:8.18.22 2514:9	nobody (3) 2506.6
2712:3.4.15.17.24 2713:4	mid-1996 [s] 2517:1.2	2524:10.11.12,12,16	2520:13.20 2524:14	2518:11 2601:12
2713:5.6	2707:12,14,16 Middleton [2] 2476:17	2525:16 2529:17,23	2538:14 2549:10.20	none [1] 2602:23
maximum (1) 2632:2	2477:2	2530:5,24 2531:13,20 2533:6.9 2537:16,23	2550:3,7.12 2551:22	Notary 02 2715 27
may [40] 2486:21 2487:1	might [4] 2490:8,12	2538:9,20 2540:6.9.20.21	2580:1,9.18 2581:3 2592:3 2605:16 2609:24	Notary (1) 2735.22 notes (1) 2735-8
2488:2 2489:2 2491:7 2494:19 2496:10,10	2573:20 2731:4	2542:1,20 2544:24 2545:8	2610:4.18 2611:1 2612:6	Nothing [3] 2521:13
2506:9 2509:23 2510:2,9	Mike pj. 2524:12 2541.15	2545:13,21 2546:2 2547:7 2547,12,16 2551:8	2618:3 2619:14 2622:18	2642:15 2709 15
2518:3 2525:7 2526:7,12	2541:16	2552:10 2553.7.19 2554:1	2623:15 2631:11 2636:4 2643:9 2649:23 2650:7	notice [36] 2476:16
2530:9 2551.24 2553:11	Milliman pag 2477:4	2556:10.16 2557:2.7.11	2653:24 2654:3 2655:6	2536:14,20 2537:2 2558:5
2567 2 2569 6,9,13,16 2580 16,18,19 2582.3	2482:18 2486:7 2508:7 2509:1,1,3,4,5,13,19	2557:15.17.23 2558:7.14	2659:11 2690:4 2692:1.6	2572.6.9.11.14.16.20.24
2586:3,11,18 2588:8	2510:4 2609:3.8	2559:3.7.16 2560:3.4.16 2560:22 2561:6.12 2563:5	2692:13.19 2699:6,12.17	2581:22.24 2582:14 2586:19 2596:9.12.16
2590:14 2591:18 2615:7	mind (6) 2523:11 2578:6	2563.17 2564:2 2568:17	2705:12 2712:8 muddy fil 2712:9	2610:24 2611:2 2614:5
2619:16 2621:24.24 2622:5.6 2625:6.10.11	2594:6 2602:12 2656:14	2593:1.13.17 2594:5.11	muddy [1] 2/12/9 multiple [2] 2508:16	2618:17 2619:8.11.13
2644:21 2649:10,20	2657:6	2595:12,18 2598:18.20 2598:24 2599:17 2600:2	2719:10,12	2620:18 2624.2 2637.23 2657:24
2657:17 2692:22 2694.20	mine (1) 2652:23 minute (11) 2507:8	2598:24 2599:17 2000:2	must(1) 2708:2	poticed (2) 2516 11
2694:23 2695:11 2701.23 2711:1.1.2.2.10 2712:10	2549:19 2598:1 2602:6	2602:1,10 2604:6,17		2618:9
2724.12.22.23 2725:1.2	2609:12 2630:4 2649:15	2607:5,11 2608:7,13.20	-N-	notified [2] 2565:19
2725.24 2726:2.4 2732:12	2659-14-2686-13-272B-6	2611:11 2612:1 2613:3 2613:13 2614:16,22	N (3) 2479:1,3,3	2594:16
2733.11	2728:7	2616:18 2617:3 2618:1	N.W (2) 2477:19 2478:3	notify [3] 2529:15
may-have [1] 2702:2	2730:19 2645:18	2618-10.17.21 2619:9	DAIDE (12) 2498-23	2581:12 2588:13
May/June (1) 2724:12	4	2621.3.20,22 2623:18		notifying (1) 2572.2
(1) 1/24.12	misplaced [1] 2578:23	2630-12 2633:13 2649:3	2518:15,18 2526:1 2576:5	

BAW) PROTECTED BY MINNESOTA TOBACCO LIBIGATION PROTECTIVE ORDER
Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand
Williamson vs. Wigand
November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

2558.3.8 2559/8.9.12.17 2560:13.2 2600:23 2601:13.9 2600:23 2601:13.9 2600:23 2691:18 2705:11 participation (1) 2540:5	2709:9.17 2715:2.13.17 2715:21.23 2717:21.22 2718:2.9 2720:7 2721:5 2731:18 2733:4 2734:6 Phillip (1) 2719:21 phone (4) 2554:20
2480.2 2515:5.24 2524:13 2524 17 2529:22 2545:16 2550 8 2551.68.16 2552.19 2554:3 2556:7 2556:18 2557:15.21 2558.3.8 2559:8,9,12.17 2560:16.22 2561:2,3.12 2580:16.22 2561:2,3.12 2580:16.22 2561:2,3.12 2590:23 2601:20 2590:23 2691:18 2705:14 2590:23 2691:18 2705:14 2690:23 2691:18 2705:14 2690:23 2691:18 2705:14 2690:23 2691:18 2705:14 2690:23 2691:18 2705:14 2690:23 2691:18 2705:14 2590:20 2691:18 2705:14 2590:20 2691:18 2705:14 2590:20 2691:18 2705:14 2590:20 2691:18 2705:14	2718:2,9 2720:7 2721:5 2731:1B 2733:4 2734:6 Phillip (1) 2719:21
2524 17 2529:22 2545:16 2502:23 2505:8 2551.68,16 2559:8 2551.56.816 2559:8 2551.55.21 2556:18 2557:15.21 2558.3.8 2559:8,9,12.17 2560:16.22 2561:2,3.12 2500:23 2601:13.9 2500:23 2691:18 2705:41 participation [1] 2540:5	2718:2,9 2720:7 2721:5 2731:1B 2733:4 2734:6 Phillip (1) 2719:21
2550 8 2551:6.8,16 2552:19 2554:3 2556:7 2556:18 2557:15.21 2558:3.8 2559:8.9,12.17 2550:16.22 2561:2,3.12 2590:23 2601:13.9 2542:7 2546:16 2549:6 2551 3.16 2558:17 2560:6 2551 3.16 2558:17 2560:6 2551 3.16 2558:17 2560:6 2551 3.16 2558:17 2560:2 2597:15.20.23 2605:24 2590:23 2601:13.9 2560:23 2601:13.9 2542:7 2546:16 2549:6 2551 3.16 2558:17 2560:6 257:15.20.23 2605:24 2597:15.20.23 2605:24 2566:13 2566:13 2560:23 2601:13.9	2731:1B 2733:4 2734:6 Phillip (1) 2719:21
2550 8 2551:0.8.15 2552.19 2554:3 2556:7 2556:18 2557:15.21 2558.3.8 2559:8,9,12.17 2560:16.22 2561:2,3.12 250:23 2601:3.9 2592.13 2690:23 2605:24 2592.13 2690:23 2606:2 2616:12 2600:23 2601:3.9 2690:23 2691:18 2705:41 2690:23 2691:18 2705:41 2690:23 2691:18 2705:41 2690:23 2691:18 2705:41 2690:23 2691:18 2705:41 2690:23 2691:18 2705:41	Phillip (1) 2719.21
2556:18 2557:15.21	
2556:18 2557:15.21	
2558.3.8 2559:8,9,12,17 2500:13,18 2531:7 2606:2 2614:3 2616:12 2566:15 2500:16.22 2561:2,3,12 2600:23 2601:13.9 2690:23 2691:18 2703:11 participation (1) 2540:5	
2560:16.22 2561:2.3.12 2600:23 2601:1.3.9 2690:23 2691:18 2705:11 participation (1) 2540:5	
A STORY OF THE STO	2579:14 2591:15 2612:18
	physically (st. 2549:11
2562 9.17 2563:5.17 obligations (4) 2601:20 2707:18 2715.16 2733:21 particular (3) 2489:7	
2564 2 0566 12 2566 12 2564 2560 12 2774 C12	2549:22 2550:5 2591:13
2491:20 2492:8	2591:14
2568.7 2569-4.11,12,14 obviously (1) 2508:13 order's (1) 2540:18 Particularly (1) 2692:13	minh 2640-10 2666-12
- 1 258313.7 2389117 238814 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	Pickering (4) 2705:10
2580.6 18 24 2500.10 15 Occasions (2) 2522.14 4338.19 4360.7 4393.3 Danielle un accentant	2706:2,5.10
26291 2702.5	
2590 17.18 2391.20 Darts of 2527:6	pictured [1] 2617:14
2593 11 17 73 7594 10 IOCCUP (St. 2553)24 2508/8 1 = 241 4 421 4	minon m 2562 7 72
2594:11:2597:1.11.17 2384:20:2012:21:2043:7	piece (2) 2563.7.23
	place [19] 2566:4 2570:8
2378.0.10 2007.0 [00001180 [4] 2338.2	2612:17 2615:24 2617:19
2007 21 2010:311 2012:31 2300:12 2040:30 [TTTB	
1211-11 7497-70 7491-1 7497-70 7497-1 7497-70 7491-1 7497-70 749	2637:18.21 2638:1.3
1 2415 22 24 2414 2 19 DECEMPERED (4) 4237 47 2494 5 11 13 19 22 2617 1.4 2639 13.23	2639:13 2640:3.5 2642:1
259912	2642:4,6 2645:5 2702:2
2624.2 2632:7.13 2648:7 occurring [1] 2531:13 2637:20 2653:23 2690:8 pessing [7] 2482:12	2704:7 2731:8
2648:16 2649:11,20 October [7] 2571:8 2690:12 2521:6.8.8 2524:1	plain [1] 2551:1
201010 10 11111111111111111111111111111	
	Plaintiff [2] 2476:6
2659:3.7.8 2685:8 2686:5 2627:8 2628:3 2648:11 2493:14 past (1) 2513:23	2477:17
2401-71 7700-7 -55 0405 by 2444	— · · · · · · ·
[Os 1811 1 2002.10 Balch [4] 2502.13	plaintiffs [1] 2515:14
now [74] 2483:2 2488:12 2543:3 2544:10 2547:2 2569:20 2570:7 Patton [12] 2486:7	plane [2] 2631:13
	2632:24
1 7514 17 7517 A 74	2032.27
7508 773 751014	playing (2) 2514:2
Athermore rec 7617-6 7611/17 16 70 7517-4	2603:8
	1 '
2526:5 2528:11 2538:7 2705:16 2734:16 2735:12 Peachtree (1) 2477:7	Plaza (1) 2477:10
	-langed (1) 2645-22
	pleased (1) 2645:22
2552:19 2563 2 2565:3 office [16] 2532:14 outside [2] 2512:15 2552:6 2611:20 2628:18	plural (1) 2654:14
2568 4 2569:19 2570:22 2539:18 2541:7.8.14 2717:17 2636:18 2689:10 2710:24	
	point[11] 2487:7 2580:8
1 2424 25 2542.6 2542.1 4324:10:11:12 4333.44 Q4640:Brell 13234:10 2412:14 2413:17	2607:18 2659:21 2675:2
	2692:14,22 2694:23,24
1 2302.10 4300.3.17 1 3446.10 7408.10 13 1 PEDB E131 2319:13.20.42	
2587:10 2500:8 2504:8) ***********************************	2702:10 2714:5
(account account account (a) (a) (b) (b) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c	pointing [2] 2607:14
200.00 00.00 12 3525.5 Office (1) 2476:17	2730:21
2000.23 2010.7.13 2020.0	1 1
ADDAY, AND LITTLE CONTROL OF THE CON	portions [5] 2498:1
2647.22 2649.22 2650:9 2690:15 P.O [1] 2478:7 perhaps [1] 2528:1	2526:24 2527:2.24 2657:1
	1
Acres de academ Acros de la companya	positive [1] 2650:20
The state of the s	possession (12) 2487:12
2696:13 2697:8 2698:19 2499:11 2501:4 2503:23 packed (1) 2592:10 2571:15 2586:6 2606:9	
7699 19 74 7707:73	2488:13 2496:10,17
in a series of the series of t	2506:6,7.18 2511:3.4
2611-10	2574:19,19 2653:18
	possibility [26] 2560:24
2725:14 2726:2 2734:13 2586:5 2594:14 2597:19 Page [5] 2479:4 2497:7 1716:5 7718:27	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2592:18,21,23 2593:1.3.4
2545 12 2557 10 2554.6 2511.4 2514.0 2627.5	2593:8,9,21 2594:4,7,9
2343.12.2033(19.2034.0 2011.4.2014.5.2044.3 Dagos (5) 2480(10.2497.0 1.5714.54	2594:19 2595:17 2596:4
2656:4 2657:7.10.19 2627:21 2631:14.15 2497:14 2703:20 2704:21 777:31	2596:6 2600:1.2 2605:21
numbered (2) 2498:9,11 2632:19 2634:3 2636:4 paid (1) 2709:13 permitting (1) 2635:21	
Deliging 2707.11 2441.0 12 2655-10 12 Deliging 2707.13 Descension 2639-1.7.6	2614:24 2615:4.9,13.20
	2616:3
2086.19 2092.10.23	
-O- 2697:19 2702:18.24 painfully [1] 2725:17 personal [35] 2485:17	possible pr 2502:11
$ \bigcap_{i=1}^{n}\gamma_{i} \gamma_{i}$ = $ 2704:11,12,21,22,2703:13 $ (namer (s) 2490:1 2493:19 $ \gamma_{i} \gamma_{i} \gamma_{i}$ 2498.1 2572.16	2516:2 2547:24
3706-9 13 71 7711-17 1 4/03 33 3643 7 32 3604.01 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	postponed [1] 2526:19
o'clock [3] 2476:20 27019:1312 2712 2793:22 2303 7:23 2394.9 2522:13 20:24:24 2523:16	hearhoner (1) 7370/12
2480-3 2597-8 4214-9 papers (5) 2537.19 2625:19:24 2631:9	potential [7] 2534:17
	2546.22 2627:11.15.23
	2637:12 2713:12
2713.19 Pardon to 2498 9 2610 6 Pardon to 2498 9 2610 6	precedence (1) 2546:15
	preparing (2) 2599.21
2692-1	2618:13
Object [7] 2543:5 2563:19 2712.3.4.19.20.21 2713:1 2692:1 2710:9.10.13.18 2713:5.5	
Object [7] 2543:5 2563:19 2712.3.4.19.20.21 2713:1 2692:1 2710:9,10,13.16 2713:5.5 2719:7.2604:19.21 2710:9,10,13.16 2713:5.5 2710:9,10,13.16 2713:5.5	1 .
object [7] 2543:5 2563:19 2712.3.4.19.20.21 2713:1 2599.7 2604:19.21 opinion [1] 2709:2 Parke [1] 2477:10 personally [3] 2505:4	present (3): 2478:1
object [7] 2543:5 2563:19 2599.7 2604:19.21 2690:21 2730:20 object: [7] 2543:5 2563:19 2712.3.4.19.20.21 2713:1 opinion [1] 2709:2 opportunity [3] 2536:16 opportunity [3] 2536:16 opportunity [3] 2536:16 opportunity [3] 2536:16 opportunity [3] 2536:16	1 .
object [7] 2543:5 2563:19 2712.3.4.19.20.21 2713:1 2599:7 2604:19.21 opinion [1] 2709:2 opinion [1] 2709:2 opinion [1] 2709:2 opinion [1] 2536:16 objecting [1] 2635:17 2599:6 2632:23 part [1] 2477:14 part [1] 2489:3 2522:5 part [2] 2478:8	present (3): 2478:1 2511:11 2647:1
object [7] 2543:5 2563:19 2712:3.4 [19.20.1 2713:1 2713:1 2599.7 2604:19.21 2730:20 opinion [1] 2709:2 opini	present (s) 2478:1 2511:11 2647:1 president (i) 2727.6
object [7] 2543:5 2563:19 2599:7 2604:19.21 2690:21 2730:20 objecting [1] 2635:17 objecting [2] 2534:7 objecting [3] 2635:17 objecting [9] 2534:7 objecting [9] 2534:7 objecting [1] 2602:11 objecting [1] 2602:11 objecting [1] 2635:17 objecting [1] 2635:17 objecting [2] 2635:17 objecting [3] 2635:17 objecting [4] 2635:17 objecting [6] 2635:17 objecting [6] 2635:17 objecting [7] 2602:19 2538:19 2577:22 2645:9 2657:3 2695:2 2696:2 Objecting [7] 2638:19 2712:3.4.19:20.21 Objecting [8] 2636:16 Objecting [9] 2534:7 Objecting [9] 2636:17 Objecting	present (s) 2478:1 2511:11 2647:1 president (i) 2727.6
object [7] 2543:5 2563:19 2599:7 2604:19.21 2690:21 2730:20 objecting [1] 2635:17 objection [9] 2534:7 objection [9] 2534:7	present (s) 2478:1 2511:11 2647:1 president (i) 2727.6

B&W: PROTECTED BY MINNESOTA TOBACCO LITIGATION PROTECTIVE ORDER Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand Multi-Page Programmed presumed - respect November 13, 1996, Depo of Jeffrey Wigand

Brown & Williamso VOLUME 15	י בוי
presumed (2) 2579:10	pr
2650:5 pretty (31 2504:16	pr 2
2547.21 2708:2 prevent (a) 2535:16	2 D1
2536:5 prevented(s) 2535:18	2 2
previously (1) 2551:23	2 2
primarily[2] 2611:13 2611:15	Pr
primary (2) 2557:8 2600:4	Pu
principal (1) 2593 18	Pt
principally (2): 2624:16 2624:17	pu
Pritchard [2] 2499:3.7 Pritchard's [3] 2494:12	Pt 2
2499:2 2503:9	Pt 2
2636:8 2635:22	Pt.
privilege (4) 2481:12 2579:20 2636:9,13	ρt
privileged [4] 2623:1.3 2634:14 2643:6	Pt 2
pro [1] 2575:14	pτ
probability (1) 2615:1 problem (4) 2627.5.20	pt —
2628:9.10	-
problems (i) 2627:21 proceed (i) 2695:1	qı qı
proceeding (1) 2735:13	qı 2
proceedings (i) 2735:6 process (ii) 2537:15	2
2608:18 2609:20.21 2623:12.19 2624:9	qı
2693.12.2709:6.8,11 produce [41]. 2652:9.22	qu qu
2653:5,12,13,15 2657:23 2658:2,5 2659:4 2685:5,9	-
2686 4.15 2688:12 2689:3 2689:4.7.7.10.12.13.14.18	R
2689:23 2691:12 2693:17 2693:21 2694:9.13 2695:7	1 2
2695:9.18,23.24 2697:9 2697:11,13.14 2698:21	R
2723:3	R ₂
produced (17) 2480:14 2483:16:2301:19,21 2578:17.20:2581:5:2609:9	7
2578:17.20 2581:5 2609:9 2685:16.20,24 2690:2,7 2693:15 2697:21 2706:24	2
2693:15 2697:21 2706:24 2715:9	THE .
producing (4) 2693:20 2700:1 2701.14,21	1.0
production [4] 2580-4 2695:3,20 2697.17 2698:8	
2698:10	TE
Professional [4]	re 2
2476:15 2735:3,17,21 prohibited [1] 2718:20	
proof (2) 2728 16,18	2
proper (1) 2544-7 proprietary (3) 2500:2	re
2500:3.4	2

OVE [2] 2510:5 2723:14 novide (5) 2505:B 560:8 2704:3 2731:22 733:23 ovided [12] 2495:9 501:3 2505:6 2536:20 \$37:3 2576:5,B 2579:18 605:18 2649:11.13 704.2 rovidian [1] 2477:15 ablic (ej. 2719:1.3 732:9.13,16.21 2734:2 735:22 ublication [1] 2481:2 urpose (1) 2646:2 urposes (3) 2483:11 562-1 2589-3 ursuant (5) 2476:16 563:18 2571:16 2685:6 691:12 ursuc (1) 2586:4 urauing [1] 2692:21 at (5) 2506:16 2515:21 521:1 2579:19 2697:15 **atting** [1] 2505:18 uzzied (1) 2548.20 u**alify (1) 2729**:10 uestioning [1] 2584:3 uestions (9) 2537:4 2543:11 2607:24 2612:7 614:8,13 2635:12 730:21 2731:10 **uibble (1)** 2687:1 uickly [1] 2547:24 nite (2) 2543:18 2561:9

-R-[4] 2504:9 2703:3

2705:20 2709:20 2721:8 7779-20 .J [1] 2702:13 J.R (19) 2702:16.18 703:4.11,17 2704:8.10 704:15,23,24 2705:3,4 705:20.24 2706:8.14 1721:5.10 2726:3 .J.R. (1) 2724:24 **Lines** (1) 2603:18 inge (i) 2537.8 ther (4) 2535:2 2641:24 2642:3.5 fr) 2514:17 -served (1) 2583:12 ad (13) 2491:1.3 2527.11 2544:17 2563:12 2705:16 2712:10 2722:23 2604:24 2629:14 2634:4 1651:8 2654:9 2656:5 2723:2 2728:20 2732:14 2690:17 2722:3 recording [1] 2723:11 adily (1) 2719:9 records [5] 2485:6.7,15 2551:1 2697:15 ading pp. 2527:16 656:24 2657:3

ready [3] 2544:13 2550:17 2630:21 realization in 2556:20 realize (3) 2516:9 2518:24 2605:14 realized (n. 2490:16 2491:14 2537:22 2556:14 2728:19 really (9) 2527:22 2535:12 2547:23 2566:9 2629:22 2631:6 2641:22 2689:13 2693:5 TCRSOTI (20) 2489:5,6,7,8 2489:21 2491:18,20,22 2492:8 2534:18 2560:14 2560:21 2566:14 2574:10 2574:13 2580:21 2593:15 2593:18 2597:8 2611:17 2651:18 2652:24 2657:22 2687:22 2688:1 2689:20 2701:7 2730:3 reasons [1] 2699:24 receipts (3) 2492:1,3,6 receive (7) 2492:13 2563:10 2570:1,4 2719:23 2723:22 2732:24 received (20) 2483:20 2485:14 2492:18 2503:8 2504:1.4 2505:21 2506:8 2507:16 2508:17 2509:5 2514:16.22 2561:11.15 2561:17 2570:3 2654:12 2720:2,8.12 2724:2 2731:24 2732:2,4,12,14 2732:19.23 Roccss [3] 2550:16 2630:20 2734:18 recognize (2) 2518:24 2542:5 recollection (23) 2492:16 2496:7 2502:22 2503:12 2505:17 2539:21 2554:9,22 2555:1,5,8 2557:12 2568:3,23 2570:12 2579:2 2597:24 2641:4 2650:11 2652:6 2699:20 2700:3 2706:16 reconstituted (a) 2709:5 2709-R reconstitution or 2709·11 record (43) 2480:5 2515:9 2531.2 2541:3 2543:4 2544:11 2547:2 2548:23 2549:13.15.17 2550:19 2573:18 2607:17 2622:9 2623:8 2626:8,15 2627:5 2627:11 2628:14.19 2630:5.19.24 2636:7 2646:10 2655:12 2659:14 2659:18 2674:2,19 2685:2 2590:17 2696:24 2699:2

red (4) 2654:18 2656:15 2656:20 2685:15 redactions [1] 2480:14 Reed (U) 2547:20 2573:10 2577:16 2578:5 2589:10 2639:19 2640:2 2640:8 2645:5.6.11.15.22 2646:6,8,14,22 2647:6 refer (3) 2482:9 2612:9 referral (1) 2576:6 referred (4) 2515:10 2575:23 2576:2,5 referring (1) 2615:11 refresh [4] 2537:18 2570:12 2674:14 2699:19 refreshed [1] 2650:11 regard (n. 2603:17 regarded (z) 2500:6.7 regarding (3) 2710:19 2710:20,23 regards (a) 2547:9.11 Reggie (2) 2573:10 2577:16 Registered (4) 2476:15 2735-2.17.21 reissuance [1] 2577:6 2582:5 2590:11 relate [4] 2713:6.14.20 2713:21 related [5] 2485:8 2487:5 2627:4 2685:10 2726:18 relating (1) 2713:7 2719:2 relation (1) 2698:18 relationship (7) 2522:9 2522:11.15 2523:7 2626:18.21 2635:24 relative nm 2524.9 2535:23 2573:10 2575:6 2576:15.18 2578:19,22 2581:24 2592:15.17 2632:21 2633:11 2635:2 2635:5 2707:7 2712:20 relayed (†) 2599:12 releasing (1) 2535:22 relevant (1) 2595:2 refuctance [13] 2602:2 2604:13 2606:15.17 2607:3.4,8,10.20 2613:12 2613:18.23 2614:15 reluctant [2] 2604:10.12 relying (s) 2542:12,15 2542:17 2543:9.10 2544:4 2544:20 2545:3 remarks (1) 2536:11 remember [14] 2539:16 2540:14 2566:21 2576:3 2584:12 2598:2 2621:13 2625:12,13 2647:2 2724:16,19 2726:4,5.10 2727;11 2729:20 2730:8 renewal (1) 2600:11 repeat [2] 2643:1 2705:19 2601:19 2602:9 2604:6 rephrase (2) 2534:9 2604:16 2626:18 2629:12

report [11] 2481:22,22 2482:13.15.17.21 2501:17 2501:20 2703:13,19,24 reported [1] 2476:14 2481:3 2735:4 reporter [6] 2476:16 2491:4 2735:3.12.17.21 represent (s) 2528:13 2575:15 2576:14.18 2580:12 2623:4.7 2710:15 representations (1) 2628:11 represented [7] 2515:15 2599:2 2625:16.22 2626:5 2627:4 2696:4 representing [11] 2530-6 2575:14 2596:23 2622:12 2622:15,20 2626:2,23 2628:12 2630:6.9 2637:7 2646:15 represents (i) 2710:17 request [34] 2482:4.5.7.9 2482:11 2564:22 2565:1 2566:19 2569:10 2572:16 2573:15.24 2580:4 2642:20 2647:11 2648:1 2648:4 2650:22 2651:9 2652:2,5,8,14,22 2653:4 2653:8 2654:7.13 2655:20 2657:21 2687:24 2688:17 2692:2 2697:17.24 2699:7 requested (10) 2506:15 2564:1 2608:12 2648:23 2656:2.12 2685:5.9 2692:5.6 requesting (i) 2629:10 requests [4] 2482:8 2562:16.24 2697:5 require [2] 2535:15 2536-13 required to 2535:11 2561:4 2563:3 2594.10 2657:23 2658:4 requirement (i) 2628.5 requires [1] 2562.22 requiring (2), 2530:15 2570:14 rescheduled (#) 2575:7 2577:8 2578:1.16 25B1:18 2584:6.11 2639:23 2640:12 rescheduling [9] 2577:6 2577:10 2581:16 2582:6 2584:17 2588:6.14 2593:12.2617:18 resent (11 2509.20 resolve (2), 2581:1.4 resoived (1) 2480.11 respect (45) 2480:24 2523:6.14.20.22 2540:17 2542:19 2544:24 2545:7 2545:6.10.21 2547:7.8 2557.2 2572:1 2574:16 2579:23 2581:16.21 2582:5.13 2593:12

Index Page 9

2535:5

2734:17

B&W) PROTECTED BY MINNESOTA TOBACCO LITIGATION PROTECTIVE ORDER Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand Multi-Page respond single

VOLUME 15	u va. wiganu	No	vember 13, 1996, De	respond - single po of Jeffrey Wigand
2630:7 2637.5 2647:15	2710:3	2491:13,18,23,24	2675:3	2479:5 2481:24 2482:2
2648:14.19 2703:5.10	revisit(1) 2580:20	saving (t) 2491:21	second (6) 2507:19	2482:14,22 2483:1,14
2704.14 2707:18 2708:9	revoked [3] 2608:8.10	saw (15) 2496:13 2497:8	2543:2 2548:19 2550:1	2491:1 2509:10 2510:9
2708:15 2709:24 2710:12	2615:15	2508:5,8.11 2589:17	2632:12 2706:1B	2510:15 2513:15,20
2717:23 2718:17 2734:6	revolve [1] 2634:22	2590:1 2609:13,14,20	Section [1] 2478:2	2514:2 2515:12 2516:5
respond [10] 2582:22 2602:21 2606:1 2616:17	revolves [1] 2634:20	2686:20 2707:2 2715:2	secure [1] 2576:15	2520:9,16 2534:8 2535:4 2535:6,14 2541:5,11,18
2616:23 2648:10 2687:10	revolving (1) 2575:9	2715:19 2718:7	806 [35] 2497:2.3.4.6	2543:1,14 2544:2,8,12,17
2687:23 2688:1 2690:11		8898 [22] 2481:10 2483:24	2498:7 2503:7 2527:23	2549:7,24 2550:6,10,15
responded (5) 2546:16	Reynoids [11] 2702:13 2703:3 2709:21 2721:9	2484:2 2488:10 2513:12	2528:3 2550:21 2562:20	2552:5,18 255B:20
2687:7,20,21 2691:8	2722:24 2724:2,4 2726:22	2513:19 2524:1 2562:8 2570:17 2609:6,13,13	2562:21,24 2563:1	2561:20 2579:21 2580:2
responding (s) 2538:12	2729:21 2730:9,15	2615:23 2629:4 2637:22	2564:15 2570:13,20	2580:5,16,24 2581:7
2539:10 2647:10 2648:14	Richard [1] 2476:14	2685:12 2689:4.6,7,12,14	2589:7.10.23 2590:9 2602:14 2603:4 2608:2.5	2583:22 2588:22 2589:5 2594:24 2598:11 2602:19
2648.19	ride [1]: 2632:23	2727:6	2623:14 2624:8.9 2650:8	2503:24 2605:1.9 2607:16
response (a) 2600:5	right 1741 2483:2 2487:14	schedule (1) 2641:14	2655:21.24 2659:6 2685:4	2609:6,12,17 2610:2,14
2687:8 2689:24	2496:11,13 2502:18	scheduled [12] 2570:7	2707:5 2714:24 2728:4	2610:22 2611:7 2612:10
responsive [18] 2490:21	2503:5 2504:17 2505:11	2573:3 2582:23 2583:2.4	seck (2) 2580:21 2628:6	2615:3.6,12 2617:5
2547:24 2558:23 2562:23	2505:15 2507:3,21 2523:3	2638:16 2642:8,13,21	seeking (1) 2627:23	2623:2 2624:1 2626:10
2595:3.15 2649:9 2652:9	2538:7 2544:20 2545:22	2643:4 2646:6 2658:6	selected (i) 2697:17	2626:16 2627:17 2628:2 2628:8.22 2629:8.15
2652:13 2657:7,10,21 2658:14 2686:5 2692:9	2550:6 2552:11.17 2557:21 2558:9 2561:14	scheduling [1] 2647:15	self-evident (1) 2733:15	2630:1,22 2631:1.23
2692:23 2693:2 2711:10	2563:20 2569:22 2570:19	school [2] 2641:8,9	send [1] 2718:13	2633:8 2636:22 2640:1
rest[1] 2710:8	2582:18 2592:9 2603:5	scientific [1] 2709:1	SCDSC (1) 2536:10	2540:23 2643:16 2650:3
restate [1] 2544:15	2612:3.21 2617:20 2618:1	scientist (1) 2703:14	scat (9) 2481:1.14	2654:5 2674:3 2685:3
restrained (s) 2614:4	2623:11 2628:2 2629:8	scope (1) 2621:15	2503:14 2555:3 2720:8	2688:4.20 2689:22 2691:2 2691:5 2692:4.8.11.18.20
restraining pop 2532.23	2642:18 2644:10,12 2650:3 2652:20 2657:14	Scott [1] 2478:8	2721:8 2732:5.24 2733:2	2693:9 2694:18.21 2695:4
2535:13 2536:4 2540:4	2674:21 2685:18 2686:8	Scruggs (150) 2505:23	separate [2] 2497.14	2696:17.23 2699:1.23
2540:18 2542:7 2549:6	2687:4 2689:11 2692.4	2506:10 2510:14 2526:7	2712:24	2700:14 2705:14 2712:6
2551:3.16 2614:3	2692:19 2695:6 2697:13	2530:20 2531:1,4 2532:17	separated [2] 2497:11	2712:13 2729:17 2730:23
rests [1] 2616:8	2698:19 2699:15 2700:15	2534:6 2538:8,17.23	2497:24	2731:9.12 2734:14
result [2] 2581:3,4	2700:23 2701:3 2702:7	2539:7,15 2545:5,6,24 2546:5 2547:11 2548:8	series (1) 2706:23	short(1) 2585:1
resume (1) 2526-22	2702:10,13 2714:17 2715:6 2717:7 271B:3,18	2548:17 2549:4.5.8	SCTVC (1) 2609:1	shortly [8] 2504:20
resumed [2] 2527:18,20	2720:18 2723:17,18	2552:8.13.22 2553:9,22	served [59] 2533:15,18	2505:21 2506:8 2507:16 2583:10 2584:21 2588:11
resumes (2) 2659:22	2725:7,19 2726:17 2728:3	2554:8.14.18.23 2555:2.6	2533:19,21 2537:15,21	2646:18
2675:3	2728:22 2729:2.18 2732:3	2555:9.12.16,20,22.24	2538:4 2550:9,14 2551:5	show [15] 2496-22
resuming [4] 2519:18	2732:17	2556:5,23 2560:2 2564:5	2551:15 2552:20 2561:1	2497:24 2507:4 2509:9
2526:6,10,16	rights (1) 2643:3	2564:8,36,17 2565:19.24 2567:3,11,23 2568:6,19	2562:8,13 2564:11,14 2567:16 2568:1,8,12,14	2512:14 2562:3 2570:11
resumption (15) 2519:8	Road [1] 2478:7	2569:7,14 2571:5.6.9	2569:12 2570:19 2582:7	2588:1 2659:13 2674:17
2519 18 2520:4 2574:22	Rockefeller (1) 2477:10	2584:10.16 2585:7,20	2583:16 2585:8 2586:7	2699:6,13 2722:20 2728:1
2575.3 2578:10 2586:9	role (1) 2629:19	2586:1.10 2588:5.15	2586:18.23 2587:1.9.12	2733:10
2586.15.18.23 2590:12	roughly (3) 2551:12	2589:9 2590:7,8,10,10,24	2587:14,16 2593:13	showed (11) 2495:14 2501:8 2510:22 2511:16
2591.6.2617:10.2624:14 2625:5	2589:19,20	2591:5,15 2593:10 2601:15,16,19,24 2602:4	2594:9 2600:3,7 2609:2 2609:16,16,21 2610:10	2511:20.24 2587:23
retain (4) 2481:8,14	routinely [1] 2555:16	2602:8 2604:5.13.15	2610:11,13 2615:21,22	2588:3 2610:8 2733:11
2575.10 2576:12	ruled p; 2602:18 2603:16		2616:18 2617:15 2621:2	2733:17
retained (a) 2571:7	rules (2) 2509:17 2635:20	2607:4,21 2609:14	2647:23 2648:15 2650:22	showing (2) 2511.1
2576:17	TUR [1] 2543:20	2612:13 2613:6.12	: 2652:8 2659:2,7 2685:6 : 2688:16	2699:14
retainer (2) 2623:9	Runfola (3) 2476:15	2615:16 2617.8 2618:14 2619:4.23 2620:6.17)	shown [12] 2508.1.16
2627:2	2735:2.16	2621:11 2622:3.6.11.15	SCIVET [1] 2624:9	2510:10 2511:1,6,7
return [6] 2501:12	running (1) 2630:1	2622:20 2623:5.12,14.19	server's [1] 2623:13	2512:5,17 2513:2 2699:12 2705:23 2722:16
2623:13.19,20 2624:8		2624:4,13,18 2625:5.16	*SETVICE (11) 2608:18 i= 2609:4.5.20 2610:15	
2685:7	-S-	2625:19.22 2627:9	2611:9.24 2623:13.20	shy [1] 2580:10 sidebar[1] 2544:19
Reutlinger (2) 2476:17	S (m. 2476:8,12 2477:4,20	2628:11 2629:19 2630:6 2632:20.22 2634.13.17	2524:8 26B5:7	
2477:2	2478:4 2479:7 2735:5.21	2636.24 2637:3 2639:21	Session [1] 2480:1	sides [1] 2626:20
reveal (2) 27(6:17 2717.1	sailing (1) 2520:18	2648:12.13 2649:11.14	set [3] 2511:14 2627:10	sign [2] 2498.23 2707:4
revealing (1) 2718:21	San (a) 2642:6	2649:18 2650:12,17	2696:1	signature (1) 2623:13
Revell (1) 2476:14	Candafuras 2276.11	2658:11.12 2685:7.8	sets [3] 2702:21,22.23	signed (9) 2498:14,17,20 2498:22 2499:1,3,7
TEVIEW [17] 2703:7.12.18	2727:3,14,18 2728:1	2687:8 2693:10.16 2694:13 2695:8 2697:8	setting [2] 2718:6.6	2498:22 2499:1.3.7 2623:19 2707:6
2703:24 2704:15,21 2707:18 2708:18 2709:9	sat [4] 2518:21 2631:6	2698:5.19 2700:2.6.16	seven (3) 2521:21 2710:5	significant (n 2708:2
2710:6 2715:8.13 2721:23	1 4455.4.1	2701:3,9,10,16,17	2710:B	2718:4
2722:2 2731:14,18,23	SEVE [10] 2484:21,23	Scruggs's [5] 2624:6	several (s) 2480:9,11	signing (1) 2707:17
reviewed [7] 2481:4	2488:17 2489:9 2491:13	2627:18 2631:1,5.9	2497:8 2541:14 2688:19	similar (1) 2497:19
2564:24 2703:13 2704:24	2492:1.9.10 2723:6,9	seal pr 2659:19 2674:18	Shea (s) 2477:18 2528:13	simple (4) 2595:6.13
2705:4 2706:21 2708:5	saved[11] 2488:19,21,23	2699:2	2528:16	2600:19 2614:13
reviewing [2] 2709:20	2489:4,17 2490:4 2491:11	scaled [3] 2659:15.22	Sheffler [119] 2477:12	single [1] 2508:16
				Index Page 10

(B&W) PROTECTED BY MINNESOTA TOBACCO LITIGATION PROTECTIVE ORDER
Brown & Williamson vs. Wigand Multi-Page Multi-Page Stating - tremendous
VOLUME 15

VOLUME 15	2 vs. v. gene	Nov	vember 13, 1996, De	po of Jeffrey Wigand
sitting [4] 2501:4	statute (1) 2584:12	suggests (1) 2563:8	2556:15 2557:1.14,23	2507:17 2508:8,22
2509 20 2631:7 2656:24	stay [4] 2549:19.19	2591:17	2558:19 2559:7.16	2521:19 2522:13 2653:19
Bix (t) 2726:12	2634:10 2691:10	suit [6] 2628:6,12,15,17	2560:16.22 2561:6 2563:4 2563:17 2564:1 2568:17	2674:4,6.12,15 2702:5.6 2704:9 2709:18 2711:18
\$12E (1) 2507:17	stenotypy [1] 2735:8	2634:19.20 Spring as 2477 as	2569:11 2570:15 2575:4	2712:14
slip (2) 2483:18.19	step (1) 2586:5	Suite (1) 2477:14	2577:3 2592:22 2593:16	threw (10) 2485:12
slips (1) 2578:6	Stephen (1) 2714:5	summary (t) 2723:10	2593:19,21 2594:1.4	2486:1,3,14,16,17 2487:2
smoke (2) 2502:8 2518:4	stick [1] 2710:22	supplied [1] 2504:19	2595:6,12,17 2598:18 2599:8,9,14 2600:1,7,9	2487:14.19 2488:1.22,24
Smuff[1] 2728:11	still [12] 2484:14.15	supposed (2) 2651:12 2686:3	2600:18,22,24 2601:3,5,7	2491:12 2721:19 2722:6 2722:7,18,20
somcone [1] 2716:16	2549:12,14 2599:20 2611:22 2639:15 2653:17	supposedly (1) 2623:5	2601:9,22 2602:1 2604:6	through [27] 2497:18.21
someplace (1) 2642:13	2655:13 2657:15 2658:2	sustain (1) 2636:20	2604:10 2605:21 2606:15	2509:18 2510:23 2585:18
sometime [14] 2483:20	2721:13	Sustained m 2558:22	2607:5,11 2608:6 2611:14 2613:13,18 2614:6 2616:6	2605-57 2617-17 2602-10
2515:16 2319:24 2556:17 2559:10 2561:1 2583:9	Stoic (2) 2502:12.13	switch (1) 2654:3	2618:1 2720:6 2728:24	2092:23 2093:14 2097:4
2584.22 2599:16 2632:7	stolen (1) 2654:14	switched [1] 2696:13	testifying (17) 2542:20	2597:5.20 2699:8 2723.24 2732:1
2690:10 2695:14 2702:3	stop [1] 2630:16	SWILLIEU [1] 2070:13	2544:23,23 2546:11	throw (2) 2486:19
2714:9	stopped [1] 2690:24	-T-	2557:5 2558:12,14	2540:11
Sometimes [1] 2492:4	Stow [1] 2502:11	<u> </u>	2592:12,14,19 2593:5 2614:4,16,22 2615:10	thrown (4) 2485:3,5,7
SOFFY (18) 2482:17	straight [3] 2522:24	T (2) 2479:3.7	2616:9 2625:16	2489:2
2524 15 2541:17 2567:19 2570:18 2578:5 2590:22	2617:13 2685:5	tabbed[i] 2480:16	testimony [44] 2495:1	thumbed (2) 2497:18.21
2606:8 2610:6 2622:17	straightforward (3)	table [5]: 2514:1-2516:R 2521:15-2534:15-2689:17	2495:17 2505:11.13	times (11) 2526:21
2637:2 2653:24 2690:3	2595:7,14 2600:19	tape (1) 2630:17	2507:20 2510:1 2515:4	2545:12 2575:23 2631:2
2699:11 2712:B 2714:10	Street [2] 2477:7,15	technical (2) 2708:23,24	2525:13 2527:15 2528:8 2528:23.24 2529:7 2530:5	2631:4,17 2632:2,4 2688:19 2711:18 2712:14
2728.15 2729:16	strictly (1) 2712:23	Technology (2) 2502:12	2536:1 2538:9.24 2540:16	tired [1] 2699:11
source (2) 2720:18.22	strike [7] 2532:21 2534:3 2558:20 2637:16 2708:3	2502:13	2546:6,23 2547:12,13	tobacco [18] 2476:5
Sources (1) 2719:10	2715.16 2717:23	tecum (5) 2562:5 2612:1	2551:7 2552:10 2553:6	2478:6 2518:4 2521:14
South [2] 2477:2 2521:9	strikes [1] 2636:18	2619:23 2623:18 2648:15	2556:7,10 2557:17,19 2558:1,7,21 2559:2,3.11	2521:17,22,23 2522:1
Spalding [1] 2477:6	struggling (1) 2603:19	tecums (t) 2697:4	2560:1.4.4.8.10.18 2568:4	2523:1 2531:22,24 2557:3
speak (2): 2525:16 - 2646:10	stuff [2] 2485:24 2509:21	telephone (1) 2553:1	2574:12 2582:11 2590:13	2709:6.11 2710:19,20,23 2720:21
Special (1) 2476:13	submit (1) 2623 3	2579:6 2647:13	2594:11,18 2600:16	today [7] 2516:17.18
specific (22) 2521:13	subpoena (76) 2514:16	telling [2] 2487:9	2602:9 2607:2.6 2616:2.4 2618:4,5 2629:21 2652:21	2540:16 2582:12 2628:11
2529 8 2537:7 2540:14	2514:20,23 2531:9 2536:1	2518.20	2655:7 2659:21 2675:2	2686:18,20
2540:22 2541:22 2568:23	2536:14 2537:16,22	teils [1] 2616:1	2687:6 2699:3 2700:21	Todd (4) 2541.4,6,8
2569:2 2578:14 2585:17	2538:4 2539:8 2546:15 2551:18:20 2552:3 2561:1	temporary (*) 2532:23 2535:13 2536:4 2540:4	2700:22 2727:17,20	2555:16
2620:5.9.10 2624:22 2625:13 2639:22 2693:6	2561:4.12,19 2562:5.15	2540:18 2542:7 2549:5	thank (4) 2483:3 2541:5	together (2) 2522:4
2694:11 2701:7 2703:B	2563:3.4.18 2564.4.8,11	2551:3 2614:3	2544:9 2612:10	2697:16
2713:8.22	2564:15.19 2565:4.17	tend (4) 2492.9.10	Theoretically [1]	Tom (5) 2573:10 2577:17 2578:1
specifically (11) 2521:7	2566:15 2567:8.12.18.24 2568:2,7,11,17.22	2521:13,16	therefore [2] 2606:13	Tommy (4) 2727.3,14,18
2525:3 2533:10 2565:14	2569:10 2600:3.6 2601:14	tension [3] 2603:1.6,18	2636:16	2728:1
2566:20 2569;5 2578;12 2586:12 2600:14 2618;22	2601:16.18 2604:17	tenure (2) 2503:23	thereto (1) 2647:24	too pp 2536:19 2541:10
2625:7.8 2631:8 2633:19	2606:13 2608:6.8 2609.14		Thereupon (3) 2483:9	2606:24 2607:1 2618:1
2645:12 2692:11 2694:12	2610:20 2612:1 2613:2 2615:16.22 2616:1.17.22	terminated (i) 2705:6	2561:23 2589.1	2625:17 2627:14 2732:8 2732:19
2700:13 2701:24 2703-13	2617:2 2619:23 2621:2	termination [6] 2483.21 2494:8 2504:20 2505:22	thinking (3) 2597:13	took (s) 2546:15 2566:4
2708:19 2725:2 2726:5 2726:14,15,24 2727,2,5	1 2621:18,22 2623:17	2507:17 2715:18	2605:4,6	2640:2.5 2641:9,12
2728:10 2730:2 2733:5	. 2647.22 2648:15.20 / 2640-0 2650-10 72 2685-6	terms [10] 2519:15	third (9) 2483:22.23 2484:21 2488:4.5,6	2704:7 2720:11
specifics (1) 2621:9	2649:9 2650:10.23 2685:6 2687:8.23 2697:4 2698:1	2521:24 2567:3 2601:17	2507:20 2511:21 2512:14	top (11) 2484:19,21
spend (2) 2522:4 2709:20	subpocuacd (4) 2515:18	2603:2 2693:20 2698:7 2698:10 2716:1 2730:17	Thomas [3] 2476:14	2488:12,16,17,23 2489:4
spent (a) 2709:16 2710:2	2516:7 2530:16 2614:17	testified (36) 2480:19	2735:2.16	2490:5 2491:11,13 2723:6
2710-5	subsequent [2] 2542:24	2485:23 2486:19 2488:11	Thompson [14] 2480:24	tops (1) 2489:10
stack [1] 2654:2	2700:7	2492:15 2499:24 2505:8	2481:5 2528:18 2541:4.7	total [1] 2695:3
stand [1] 2728.13	subsequently (2)	2508:8 2515:19 2520:17	2541:8 2555:16 2693:19 2695:11.17.22 2696:3	totally [1] 2706:11
Start (4) 2496-4 2608:5	2539:11 2584:9	2525:8 2538:6 2564:12 2576:1 2607:19 2610:10	2697:12 2698:2.3.16	touch (s) 2580:15.16.18
2611:16 2635:17	substance (1) 2481:11	2611:12 2625:21 2646:4	Thompson's (1) 2481:4	tower (3) 2476:18 2477:3 2484:4
started (2) 2486:12 2655:1	substantive (4) 2524:4 2524:8 2525:18 2526:9	2649:17,24 2656:23	thought [15] 2490:8.32	transcript [4] 2659.23
State (6) 2509:5 2622:12	such (*) 2480:21 2481:5	2685:19 2691:5 2699:17	2541:8 2548:19 2549:21	2675:4 2735:6,7
2622:15.20 2623:4	2481:6 2482:4 2500:6	2702:12 2707:13 2718:8 2725:14 2729:5	2575:12 2595:16 2596:3	transom [10] 2706:24
2735:22	2566:3 2626:21 2722:24	testify (73) 2500:4	2600:22 2614:24 2615:1 2615:4,19 2616:3 2656:11	2719:15,16,21,24 2720:3
statement [4] 2481:22	2735:6	2514:16.20,24 2518:17	three [26] 2492:14,17,20	2720:9 2732:8 2733:3,12
	Lamped co., 2577.2 2627.12			transpired (2) 2604:3
2509:20 2510:5 2728 17	sued (2) 2577:3 2627:12	2519:1 2526:13 2529:11	2502 ;19,20 2503:1	
2509:20 2510:5 2728 17 States [1] 2539:9	suggest (1) 2482:6	2519:1 2526:13 2529:11 2529:16:23 2537:1,23 2540:20 2542:2 2553:18	2502:19,20 2503:1 2504:21,22 2505:15.18	2639 11 tremendous [1] 2726.7

(BEN') PROTECTIVE ORDER WINNESOTA TOBACCOLLINGATION PROTECTIVE ORDER GEOGRAP - Zip

OLUME 15			ember 13, 1996, De	
renching [1] 2636:15	2652:11 2654:19.21	2656:1,5,6,18.22	2699:17 2705:12 2712:8	2532:15 2535:17,22
zick (t) 2506:11	2657:11 2686:7 2694:4 2701:1,4 2703:22 2710:11	videotaped(i) 2476:11	West(1) 2477:15	2536:7 2538:16 2541:15 2543:16 2551:17 2552:12
rip (t) 2534:0	2715:7 2717:8 2718:12	viewed (2) 2500:1	WG03455 [7] 2480:22	2352:16 2561:14,17
RO [95] 2531:8 2532:18	2720:10 2721:3	2627:22	2483:7,17	7542 37 2470.17 2462.14
2532.20.23 2533:1,4,12	unclearin 2513:11	views (1) 2789:8	Whon [2] 2509:12 2602:7	2583:18,21 2584:5,8
2533.24 2534:6 2535:8	2529:1 2607:18,22 2608:3	violated [t] 2534:13	whole(4) 2488:10	2585:13 2605:6,14
2535:11,18 2538:10	under (9) 2509:14.16	violating (2) 2539:3	2614:23 2697:19 2724:6	2614:20 2616:20 2617:4
2539:2,4 2541:24 2545:7	2535:24 2635:18 2657:23	2542:6	Wigand (197) 2476:5,12	2619:16 2621:8 2626:6
2546:3,12,22 2547:9,11 2547:12 2548:9,14,17	2659:19 2674:18 2699:2	violation pg 2534:5,23	2480:19 2483:9,15 2484:2	2632:1.10.17 2633:5
2549:9 2550:7 2551:2	2715:24	2535:2,8,1 i 2538:10	2484:20 2509:6.24	2636:10 2639:10.18 2640:18 2643:11 2653:21
2552:9.20.22 2553:5.10	understand (44) 2486:8	2539:1 2546:2,11,21,22	2515:18 2547:1,22	2655:15 2689:19 2693:6
2553:14.16.18 2554:14	2486:11 2495:1 2499:14	2553:18	2548:22 2551:5,6,7 2552:7 2559:6 2561:23	2695:2 2696:20.24
2554:24 2555:3,7,10,13	2505:7,13 2506:12	vis-s-vis (1) 2579:14	2562:4 2580:12 2589:1	2698:17 2699:10,21
2555:19,20 2556:5	2508:14 2511:7 2515:3	volume [4] 2476:23	2598:5 2606:8 2608:2	2700:8.12
2561:19 2568:8,13,21	2527:19 2534:15 2542:9	2659:23 2675:4 2685:15	2616:9 2617:24 2625:18	witness's [1] 2515:22
2569:11 2571:15 2581:9	2543:15 2546:9,18	voluntarily [1] 2716:18	2627:9,12,15 2628:1	witnessed [1] 2566:12
2581:11,13,17,21 2582:6	2550:22 2551:2,15	voluntary (1) 2600:16	2629:10 2631:2 2649:16	Woody (1) 2532:6
2585:4,8.9,14,18,20 2586:1,7,8,14 2602:3,9	2557:18 2560:1 2563:14 2563:21,22,24 2566:8	V8 (1) 2476:7	2705:15 2735:5	
2604:6,16 2606:13 2607:5		VB (t 24/0./	Wigand's (1) 2480:13	word [6] 2518:12 2534.7 2636:12 2723:17.20
2607:20 2612:13.14	2617:6 2629:10 2640:24	<u> </u>	2628:10	2729:3
2613:1,2,14,18 2614:17	2645:10 2647:12 2648:22		wild p. 2728:17	
2615:7.8,16 2617:15	2650:7 2651:11 2652:7	W [12] 2484:2 2486:1	willful [2] 2653:11,14	worded (1) 2602:15
2619:24 2620:14.17	2653:4.8 2656:11 2700:22		willfully [1] 2652:21	words [4] 2515:22 2603 2625:10 2652:23
2621:1,22 2629:21	2725:10.12	2571:20 2654:11 2656:14	William [1] 2477:8	
2630:11	understands (i) 2629:16			worth (1) 2543:19
rouble [1] 2614.21	understood (m 2485:13	wait;73 2507:8 2598:1	Williamson [70] 2476:4	wrap [1] 2547:23
True (19) 2608.24 2618:11	2535:18 2536:19 2577:24	2602:6 2609:12 2728:6.7	2476:18 2477:3 2478:6 2484:4 2485:20 2486:4.5	writing (1) 2647:14
2618:19 2686:11 2687:22	2638:8.10 2652:10	2732:11	2487:6,12,16.22 2490:6	written (14) 2481:13,14
2688:5 2726:12 2729:1.7	26B5:14 2686:3	waiting (1) 2605:2	2490:17 2491:6,16	2481:15,19 2482:15,17
2729:9.11.14 2735:7	United pr 2539:9	waiver(1) 2635:14	2492:13.19.21.24 2500:1	2482:21 2532:10 2536:1
truth(i) 2729:1	unless (1) 2604:21	waivers (1) 2623:9	2500:5 2502:5 2503:24	2579:7.8.11 2704:3
try [η 2524:3 2547:23	unlike (1) 2580:22	Wallace [1] 2477:11	2508:1,17 2517:19,24	2708:13
2548:5 2571:14 2608:4	unrepresented (1)	Walter [1] 2526:1	2535:24 2536:8,15,16,21	wrong [3] 2606:20
2611 22 2724:13	2648:21		2537:3 2551:4 2558:5.18	2729:16.17
trying (4) 2513:18 2516:6	1 11 1	wants (4) 2727:7,12.19 2728:2	2572:2.6,21 2575:17	WTOte (1) 2481:8 2499:5
2539 6 2594 20 2615:13	Autonoman (c) 52(2)()		2577:4 2581:22.23	2567:19
2615:17	up ps. 2480:10,11 2485:2		2582:14 2594:15 2595:8 2595:21.24 2596:6.10.13	
turned (4) 2485:22	2486:24 2541:2 2547:23	Washington (2) 2477:19	2597:2.11.16 2598:15	-X-
2486:4 2487:8,11 2508:6	2549:1 2596:23 2603:8 2605:13 2610:1 2623:5	2478:3	2599:1,5 2614:5,7 2615:7	X (1) 2479:1.3,7
2508:10	2690:24 2700:23 2725:14	wasting (1) 2487:9	2619:11,12 2625:18	Xerox (3) 2493:5 2494:
two [38] 2480:8 2484:22	2731:6	ways (2) 2543:23 2608:1	2627:13,16.24 2634:23	2507:17
2485:16,20 2486:6 2487:2	up-to-date (1) 2483:3	wears (1) 2701:17	2635:2,5,9 2653:20	2307:17
2487.5.15,20 2488:3,24		Weaver [1] 2478:7	2688:11 2700.18 2725:13	
2489.9 2508:6 2511:24	used [3] 2516:3,11 2729:3	Wednesday [2] 2476:19	2726:6 2729:24 2730:13	-Y-
2512.4.6 2521:20.20	11SCFE [1] 2502:7	2480:1	Williamson's (1)	year[1] 2516:21
2569:13 2585:1 2588:16	using (5) 2511:7 2545:18	week (1) 2537:11	2595:9	years (s) 2492:2,3 2726
2588:17 2593:5 2621:12	2603:9 2708:24 2730:22	1	Wilmer (*) 2705:9	2726:12.17
2629:7 2641:11 2645:18 2654:18 2657:9 2674:16	usualty (1) 2628:7	weekend (2) 2522:4 2634:11	2706:2,4,10,12 2716:2	vesterday (18) 2480:12
2701 18 2702 5.20.21.22		·	2717:18,23 2718:2	2480:20 2481:21 2485:1
2702:23 2704:9 2726:9	-V-	weeks ps 2704:9.9	Wilner (1) 2532:6	2485:23 2505:8 2509:13
		2707:8	win (1) 2595:23	2514:4 2520:16 2576:1
type (i) 2493:21	varying[1] 2731:2	Wertheimer (41)	withdraw (3) 2509:19	2625:21 2626:1 2649:14
	venue pp. 2643:13.14	2477:20 2480:7 2481:10	2510:4 2534:8	2649:17 2656:23 2702:1
<u></u> -ʊ	verbally [2] 2704:5	2481:18 2482:1.3.16,20 2482:23 2484:18 2488:9	withdrawn (t) 2618:17	2707:13 2725:18
U.S (4) 2478:2 2578:4	2708:11	2509:B 2510:7 2513:8,18	withdrew (2) 2563:21	yet [4] 2551:21 2627:20
2643:14 2647:1	version (1) 2510:2	2513:22 2514:9 2520:13	2728:20	2655:2 2658:2
ultimate (3) 2543:21	versus (3) 2551:4	2520:20 2524:14 2538:14		York (5) 2477:11,11
2616:5.8	2625:18 2637:12	2549:10.20 2550:3,7,12	within (1) 2551:10	2478:3 2633:6 2634:1
	video [3] 2528:4 2656:24	2551:22 2580:1,9,18	without (19) 2480:14 2481:11 2516:3 2614:4	yourself [1] 2575:18
Uma-hazzan (u. 2697:7		2581:3 2592:3 2605:16		
	2674:23			
Um-hum (34) 2487:3		2609:24 2610:4,18 2611:1		-7-
	VIDEOGRAPHER	2609:24 2610:4,18 2611:1 2612:6 2618:3 2619:14	2718:22 2728:18	<u>-Z-</u>
Um-hum (34) 2487:3 2492:11 2505:2 2514:18		2609:24 2610:4,18 2611:1 2612:6 2618:3 2619:14 2622:18 2623:15 2631:11	2718:22 2728:18 witness (63) 2484:7	Zeller (1) 2511.10
Um-hum [54] 2487:3 2492:11 2505:2 2514:18 2527:14 2533:2 2545:23 2562:10 2568:15 2569:8 2570:21 2576:22 2589:8	VIDEOGRAPHER [11] 2480:4 2549:12 2550:18 2630:3.18.23 2659:17 2674:1.22 2685:1	2609:24 2610:4,18 2611:1 2612:6 2618:3 2619:14 2622:18 2623:15 2631:11 2636:4 2643:9 2649:23	2718:22 2728:18 witness (63) 2484:7 2490:24 2491:5 2509:15	Zeller (1) 2511.10
Um-hum ps4; 2487;3 2492:11 2505:2 2514:18 2527:14 2533:2 2545:23 2562:10 2568:15 2569:8 2570:21 2576:22 2589:8 2589:11 2599:23 2608:22	VIDEOGRAPHER [11] 2480:4 2549:12 2550:18 2630:3.18.23 2659:17 2674:1.22 2685:1	2609:24 2610:4,18 2611:1 2612:6 2618:3 2619:14 2622:18 2623:15 2631:11 2636:4 2643:9 2649:23 2650:7 2653:24 2654:3	2718:22 2728:18 witness [63] 2484:7 2490:24 2491:5 2509:15 2509:15.24 2510:13	Zeller (1) 2511.10
Um-hum [54] 2487:3 2492:11 2505:2 2514:18 2527:14 2533:2 2545:23 2562:10 2568:15 2569:8 2570:21 2576:22 2589:8	VIDEOGRAPHER [11] 2480:4 2549:12 2550:18 2630:3.18.23 2659:17 2674:1.22 2685:1	2609:24 2610:4,18 2611:1 2612:6 2618:3 2619:14 2622:18 2623:15 2631:11 2636:4 2643:9 2649:23	2718:22 2728:18 witness (63) 2484:7 2490:24 2491:5 2509:15	<u>-Z-</u>

Index Page 12

CURTIN, SCHNEIDER & LAWREY